Exhibit 119

1	IN THE UNITE	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DI	ISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY		
3				
4				
		:		
5	IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHN	NSON : MDL NO. 2592		
	TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS	: 16-2738 (FLW) (LGH)		
6	MARKETING, SALES PRACT	rices :		
	AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY	:		
7	LITIGATION	:		
		:		
8	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES	то: :		
	ALL CASES	:		
9		:		
10				
11	7	Videotaped Deposition of		
12		MARK KREKELER, Ph.D.		
13	Taken:	By the Defendants		
		Pursuant to Notice		
14				
	Date:	January 25, 2019		
15				
	Time:	Commencing at 9:16 a.m.		
16				
1.5	Place:	Hampton Inn		
17		375 South College Avenue		
1.0		Oxford, Ohio 45056		
18	Do f •	Curan M. Coo. DMD. CDD		
19	Before:	Susan M. Gee, RMR, CRR		
19		Notary Public - State of Ohio		
20		and Melinda Sindiong, CLVS		
21		METTING STRUTORY, CHVS		
22				
23				
24				
25				

	Page 2	Т		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	INDEX	r age 4
2	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	2		
3	BEASLEY ALLEN LAW FIRM	3 4	WITNESS: MARK KREKELER, P. PAC	
4	BY: P. LEIGH O'DELL, ESQ.	5	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY: JENNIFER K. EMMEL, ESQ. 218 Commerce Street	6	By Mr. Frost CROSS-EXAMINATION	8
6	Montgomery, Alabama 36103 (334) 269-2343	8	By Mr. Ferguson	283
7	leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com jennifer.emmel@beasleyallen.com	9	EXAMINATION	200
8	MOTLEY RICE LLC BY: CARMEN SESSIONS SCOTT, ESQ.	10	By Ms. O'Dell FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATIO	309 N
9	28 Bridgeside Boulevard	12	By Mr. Frost	327
10	Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 (843) 216-9160	13	EXHIBITS	
11	cscott@motleyrice.com	14	LAHIBITS	
12	WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A. BY: DANIEL R. LAPINSKI, ESQ.	15	NUMBER DESCRIPTION	PAGE
13	90 Woodbridge Cener Drive	12	1 11/16/18 Rule 26 Expert Rep	ort of 13
	Suite 900 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095	16	Mark Krekeler, Ph.D.	
14	(732) 865-6066 dlapinski@wilentz.com	17	2 1/17/19 Rule 26 Addendum t Expert Report of Mark Krekel	
15 16	On behalf of Defendant Johnson & Johnson:	18	Expert Report of Mark Rickel	ici, i ii.D.
17	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP BY: JACK N. FROST, JR., ESQ.	19	3 IRSST report R-755	82
18	600 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jersey 07932	1	4 IC 8757 Bureau of Mines Info	formation 86
19	(873) 549-7338	20 21	Circular/1977 5 IARC Monographs on the Ev	valuation of 91
20	jack.frost@dbr.com	21	5 IARC Monographs on the Ev Carcinogenic Risks to Human	raiuation of 91
21	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM BY: NINA R. ROSE, ESQ.	22	_	
22	1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005	23	6 U.S. Department of Health ar Services Toxicological Profile	
23	(202) 371-7105 nina.rose@skadden.com		Asbestos 9/2001	2 101
24	mna.rose@skauden.com	24		
25		23		
	Page 3			Page 5
2	APPEARANCES:	1 2	E X H I B I T S NUMBER DESCRIPTION	PAGE
	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech:		NUMBER DESCRIPTION	
3	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP	2	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo	Bulletin 62 116
3 4	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100	2 3 4 5	7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science Levised Edition
3	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113	2 3 4 5 6	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence of Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of
3 4 5	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100	2 3 4 5 6	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Rayalli County	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of
3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America,	2 3 4 5 6	NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Richard B. Berg	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 Dlogy of , Montana,
3 4 5	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com	2 3 4 5 6	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revision	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 blogy of , Montana, w, The 140
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revision Chrysotile is Metastable 	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geosouthernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revis Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at F	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence I Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Reconnaissance Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revist Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Follows Internation of the Talc Mine	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revist Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elements Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 sited: 144 East
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence I Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Review Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Foundation Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science Levised Edition Mines and 120 Dlogy of , Montana, W, The 140 Sited: 144 East 160
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revisor Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elohnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al.	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited: 144 East 160 or t by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence I Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geosouthernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elementary Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. 12 Geology, Asbestos and Healt Malcolm Ross, December 197	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 Sited: 144 East 160 It by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence I Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of I Geology, Reconnaissance Geology of the Talc Mine at Elohnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. 12 Geology, Asbestos and Health Malcolm Ross, December 197 13 Letter from RJ Lee Group da	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 Sited: 144 East 160 It by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revisor Chrysotile is Metastable Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elohnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. Geology, Asbestos and Healt Malcolm Ross, December 197 Letter from RJ Lee Group da Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited: 144 East 160 or t by th by 160 74 ated 5/16/16 161
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council:	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence I Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Review Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Four Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. 12 Geology, Asbestos and Heal Malcolm Ross, December 197 13 Letter from RJ Lee Group da Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 14 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 Sited: 144 East 160 It by 140 It by 160 It by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Review, Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Found Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. Geology, Asbestos and Health Malcolm Ross, December 197 Letter from RJ Lee Group de Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers Department of the Interior G	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 Sited: 144 East 160 It by 140 It by 160 It by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geo Southernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revist Chrysotile is Metastable Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elohnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. Geology, Asbestos and Healmalcolm Ross, December 197 Letter from RJ Lee Group da Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers Department of the Interior Gurvey Circular 95 - Talc Investigations Vermont Prelin 	Bulletin 62 116 ongate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited: 144 East 160 or t by th by 160 or the b
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 463-2400	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geology, Review Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Four Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. Geology, Asbestos and Heal Malcolm Ross, December 197 Letter from RJ Lee Group da Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers Department of the Interior Geology Circular 95 - Talc Investigations Vermont Prelin Report 	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate Science Levised Edition Mines and 120 Dology of Montana, W. The 140 Sited: 144 East 160 T t by 161 Documents 164 T teological 174 The sited 174 The sit
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NUMBER DESCRIPTION NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geosouthernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revisochrysotile is Metastable Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elohnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. Geology, Asbestos and Healt Malcolm Ross, December 197 Letter from RJ Lee Group da Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers Department of the Interior Gurvey Circular 95 - Talc Investigations Vermont Prelin Report Talc Resources of the United Geological Survey Bulletin 11	Bulletin 62 116 negate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, w, The 140 sited: 144 East 160 r t by th by 160 r4 declogical 174 minary d States 177
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On behalf of Defendant Pharmatech: TUCKER ELLIS LLP TARIQ M. NAEEM, ESQ. 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 696-3675 tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com On behalf of Defendant Imerys Talc America, Inc.: GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: KENNETH J. FERGUSON, ESQ. 816 Congress Avenue Suite 1510 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 391-0197 kferguson@gordonrees.com GORDON & REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP BY: ANDREW W. CARY, ESQ. 275 Battery Street Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-3163 acary@grsm.com On behalf of Personal Care Products Council: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 463-2400	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NUMBER DESCRIPTION 7 NIOSH Current Intelligence Asbestos fibers and Other Elo Mineral Particles: State of the and Roadmap for Research, R 8 State of Montana, Bureau of Geology, Reconnaissance Geosouthernmost Ravalli County by Richard B. Berg 9 International Geology Review Serpentine Multisystem Revison Chrysotile is Metastable 10 Windsor Minerals, Inc. Geology of the Talc Mine at Elementary Johnson, Vermont Bates JNJ000272469 - 668 11 Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content Bradley S. Van Gosen, et al. 12 Geology, Asbestos and Health Malcolm Ross, December 1970 Letter from RJ Lee Group de Bates JNJ 000521616 - 638 14 Krekeler Deposition Italian I Various Bates numbers 15 Department of the Interior General Survey Circular 95 - Talc Investigations Vermont Prelin Report 16 Talc Resources of the United	Bulletin 62 116 Ingate e Science evised Edition Mines and 120 ology of , Montana, W, The 140 sited: 144 East 160 It by Indicate by Indi

	Page 6		Page 8
1	EXHIBITS	1	Rees, for Imerys.
2	NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE	2	MR. CARY: Andrew Cary, Gordon & Rees,
3	18 Preliminary Investigation of Cosmetic 188 Talc Potential, Lungsheng Operations,	3	for Imerys.
4	Kwangsi, China	4	MR. NAEEM: Tariq Naeem, Tucker Ellis,
5	Bates JNJNL61_000002060 - 89	5	for the Pharmatech defendants.
	19 Sampling of Run-of-mine mill feed - 209	6	
6	A practical approach by Afewu and Lewis		MR. BILLINGS-KANG: James Billings-Kang
7	by Alewa and Lewis	7	for Personal Care Products Council.
8	20 Email dated 10/31/13 218	8	VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter is
9	Bates JNJ14T5_000005157 - 48 21 Zuffar Days Symposium Held in Cagliari 236	9	Susan Gee, RMR and CRR, and will now swear in
1.0	October 10 - 15, 1988	10	the witness, and we can proceed.
10	Geology of the İtalian high quality cosmetic talc from the Pinerolo district	11	MARK KREKELER, Ph.D.
11	(Western Alps) by Sandrone and Zucchetti	12	of lawful age, a witness herein, being first duly sworn
12	22 Krekeler Deposition Asbestos Documents 240 Various Bates numbers	13	as hereinafter certified, was examined and deposed as
13		14	follows:
14	An Introduction to the Rock-Forming 285 Materials by Deer, Howie & Zussman 285	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	24 The Mineral Industry of Italy by 288	16	BY MR. FROST:
16	by Harold R. Newman	17	Q. Good morning, Dr. Krekeler. My name is
1 3	25 Analysis of an Authentic Historical 289	18	Jack Frost. I'll be asking you probably the majority of
17	Italian Cosmetic Talc Sample - Further Evidence for he Lack of Cancer Risk	19	the questions today.
18	Evidence for he Lack of Cancer Risk	20	A. Okay.
1.0	26 Excerpt from the Deposition of Patrick 291	21	Q. But before we get started, could you
19 20	Downey taken 11/8/17 27 FDA Action Related to Talc 297	22	please state your full name for the record?
21	28 USB Jump Drive 331	23	A. Mark Paul Spigg Krekeler.
22	29 Color Photograph 331 30 Color Photograph 331	24	Q. And where do you currently work?
24		25	A. I am an associate professor at Miami
25			•
	Page 7		Page 9
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.	1	University. I hold an appointment where my tenure is
2	My name is Melinda Sindiong, CLVS. I'm	1	held on the Oxford campus in the department of geology,
3	videographer for Golkow Litigation Services.	3	and my teaching the I work at the Hamilton campus as
4	Today is January 25th, 2019. The time is 9:16.	4	well.
5	The video deposition is being held in Oxford,	5	Q. And just so the record is clear, Miami
6	Ohio, in the matter of Johnson & Johnson Talcum	6	University, there are two. We're at the one in Ohio,
7	Powder Products Marketing Sales Liability	7	right?
8	Litigation. This is for the United States	8	A. To my knowledge, there's only one Miami
9	District Court of the District of New Jersey.	9	University.
10	The deponent is Mark Krekeler, M.D.	10	Q. The other one's University of?
11	Will counsel please identify yourselves	11	A. Yes.
12	and the parties you represent?	12	Q. Okay. All right.
13	MS. SCOTT: My name is Carmen Scott. I'm	13	A. Miami was founded in 1809.
14	with Motley Rice, for the plaintiffs.	14	Q. And have you ever been deposed before?
15	MS. O'DELL: Leigh O'Dell, Beasley Allen,	15	A. No, I have not been deposed before.
16	for the plaintiffs.	16	Q. Okay. Have you ever testified before?
17	MS. EMMEL: Jennifer Emmel, Beasley	17	A. No, I have not testified before.
18	Allen, for the plaintiffs.	18	Q. All right. Real quick, I'll go over some
19	MR. LAPINSKI: Daniel Lapinski, Wilentz	19	ground rules. I'm sure your counsel has told you this,
20	law firm, for the plaintiffs.	20	but the number one most important thing is everything
21	MR. FROST: Jack Frost, Drinker Biddle &		we're saying today is being written down by the court
		21	
22	Reath, on behalf of Johnson & Johnson.		reporter who's to my left. So because of that, we have
	MS. ROSE: Nina Rose, Skadden, Arps, on	23	to make sure we verbalize everything. Uh-huh, huh-uh,
24	behalf of Johnson & Johnson.		nods of the head, pointing, things like that don't show
25	MR. FERGUSON: Ken Ferguson, Gordon &	25	up very well in written word.

Page 12 Page 10 1 A. Very good. 1 just say it again and agree on it or -- I'm unclear. 2 ² I've never done this before. Q. So we just need to make sure that, you 3 know, we're verbalizing everything. 3 BY MR. FROST: Second thing is, and I guarantee we'll Q. Sure. So to the extent we can, just 5 get in trouble for this at some point. It's very hard 5 listen to my question and answer the question, yeah, as 6 for the court reporter to write down when both of us are 6 I've asked it. What shows up on the screen is called 7 talking at the same time. I'm not saying we're doing it phonetic, so sometimes the words converted over by the 8 in a rude way but just normal human conversation. computer will be incorrect, and ultimately, when they 9 Eventually, you'll pick up what the end of my question come and transfer it for the final transcript, it will 10 is. I'll pick up the end of your answer, and we'll just 10 change. 11 start naturally talking over each other. We've got to 11 So these are sort of there as a guide, if 12 be really careful about that, you know, make sure she 12 we can't remember what we're talking about a couple 13 can write it down. 13 minutes ago, to look back. But this is not the official 14 At some points during the deposition, record. The official record will be what's on the 15 your counsel may object or other people in the room may video, and then, ultimately, what's in the transcript, 16 object. Allow time to give counsel, you know, to put which might end up being a little different than what's 17 their objections on. Once they're done, unless you're on the screen. 18 instructed otherwise by your counsel, you have to answer A. Okay. And because -- so a third party 19 my question. would go and transcribe what's on the video? 20 20 So I'm not sure at the end, yeah. The other thing is, if you answer my 21 So if there's something garbled on here, 21 question, I'm going to understand you assumed it or A. 22 understood it. So if you don't understand what I'm 22 someone else does that? 23 23 asking, you need clarification, let me know. If there O. Yes. That's correct. 24 is, you know, something you need for me to work out, I'd A. So they don't come back to me or --25 rather work it out than have you answer something that, No. You don't need to worry about that. Page 11 Page 13 1 you know, you and I are talking at different places. 1 That's done somewhere else. 2 The only other thing, too, I don't want Okay. Yeah. I don't -- I don't know. ³ you to guess here today, and if you're guessing or 3 No. That's okay. It's a fair question. 4 making an estimate, just let us know. And, you know, 4 But --5 but if it's a wild guess, I don't know, I don't VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry. If I can interject ⁶ remember, those are perfectly fine answers. 6 as well, you're talking with your hands, and it 7 And other than that, if you need a break does get in the shot. 8 8 at any time, let us know. If there's a question MR. FROST: Oh, mine does? 9 9 pending, you've got to answer the question first, but VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. 10 we're here on your schedule, so -- and we'll try to 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 11 break every hour, hour and a half or so, but if you need 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 MR. FROST: All right. So if I can mark 12 to break in between, you know, just let us know, and 13 13 we'll stop. a couple exhibits to begin. I'll mark this as 14 A. Can I ask a question? 14 Exhibit 1. 15 15 Q. (Exhibit 1 was marked for Sure. 16 So I've never done this before. I've identification.) 17 never been deposed, and I noticed early on, when the MR. FROST: I'll mark this as Exhibit 2. videographer was making some statements, that the 18 THE WITNESS: Does it matter which copy? statements that I heard were not recorded accurately on 19 MS. SCOTT: You can take a look at 20 this. So the word was "demotion." 20 whichever you're more comfortable with. They're 21 MS. SCOTT: You don't need to worry about 21 the same. 22 22 that. MR. FROST: I imagine they're the same, So but my question is, is if I go -- if I 23 23 right? 24 use this to read your question, how do I know a word's 24 (Exhibit 2 was marked for

25

identification.)

25 not -- how do we make sure that word is right? Do we

1 BY MR. FROST:

- Q. All right. In front of you marked as
- 3 Exhibits 1 and 2 are your expert report that's dated
- 4 November 16th, 2018, and then Exhibit 2 is your
- 5 supplemental report dated January 17th, 2019; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are these the only two reports that
- 9 you've written in this case?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, you understand you've been
- 12 designated by the plaintiffs in this case in the Johnson
- 13 & Johnson talc MDL?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what, or
- 16 define what your area of expertise is?
- 17 A. Yes. So my undergraduate degree was in
- 18 geology, and since my freshman year, I've been working
- 19 with clay materials and clay intervals. My degree is
- 20 in -- my undergraduate degree is a bachelor's of science
- 21 in geology, and so that entailed field work. And,
- 22 actually, I think since my freshman year, I've been
- 23 doing powder x-ray diffraction. My master's was on,
- 24 also, a clay rich rock, bentonite, so -- and then in --
- 25 I finished that degree in '98.

- Page 16
- phyllosilicates as well. And, basically, I worked with
 industrial mineral materials, mine materials, and then
- 3 my time at Miami University, I've also worked with
- 4 synthetic minerals and natural minerals.
- 4 Symmetre minierais and natural minierais.
- So my training as a Ph.D. student was to
- 6 look at the phyllosilicate minerals as a whole. So
- 7 mineralogy has evolved significantly in that we think of
- 8 minerals as sort of a system, and we look at things at
- 9 how they're interrelated. And that's -- so, basically,
- 10 I've had some -- my degree is in geotechnical
- engineering and environmental earth science, so I have a
- 12 few engineering classes. And then I've collaborated and
- 13 worked with several mineral companies. My Ph.D. was
- 14 sponsored by a mineral company, in part.
 - Q. So long story short, would you define
- 16 your area of expertise as mineralogy?
- 17 A. Yes.

18

- Q. Okay. And the two reports in front of
- 19 you, do those reflect all the opinions you plan to give
- 20 in this case or intend to give in this case?
- A. Well, again, I'm legally not familiar
- 22 with the process, but I think I -- currently, this is my
- 23 opinions. If something new comes up and I'm asked, I
- 24 would...
- Q. Okay. I guess a better way to ask that

Page 15

- 1 Then my Ph.D. was in mineralogy and
- 2 specifically phyllosilicate mineralogy and looking at
- 3 the impurities and materials associated with
- 4 phyllosilicates. My dissertation was on
- 5 palygorskite-sepiolite minerals and smectite minerals.
- 6 My Ph.D. advisor was Steve Guggenheim, who essentially
- 7 is the North American expert in crystallography for
- 8 phyllosilicates.
- 9 And, then, so I finished that degree in
- 10 2003. Throughout my degrees, I think my first
- 11 consulting job was a project with Amoco when I was an
- 12 undergrad doing x-ray diffraction, looking at clays from
- 13 Trinidad through my advisor, Warren Huff. But through
- 14 that period of time, I did occasional consulting
- 15 projects, largely with powder x-ray diffraction and
- 16 sometimes electron microscopy.
- Then I did not do a postdoc. There were
- 18 two mineralogy positions available nationwide when I
- 19 graduated. My graduation year was 2003. I then got one
- 20 of those positions at George Mason University, and I was
- 21 hired in a department of environmental science and
- 22 policy. And my research there, I was specifically
- 23 teaching mineralogy. Then my research was centered
- 24 around mineralogy.
- I produced a few patents relating to

- 1 question --
- A. Sorry. I'm unclear. I'm not familiar.
- Q. Yeah. That's okay. As we sit here
- 4 today, do you intend to offer any opinions in this case

Page 17

- 5 that aren't reflected in either of these two reports?
- A. No. The reports are what I am using.
- Q. And were you asked to render any reports
- 8 by your counsel that you did not or are not included in
- 9 those reports?

10

12

22

24

- MS. SCOTT: Objection. You can answer.
- 11 BY MR. FROST:
 - You can answer.
- A. Oh, I can answer? So, if I remember
- 14 correctly, with the deposition notice, it was requested
- 15 that reports or documents I prepared relating to, I
- 16 think, all talc cases were requested. So there's one
- -- timik, an tale cases were requested. So there's one
- 17 report that I gave to them from another case that I'm
- 18 involved in.
- Q. Okay. So you're currently involved in
- 20 another talc case or is this an older case?
- A. This is a current case.
 - O. And it's a talc case?
- A. It is a talc-related case, yes.
 - Q. Is it a case against Johnson & Johnson?
 - A. I believe it's a case against Imerys.

	MAIN RICKCICI, III.D.					
	Page 18		Page 20			
1	Q. Against Imerys? Do you know what the	1	cite throughout the report?			
2	case is called or where it's venued?	2	A. Yes.			
3	A. I don't remember offhand. The law firm	3	Q. The piece of literature, things like			
4	is Waters & Kraus.	4	that?			
5	Q. That's who retained you?	5	A. Yes.			
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. Okay. Other than documents, books,			
7	Q. Do you know what state it's in?	7	literature, et cetera, that are already included in your			
8	A. The law firm is in Texas. I think the	8	report, have you brought anything else with you today?			
9	case is in Texas.	9	A. No. I believe just what is in the			
10	Q. And what have you been asked to do in	10	report.			
11	that case?	11	Q. Okay. We're also going to probably send			
12	MS. SCOTT: I'm going to object to the	12	a request for, you know, a copy of the report written on			
13	extent that I'm not aware of what his role is in	13	the other case as well. It seems like it was turned			
14	that case.	14	over to counsel.			
15	MR. FROST: Sure.	15	MS. O'DELL: No. You misunderstood.			
16	MS. SCOTT: And I'm not sure he knows	16	It's not been turned over to counsel.			
17	what's going on and, you know, the extent of	17	MR. FROST: It hasn't been turned over to			
18	the whether he's been disclosed in that case	18	you guys.			
19	or not.	19	MS. O'DELL: We don't have any			
20	MR. FROST: Okay.	20	information about that case.			
21	MS. SCOTT: So I'm going to object to any	21	MR. FROST: Oh, okay.			
22	questions on that.	22	MS. O'DELL: Yeah. So if you have any			
23	MR. FROST: All right. We'll reserve our	23	questions about that, you need to talk to Waters			
24	right to come back.	24	& Kraus or whoever else is involved.			
25	MS. SCOTT: Sure.	25	& Klaus of whoever else is involved.			
	MS. SCOTT. Suite.					
	Page 19		Page 21			
1	Page 19 BY MR. FROST:	1	Page 21 BY MR. FROST:			
1 2	_	1 2	_			
	BY MR. FROST:	2	BY MR. FROST:			
2	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know.	2 3	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the			
2 3	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today?	2 3	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus,			
2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know.	2 3 4	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not			
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you	2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics.			
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next	2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report			
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports? A. I'm sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe February, when I actually started reviewing documents			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports? A. I'm sorry? Q. I can reask it if it's easier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe February, when I actually started reviewing documents for that case.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports? A. I'm sorry? Q. I can reask it if it's easier. A. Is there anything in those binders that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe February, when I actually started reviewing documents for that case. Q. Have you generated any invoices for your			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports? A. I'm sorry? Q. I can reask it if it's easier. A. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list? I have books	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe February, when I actually started reviewing documents for that case. Q. Have you generated any invoices for your work in this case yet?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. Have you brought that report that you drafted in that case with you today? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Did you bring anything with you I'll start. So there seems to be a table of stuff next to you. Is that a fair way to describe that? A. Yes. Q. And what, generally, is that stuff? Like what's in the binders and things like that? A. So, generally, those are documents that were provided when I requested them, and those documents are from the companies. Q. Are those all the documents that are listed in your materials-relied-upon list at the end of your report? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything in those binders that isn't otherwise reflected on the list in your reports? A. I'm sorry? Q. I can reask it if it's easier. A. Is there anything in those binders that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. So before, when you said you'd given the report to counsel, you're talking about Waters & Kraus, not A. I don't recall specifics. Q. All right. Have you turned that report at all over to any of your attorneys who are here today or anybody who works for them, their law firms, if you can recall? A. I don't remember specifics. Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were retained in that case? A. In the other case? Q. Yes. A. It was about the same time as this case. Q. Do you recall when that was? A. Basically, I want to say it was towards the end of December of 2017, but so that's when we talked, and then I think it was like late January, maybe February, when I actually started reviewing documents for that case. Q. Have you generated any invoices for your			

Page 22 ¹ Johnson & Johnson MDL case.

- A. Yes. I'm up to date with invoices.
- Q. And did you bring any of those invoices

4 with you?

- 5 MS. SCOTT: Counsel, those were provided
- 6 previously about a week ago by email.
- 7 MR. FROST: All right.
- 8 BY MR. FROST:
- ⁹ Q. But other than that, there's nothing, no ¹⁰ additional documents or invoices?
- 11 A. Right. There's no outstanding billing or 12 anything --
- 13 Q. Yeah.
- 14 A. -- like that.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah. We're all caught up.
- Q. All right. Turning back to the reports
- 18 that are in front of you as Exhibits 1 or 2, are these
- 19 reports complete, as far as you're concerned?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, they're
- 21 complete, based on what I was provided to review.
- Q. And do you believe what's reflected in
- 23 those reports is accurate?
- A. I believe that my opinions are accurate.
- 25 The data as presented as findings are as they are

- A. Is it fair to say that, effectively, the
- 2 opinions you're rendering here are limited to review of

Page 24

Page 25

- 3 the geologic deposits utilized by Johnson & Johnson
- 4 and -- it's kind of garbled.
 - Q. Yeah. And Imerys.
- 6 A. And to create talcum powder. So, yes, I
- 7 reviewed those materials.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you're not here to opine about
- 9 anything outside of those geological deposits and the
- 10 mining practices, et cetera, that were going on at those
- 11 areas?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. So the nature of mineralogy, as I alluded
- to earlier, is very systematic, right? So it's not the
- 15 same deposit. It's not the same deposit, but there's
- 16 Caledonia. New Caledonia is a terrain that has a lot of
- 17 talc in it, that has a lot of nickel in it, and so,
- 18 essentially, the geologic knowledge as a whole,
- 19 essentially, I'm relying on my educational base, my
- 20 research base, things like that. So being aware of the
- 21 geology of talc and the mineralogy of talc, geochemistry
- of talc through global settings is critical to evaluate
- 23 any subset of data relating to talc and associated
- 24 rocks.

25

Page 23

- 1 interpreted by the company. So when you say -- again,
- ² I'm unexperienced.
- 3 Q. Sure.
- 4 A. So when you say "accurate," I don't think
- 5 some of the report, some of the findings are
- 6 scientifically accurate, based on the analytical
- 7 methods. So...
- 8 Q. Are you talking about some of your
- 9 findings? I'm asking sort of what your ultimate
- 10 opinions and your findings in this case. Do you believe
- 11 that what you've opined to in this case is accurate in
- 12 these reports?
- A. So is my opinion --
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. -- accurate?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes, I believe my opinion is accurate.
- Q. Is there anything, before we get started
- 19 going through those opinions, that you want to change or
- 20 amend?21 A.
- 21 A. No.22 O. And is it fair t
- Q. And is it fair to say that, effectively,
- 23 the opinions you're rendering here are limited to review
- $^{24}\,\,$ of the geologic deposits utilized by Johnson & Johnson
- 25 and Imerys to create talcum powder?

1 BY MR. FROST:

- Q. I'll ask it a sort of different way.
- 3 I'll break it down. You didn't do any testing here of
- 4 any product, right?
- A. I was not asked to do any testing.
- 6 Q. Okay. And you're not going to render any
- 7 opinions about what causes disease, anything of that
- 8 nature?
- 9 A. Correct. I am not a medical expert. I
- 10 am not an environmental health expert.
- Q. And you're not going to render any
- 12 opinions about what level of exposure to any particular
- 3 metal or contaminate can cause disease?
- A. Again, I would defer for details to
- 15 environmental health experts and medical experts.
 - Q. You're not going to render any opinion
- 17 that use of Johnson & Johnson talcum powder causes
- 18 ovarian cancer, right?
- A. So I'm sorry. I am not an expert in the
- 20 molecular mechanisms of carcinogenicity, if I said that
- 21 correct. I don't know. I'm not a medical person. So,
- 22 no.

25

- Q. All right. Looking at Exhibit 2, which
- 24 is the addendum report, why did you draft this addendum?
 - A. New materials became available.

Q. When were you asked to draft the addendum?

3 A. I think when Longo had his supplemental,

4 and then I can't remember exactly when, but what really

5 caught my eye was this testing where they used

6 .1 milligrams of a sample, and that's not representative

7 in any way, and then they use a silver membrane.

Q. I'll stop you here, because we'll be here

9 for a very long time.

10 A. Okay.

Q. So the question was: When were you asked

12 to draft the report?

A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. You're right. I

14 got distracted. It was in January sometime.

Q. And if you look at the second paragraph

16 of the report, it states, "After I submitted my

preliminary report on November 16, 2018, I reviewed

18 additional documents provided by Johnson & Johnson and

19 Imerys through the course of this litigation as well as

20 documents produced after submitting my report." Is that

21 correct?

22 A. Yes.

Q. If you turn to pages 4 -- I'm sorry, page

24 5 of the report. You list the supplemental materials

25 and data considered?

A. I might have been confused with the Longo

² title. It says, "Analysis of Johnson & Johnson's

3 historical product," so that might be the source of

4 the...

5 Q. Do you know if there are anything else or

6 any other changes that you'd like to make to either the

7 supplemental or the original report?

8 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and

9 answered.

10 BY MR. FROST:

11 Q. You can answer.

12 A. Do I --

Q. Yeah. Do you know if there are any other

14 typos or anything else you'd want to correct in either

of the two reports?

A. I think there are a few typos in the

17 report, or I'm, you know, I'm not perfect so...

Q. We talked about, sort of, what's in the

¹⁹ binders over there and in the tubs. We'll start with

20 the binders, which are the documents. Did plaintiffs'

21 counsel provide all of the documents you relied on from

22 both Imerys and Johnson & Johnson in this case?

MS. SCOTT: Objection.

A. I requested documents from the lawyers to

Page 29

25 review.

24

Page 27

1 A. Yes.

Q. Am I also correct, you only list Imerys

3 documents as the additional materials reviewed?

A. Yes.

5

16

Q. Okay. So you, in fact, did not actually

6 review any additional Johnson & Johnson documents to

⁷ create this addendum; is that correct?

8 MS. SCOTT: Objection.

9 A. I don't remember specifically. That may

10 be a typo. I think I -- I think it's likely that I

11 looked at some Johnson & Johnson documents but only

12 ended up focusing on these others.

13 BY MR. FROST:

Q. Do you know what additional Johnson &

15 Johnson documents --

A. I don't. I don't remember.

Q. Okay. And I take it because they didn't

18 make it into the report, it's not something you're

19 relying on?

MS. SCOTT: Objection.

A. I don't know.

22 BY MR. FROST:

Q. Are there any other typos --

A. So I think --

Q. Go ahead.

1 BY MR. FROST:

Q. What did you request from the lawyers?

A. I requested any documents relating to the

4 mineralogy, the geology, things such as coring, x-ray

5 diffraction, bulk chemical tests, electron microscopy,

6 anything relating to, essentially, problems in

7 manufacturing or things that are related to how well

8 audits, for example -- audits would be a good example of

9 something that would be a third-party objective thing,

and I think there's, you know, there's an audit in here,

11 and any, any materials that would give sort of a big,

12 big picture of the situation at hand.

Q. Did you ever ask to have access to all

4 the documents so you could perform searches yourself?

5 A. I don't remember. I remember I reviewed

16 a lot of, a lot of documents, but I don't remember if I

17 specifically asked that. I asked for things relating to

18 what I just said.

19 Q. Did you ever run any searches against any

documents to see if there's anything additional to what

21 was provided to you?

MS. SCOTT: Object to form. You can

23 answer.

22

A. What do you mean by "search"? So I

25 don't -- it was my understanding that -- so this is sort

Page 30 Page 32

- 1 of a closed system that, essentially, there's the
- 2 documents that the company produces. If I were to
- 3 search for something else, I don't necessarily know if
- 4 that's from the company, right, or that's my thought.
- ⁵ So I did not -- I didn't do any additional searches.
- 6 BY MR. FROST:
- 7 Q. So you just relied on the documents as
- 8 provided to you by plaintiffs' counsel?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 11 A. For these, for the documents that were
- 12 used.
- 13 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. And you have no way of knowing whether or
- 15 not they've given you a complete set of every document,
- correct, that hits the categories you asked for?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. I think it's very representative of a
- 19 set. But, I mean, as I understand, there's, you know,
- 20 an enormous amount of data, as there should be, and that
- 21 is -- that would be expected, but, you know, I've
- 22 reviewed what was requested.
- 23 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. You reviewed what was provided, not what
- 25 was requested, correct?

- ¹ There's a lot of data, as I understand it. I don't
- 2 think it's reasonable to review every document.
- ³ Unfortunately, I'm one person, and if there's hundreds
- 4 of thousands of pages of documents, yeah, I don't think
- 5 any single person can review those in a reasonable
- 6 manner.

13

14

- ⁷ BY MR. FROST:
- Q. So you don't think it's important, as an
- 9 expert giving opinions about the overall mining and
- 10 sampling and testing practices of Johnson & Johnson, to
- 11 have looked at or at least had access to the complete
- 12 set of documents?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. I think it's important to have a
- 15 representative set, and that representative -- you know,
- 16 so -- you know, I didn't look at one document. I didn't
- 17 look at a few documents. You know, here's Hopkins'
- 18 deposition, for example. There's all kinds of documents
- 19 in that. There's a lot. There is a lot, but it's my
- 20 expert opinion that the amount of documents that I
- 21 reviewed were adequate to arrive at my conclusions.
- 22 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. And, again, that's solely based on the
- 4 set of documents that was compiled for you by
- ²⁵ plaintiffs' counsel in this case given to you, which you

Page 33

Page 31

- 1 A. What was provided that I requested from
- 2 them.
- Q. And you don't know whether or not -- you
- 4 have no way of telling, sitting here, whether or not
- 5 you've been given the complete record, correct?
- 6 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and
- 7 answered. You can answer if you can.
- 8 A. I think it's, I think it's very
- ⁹ representative. So I found examples where asbestos and
- 10 contaminate -- essentially where asbestos was
- 11 undetected. You know, I looked at a wide variety of
- 12 things.
- 13 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. But you would agree with me it's a
- 15 representative set as chosen to be given to you by your
- 16 counsel?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. I think it's representative.
- 19 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. You have no way of knowing what else
- 21 might exist, correct?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and
- answered. You can answer.
- A. So, yeah, there could be more bad reports
- 25 out there. There could be more good reports out there.

- 1 don't know is complete or not, correct?
- 2 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. I believe it is a representative set of
- 4 documents, but I did rely on what they provided as
- 5 that's what I requested. I requested the documents, as
- 6 I previously indicated in the answer.
- 7 BY MR. FROST:
- 8 Q. So you keep calling this a representative
- 9 set, but how can you make a determination if a set is
- 10 representative if you hadn't actually looked at or had
- 1 access to the full set of documents?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. It's my expert opinion that's a -- it's a
- reasonable amount of documents. There's, you know --
- 15 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. So you're basing the representativeness
- 17 off of the sheer size of the pile of documents on the
- 18 table?

13

- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. It's what I think is a representative
- 21 population of documents. I mean, there's -- there are a
- 22 lot of documents, but I've -- and I've looked at a lot
- of documents, and I've arrived at my professional
- opinion based on the review of those documents.
- 25

Page 34 Page 36 1 on page 5 of the shorter document. 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 Would it change your opinion --Okay. And these are all Longo expert 3 I can't ask a question, right? ³ reports, correct, Longo testing reports? A. 4 Q. Yes. 5 A. Okay. All right. Yeah. Q. Did you ever see any draft reports from 6 Would it change your opinion if you knew 6 any other experts in these cases before you finished that the set of documents provided to you by plaintiffs' yours? counsel only represents a portion of the story and there A. No, I did not. are hundreds and possibly thousands of additional 9 O. Have you reviewed any other expert documents that weren't provided to you by counsel? reports given in any talcum powder cases other than this 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. one? You know, for example, were you provided any 12 expert reports from other cases against Johnson & A. So those documents would not negate the 13 findings of the report. So, for example, if there was Johnson? 13 14 14 an additional document that said talc was undetected, it A. I'm trying to think about the other case wouldn't negate the findings of the materials starting 15 for a moment. I don't remember. 16 on page 14. 16 And have you reviewed any deposition or 17 BY MR. FROST: trial transcripts in either preparation of your report 18 Q. Well, that's -- I'm glad you brought that or to prepare for today's deposition? 19 up, because we'll get to those at the end of the 19 A. Yes. 20 deposition, because I think you were actually not 20 What depositions have you reviewed? Q. 21 provided some very important documents regarding that 21 Hopkins. A. 22 chart, but we'll turn back to that later when we start 22 I guess I'll ask it a different way. 23 Other than the ones that are already reflected in your going through the report. 24 A. Okay. 24 report, have you reviewed any depositions of any other 25 experts in talcum powder cases, any other, you know, But it wouldn't change your opinion at Page 35 Page 37 1 all to know that you were only given a selection of 1 other than --² documents that supported plaintiffs' theories in this 2 A. Not that I remember. 3 case? 3 -- Dr. Downey, Dr. Hopkins? 4 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 4 I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Can we take a little break? 5 5 answered. 6 No. My opinion remains unchanged. 6 MR. FROST: Sure. A. 7 ⁷ BY MR. FROST: VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off 8 And, again, it wouldn't change your 8 record. The time is 9:53. Q. 9 opinion if you knew that there are documents that 9 (A recess was taken from 9:53 to 10:04.) 10 specifically refute some of the findings that you've 10 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record 11 relied on in these reports? 11 and the time is 10:04. 12 12 BY MR. FROST: MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 A. Again, my opinion remains unchanged. The Q. All right. Before going on the break, we data present demonstrates that there was asbestos talked about whether or not you'd read any depositions of any other experts in these cases. Has plaintiffs' ¹⁵ materials and metals materials. 16 BY MR. FROST: counsel ever discussed with you the testimony of any 17 17 other experts in these cases? Have you reviewed any reports from other 18 experts in this case? 18 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 19 19 A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: I would instruct the 20 We know you reviewed Longo. You 20 witness -- I'm sorry. Instruct the witness not 21 mentioned that in the report. Anybody else other than 21 to discuss anything that's been discussed or 22 communicated with plaintiffs' counsel. 22 Dr. Longo? 23 MR. FROST: Let's mark the record. I 23 A. Not -- let me look here. So the expert 24 reports are listed on page 97, and there are four of 24 disagree with that assumption because, you know, 25 25 those. And then the expert report, there's one listed I believe any discussion of depositions in these

Page 38 Page 40 1 cases is discoverable under the federal rules, 1 reports or were they just provided to you and then you 2 but I'll move on. All right. ² included them in your final opinion paper? ³ BY MR. FROST: A. The chart? 4 Q. Was there anything you asked plaintiffs' MS. SCOTT: Objection. counsel to provide for you in this case to help prepare BY MR. FROST: your reports that you were not given? Q. That was a bad question. Did you do any editing of the charts that were included in the final I'm sorry. Can you just say that again? Sure. Was there anything you asked report or did you just put them in as provided by Q. ⁹ plaintiffs' counsel to provide you in preparation of counsel? 10 10 your report that you were not given or you didn't A. I directed them to put them in. 11 receive? 11 O. So plaintiffs' counsel ultimately put it 12 A. 12 into the report the way it's structured? No. I believe they gave me 13 representative materials of what I requested. I'm not 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 sure, but I also have the materials that I rely on. So 14 A. I indicated the documents to be included 15 like the, you know, reviews in mineralogy books and 15 in the table, and they put it in the table. 16 things like that are in the reliance list, but I BY MR. FROST: ¹⁷ acquired those. They did not produce those. Q. Is that true for all of the tables or did 18 Okay. That was actually my next question they produce -- did they provide some of the content of 19 is that the stuff that's under your reliance material the tables as well? 20 20 list, is that things that you independently found MS. SCOTT: Objection. yourself or that were provided to you by counsel? 21 I'd have to look to refresh. 22 22 BY MR. FROST: Yeah, yeah. Those are things I found. 23 23 0. Were any of the articles --That's okay. Take your time. O. 24 A. Those --24 I'm already a little tired. That table, 25 ²⁵ I requested them to do. And that table. Sorry. I'm Q. I'm sorry? Page 39 Page 41 Those are things I found on my own. You 1 new at this, a little bit nervous. So I directed them 2 know, many of the books I -- some I just had on my 2 to put those tables in. 3 shelf, you know. I've actually gone through three Q. Okay. Did you direct them to -- I'll 4 versions of some of them. 4 strike that. So were any of the reports, treatises, So the actual documents that are 6 books, et cetera, you relied on provided to you by reflected in the tables, was that your work that you --A. Those are documents I reviewed, yes. plaintiffs' counsel? 8 Okay. And you're the one who put A. No, I don't think so. 9 together the list of documents for them ultimately to Did anybody help you prepare the report? 10 I asked counsel to create the charts that put in table form to include in the report? 11 are in the report, and this was my first time doing such 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 12 an extensive report. So I asked about organizational 12 13 13 issues, things like that. Ultimately, I selected the documents, What about other than the charts that told them to put them in. 15 BY MR. FROST: 15 appear in the report? Did counsel assist you with any 16 of the other -- the word just escaped my mind. I 16 Q. In forming your opinions to this report, did you have to come to any -- did you have to make any 17 apologize. 18 A. assumptions that you relied on, then, for your ultimate opinions? 19 Any of the other, sort of principle of 19 20 research or any of the other opinions that are in the 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. paper? That's kind of a tricky question. I 21 22 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 22 assumed that the documents provided by the company were

23

25

genuine.

24 BY MR. FROST:

And did you have any hand at editing the

23

25

A.

24 BY MR. FROST:

No.

Okay. Any other assumptions you had to

Page 42 Page 44 1 make to reach your opinions? 1 role was to be objective. And I reviewed several 2 A. I'm just thinking. I -- I don't think 2 documents, you know, numerous, numerous 3 documents objectively. 3 so. I -- I assume that documents that I reviewed were 4 BY MR. FROST: genuine, I guess, is maybe the best way to express that. 5 Q. And by "genuine," do you mean, you know, Q. And did you know what role the counsel part of the actual documents accompanied? 6 who engaged you had? Did you know that you were They weren't altered in some way or -representing the plaintiffs versus the company? 8 Q. Okay. Yep. A. I'm sorry. I missed a word. 9 9 Sometimes it was, you know, there were --Q. Did you know what role you were hired to 10 so, for example, the SEM document in this report and, 10 do? 11 actually, other things, the images were extremely 11 I knew they were on the side of the A. 12 degraded. It appeared that several documents had been 12 plaintiffs, yes. 13 And you knew that, ultimately, they were 13 photocopied, so one could supplant things. You know, 14 again, I don't know, so that's why I say that I assume looking for evidence of bad mining practices and 15 things are genuine. opinions regarding inadequate sampling, things of that 16 Q. Okay. I think we're on the same page 16 nature? about what "genuine" means. I just wanted to make sure. 17 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 17 18 A. A. I think they -- it's my opinion that they 19 Q. All right. And do you agree with me that were looking for data to support their case in some way 20 in forming your opinions, it's important for you to keep and also evaluate, potentially, if there was not a case. a fair and open mind and look at the data in an BY MR. FROST: impartial way? 22 Q. Do you believe there's any additional 22 23 MS. SCOTT: Objection. data you need to see in order to fully evaluate the 24 I believe it's important to look at data, mining practices and the sampling practices by the two 25 yes. companies in this case? Page 43 Page 45 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 2 answered multiple times. Q. Do you believe it's important to look at 3 it in an impartial way? I would consider looking at other data, MS. SCOTT: Objection. 4 of course, but looking at that other data would not 5 A. I did look at things impartially, yes. change the opinions expressed in this report. Other 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 data doesn't negate the fact that we have all these 7 Q. Coming in to your review of the occurrences of materials. I mean, so there's over 90 documents, were you told what plaintiffs' liability 8 occurrences documented or there's about 90 or so in the 9 theories were in this case? one table of asbestos. You know, it doesn't negate --10 MS. SCOTT: Objection. for me, fundamentally, it's using the powder x-ray 11 I don't know what that word means. diffraction as the screening method that's fundamentally 12 BY MR. FROST: 12 flawed. The reasons, you know, I don't want to -- do 13 13 you want me to --O. Sure. 14 What's plaintiff liability theory? BY MR. FROST: 15 Q. Yeah. I'll ask it a different way. 15 Q. We'll get to that. 16 Okay. 16 A. A. I can stop. 17 17 Before you were coming in to review the We'll turn to that later. Q. 18 documents, were you told by plaintiffs, ultimately, what 18 Okay. All right. Good. A. an opinion or what type of opinion they were looking 19 Q. You said before you're not a medical 20 for? 20 doctor, right? 21 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 21 I'm sorry? Medical doctor, no. A. 22 22 And you're not a toxicologist, right? No, not really. I mean, in our early Q. Correct. 23 discussions, my job was to evaluate the data, so -- and 23 A. 24 I feel I've done that objectively. I knew it was 24 Q. And do you consider yourself a regulatory 25 connected to a case involving ovarian cancer, but my 25 expert?

Page 46 Page 48 1 A. No. 1 for the record, you don't know one way or the other 2 Q. And you're not an expert in regulatory 2 whether this mine --3 3 processes or mine regulations? A. I don't know the exact source. A. No, I'm not an expert. 4 MS. SCOTT: Be careful you don't talk 5 5 Before working on this report, have you over one another. ever worked with talc before? 6 6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 7 In my class work, my advisor was Steve MS. SCOTT: That's okay. 8 Guggenheim, and, of course, Warren Huff was my master's THE WITNESS: I apologize. 9 advisor. So I had several clay mineralogy classes, and BY MR. FROST: 10 we analyzed talc. And my Ph.D. advisor specifically, 10 Q. And when you were at this mine in Darwin, 11 you know, he would tell me, go look at this mineral with I take it there were no mine operations continuing at 12 the TEM and x-ray, so I would know and be familiar with the time you were visiting? 13 things, so but I don't have a specific thing on talc. 13 I believe it would just be alum land. 14 So other than, you know, your use of it But dealings and things were -- you know, I mean, things 15 in undergraduate and graduate and Ph.D. work, you know, you've never studied talc, you've never published on 16 And you can't tell me what type of talc O. talc, anything like that? that was produced, whether it was industrial talc, 18 A. No. cosmetic talc or something else, right? Other than, you know, looking at it so 19 Q. 19 A. Correct. I don't know. There's no 20 you'd be able to identify minerals, have you ever done record. We found it in a guidebook, thought it'd be a any examination or testing of talc? good experience for the students. 22 22 Other than just looking at it for -- as Q. And other than that visit, you've 23 far as learning the details of the mineral, no. certainly never been to a talc mine that is currently 24 Have you ever been to a talc mine? undergoing operation, correct? 25 Yes, in California. There's this mine in 25 A. Correct. Page 47 Page 49 1 Darwin. So Darwin was this area in California on the Have you ever published anything 2 south side of Joshua Tree, and there's asbestos all over 2 regarding amphiboles? 3 the place, and the mine closed -- if I remember I'm trying to think. My master's thesis 4 had -- there were amphiboles in those bentonites. Aside 4 correctly, the mine closed, like, in the '50s. So it 5 might have been, you know, the mine that was -- where from that, I don't think so, or if I did, it was not a 6 things were sourced from when the Italian mines were not major component. Not memorable. 7 around or, you know, the World War II era. And other than what you recall in your 8 thesis, you've never done any testing of amphiboles or But, yeah, I went there with Brian 9 Currie, and we do a field trip to Death Valley and the 9 anything of that nature? 10 10 surrounding areas all the time. So, yes, I've been to I'm trying to -- well, I have nothing 11 at least that talc mine, and I've been on several -published, but I have ran across -- so I've done -- you 12 I've been on field trips to, like, metamorphic terrains know, I have several. I have many projects with 13 in New England states, but I can't remember if I saw students, and some of those projects, for example, I talc there or not. I have not physically been to the think I -- there were minerals that I would identify in 15 Vermont mines, but, yes, I've been to a talc mine. the TEM as amphibole for the coke formation, which was 16 So you just made the statement that this kind of unusual. So the coke formation is a local 17 mine in Darwin, you know, may have been during World War bedrock. 18 II, where they -- I'm looking at the thing -- where they 18 O. Okay. source talc from. That's just a guess by you, correct? 19 19 So but nothing -- nothing in the A. Correct. As I said, it may have been. 20 peer-review literature, and I don't even know if it was 21 But the region, as I understand thinking about that mentioned in the abstract. I do remember occasionally 22 field trip, you know, I may be foggy, but there's other running across amphiboles. It's amazing what you'll 23 talc mines in the area. But, yeah, there was asbestos find in the TEM. There's all kind of crazy stuff if you 24 in that. 24 look for it. Yeah.

25

Okay. But, again, I just want to clarify

25

And I think we covered this before, but

1

6

12

15

- you've never done any testing of talcum powder orover-the-counter cosmetic products, right?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Before you were contacted by plaintiffs'
- 5 attorneys in -- it sounds like about December, give or
- 6 take, of 2017, had you ever done any research regarding
- 7 talc, talcum powder, anything of that nature?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And had you ever done any research prior
- 10 to being contacted about the mining practices at talc
- 11 mines or looking at the geological mine deposits?
- 12 A. I'm sorry. A research on, on talc
- 13 mining?
- Q. Exactly. Talc-mining practices.
- 15 A. Specifically? No.
- Q. Okay. Well, what about the geology of
- 17 the specific -- you know, did you ever look at the
- 18 specific geology of any talc mines prior to being
- 19 engaged in this case?
- A. I took a metamorphic course, and during
- 21 my master's, under Craig Dietsch, I remember we talked
- 22 about talc in that class. So Craig is a metamorphic
- 23 petrologist. So -- and then, you know, my -- I've read
- 24 papers. I mean, all through my Ph.D., my advisor
- 25 hammered that I should read everything around the topic.

- Page 52

 Q. And you certainly have never written any
- ² opinions regarding talc, talc mining practices, you
- 3 know, et cetera, before getting engaged in this case and
- 4 the other case from Waters & Kraus, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- ⁷ BY MR. FROST:
- Q. On your CV, I know you notice you have a
- 9 patent for something called asbestos containment
- 10 composition.
- 11 A. Yes.
 - O. What is that?
- A. It's a mixture of clay minerals.
- Q. And what's the patent?
 - A. Basically, it's a mixture of kaolinite
- and montmorillonite, if I recall. Essentially, it's one
- we produced but didn't really pursue. It was actually
- 18 my brother-in-law thought it would be a good idea. So
- ¹⁹ but, yeah.
- Q. So it's patented but not in production or
- 21 use?
- A. Right. And I don't regard patents as
- 23 peer-review literature. Those are -- that's a
- 24 different.
 - Q. Yeah. I actually agree with you on that

Page 53

Page 51

- 1 So -- but I've not -- I haven't mapped a talc deposit,
- 2 for example.
- Q. So I guess the best way to put it, and
- 4 you can correct me if I'm wrong, but it sounds like
- 5 you've read papers about talc deposits and all other
- 6 types of deposits.
- 7 A. That's in my training.
- 8 Q. But you never did any specific research
- 9 narrowing down on talc deposits, specifically?
- 10 A. Correct. I have no peer-review
- 11 literature on talc.
- Q. Have you ever attended any conferences
- 13 that talk about talc mining or specific, you know, talc
- 14 mine geology?
- A. I've attended several clay minerals
- 16 society meetings periodically throughout my career. I
- 17 haven't attended any in a few years. I don't remember
- 18 their names, but, you know, I remember seeing some stuff
- 19 on talc, nothing specific. I was always focused on
- 20 either the bentonites or palygorskite/sepiolite.
- Q. Okay. So there might -- you know, these
- 22 various conferences, talc might have been a topic, but
- 23 it wasn't something you were there to concentrate on or
- 24 to talk about?
- 25 A. Correct.

1 one.

8

11

16

- 2 A. Yeah.
- Q. I was just -- I couldn't find the
- ⁴ patents, so I was wondering what it was.
- 5 A. Oh, surprise.
- 6 Q. All right. If you want to open your
- 7 report to page -- it's Exhibit 1 in front of you.
 - A. Okay.
- 9 Q. To page 45. Do you have a summary of the
- 10 opinions you're rendering in this case?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And in looking at one through five there,
 - are those the five opinions that you believe are
- supported by the report?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. Yeah, I believe these are, these are my
- 17 opinions. That's the -- essentially, these are the
- 18 summary of those opinions.
- 19 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. Okay. And these fairly reflect the
- 21 opinions you intend to offer in this case? There's
- nothing else that you can think of that you're going to
- 23 opine about?
- A. With respect to this report, correct.
 - Q. And then I note in the addendum report,

- 1 there's not an additional opinion given. I think the
- 2 report states that it supports the opinions given in the
- 3 preliminary report; is that correct?
- A. Let me look.
- 5 Q. That's Exhibit 2. I believe the quote is
- 6 that "it supports and further enhances my opinions
- outlined in the original report"?
- 8 A. Correct, yeah.
- 9 Q. So you agree with me there are no new
- 10 opinions in the addendum report. It's just additional
- 11 support for the five opinions you plan to render in this
- 12 case?
- A. There's no new opinions. The silver --
- 14 there's new data, but, yeah, there's no new opinions.
- 15 It's the addendum supports the first.
- Q. And I take it you haven't published this
- 17 report or published these opinions anywhere, have you?
- A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Do you intend to publish them?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Do you intend to publish any of the
- 22 research you've done with relation to this report?
- 23 A. No
- Q. Did anybody help you do any of the, the
- ²⁵ research underlying the report?

- Page 56
- but I had read those during my dissertation time as
- ² well.

4

- Q. Did you go --
 - A. So I was -- I'm sorry.
- 5 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
- 6 I thought you were done.
- A. So I'm familiar with a broad range of
- 8 literature.
- Q. Did you have to go out and do any
- 10 searches for new literature that you didn't already have
- 11 in your possession?
- A. We got some materials from -- or I got
- some materials from the library, and there were some
- 14 things like Gy were things I knew of and Finkelstein
- were things I knew of that had been discussed either in
- 16 my classes or I ran across it previously that I had to
- 17 go re-get.
 - Q. Did you spend any time doing any, what
- 19 I'll call sort of new or independent research in
- 20 addition to things you've already done in the past to
- 21 prepare your report?
- A. I don't understand the question. In the
- 23 sense that?
- Q. For example, did you spend any time in a
- research library trying to find all the articles about

Page 55

- 1 A. No.
- Q. So all the opinions and all the analysis
- 3 in the original report and the addendum report, you
- 4 know, are all things that you've researched yourself and
- 5 are solely your opinion and your --
- 6 A. Yep.
- 7 Q. -- based on your work. Okay.
- 8 So you have, I believe it's a couple
- 9 boxes, right, of stuff on the ground that are articles
- 10 and textbooks? How did you actually go about selecting
- 11 the literature you were going to review in this case?
- 12 A. The stuff outside?
- Q. Yes, the stuff outside the documents.
- 14 A. So I was informed by, you know, really,
- 15 the core of my Ph.D. So I had a class on crystal
- 16 chemistry and phyllosilicates, and basically, I was
- 17 expected to read and learn things. And so my collection
- 18 of books is, in part, from that effort. And then, also,
- 19 I teach classes regularly, so I'm familiar with the
- 20 books that I use in those classes and then, also, citing
- 21 things for research.
- So I had a master's student who did a
- 23 thesis on New Caledonia, which has talc and asbestos and
- 24 other things. So, essentially, you know, the Brinley
- 25 papers were an example of, you know, those came back up,

- Page 57

 1 the different geological deposits at issue in this case?
- A. No. My opinion, the knowledge set I had
- 3 generated over decades was appropriate reference point.
- 4 So I didn't, I didn't look at, you know, French
- ⁵ literature, Chinese or Russian literature, for example.
- 6 Q. Do you agree with me that the standard
- ⁷ for rendering your opinions in peer-reviewed literature
- 8 is different than the standard for rendering opinions in
- 9 litigation cases?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 11 A. That's a -- sort of a complex question.
- 12 Can I talk about?
- 13 BY MS. SCOTT:
- 4 O. Sure.
- A. So industrial mineral companies, margins
- 6 are not great. So, basically, the profits are not
- great. So, you know, there's not -- well, I should back
- 18 up. Industrial mineral companies, other mineral
- 19 companies, they rely on peer-review literature for their
- 20 analytical standards and practices. So, essentially,
 - peer-review literature is kind of part of that. They
- 22 don't -- mineral companies don't necessarily talk to
- 23 each other. There are, like, societies, so there's a
- 24 clay mineral society. I think there's a zeolite
- 5 society. But the sort of industrial secrets or the

- 1 details and methods, you know, everyone's afraid that
- 2 they're going to get ripped off from someone else. So
- ³ peer-review literature is a sort of common ground that
- 4 everyone uses.
- Q. I guess I'll ask the question a differentway.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 O. Because it was about, sort of, the
- 9 standard for opinions. Do you believe that the standard
- 10 of review for an opinion, you know, such as in the
- 11 expert report you've given in this case, is the same or
- 12 different than the standard review if you were trying to
- publish a peer-reviewed article on the same subject?
- MS. SCOTT: I'm going to object and ask
- him not to speculate on your initial question in
- any legal standards.
- 17 A. Yeah. I am -- as I -- I'm not familiar
- 18 with legal review.
- 19 BY MS. SCOTT:
- Q. Do you believe the -- when you were
- 21 writing the report, do you believe that the opinions in
- 22 this report, you know, would meet or be sufficient for
- 23 peer-review publication?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. I don't want -- I'm not an editor. I

- 1 regarded that metamorphic rock, metamorphic terrains
- ² take a long time to form. So pressure temperature
- 3 loops, and this is well documented in the geologic
- 4 literature. You know, it's in the classwork that I've
- 5 had.
- 6 Q. Would you agree with me that some talc
- 7 deposits form -- you know, the formation of talc
- 8 deposits, some take a lot longer, some take a lot
- 9 shorter, depending upon the characteristics of the
- 10 formation?

12

21

- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. I'm not gonna speculate without data.
- But, you know, generally it's accepted that talc
- 14 deposits take several millions of years to form.
- 15 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. What's your basis of that opinion?
- 17 A. My classwork.
 - Q. Can you tell me what factors affect the
- 19 formation of talc, what the controlling factors of
- 20 metamorphism would be?
 - A. Heat and pressure and fluids.
- Q. Would you agree with me that not all talc
- 23 is formed with the exact same amount of heat, pressure
- 24 and fluids in the mix?
- A. There is variability.

Page 59

- 1 don't want to speculate.
- 2 BY MS. SCOTT:
- Q. That's fine. Turning in to your report.
- 4 Start at page 2. So you state that "Talc is a mineral
- 5 derived almost exclusively from metamorphic deposits,"6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You also agree with me that not all talc
- 9 forms through a metamorphic process, right?
- 10 A. You can have soils developed on talc
- 11 deposits, so, yes.
- Q. Yes, you can have talc form --
- A. Developed on. And then you can also have
- 14 potential hydrothermal alteration at mid-ocean ridges,
- 15 which is also a metamorphic. It's hydrothermal
- 16 alteration.
- Q. You also state further down that the
- 18 process of metamorphism occurs over several tens of
- 19 millions of years. Is that always the case?
- A. Generally, that's the case, you know, in
- 21 rocks where you have talc occurring, yes.
- Q. Do you think that's true for all talc
- 23 deposits that have formed?
- A. For, you know, the instances of mid-ocean
- 25 ridge, perhaps not, but, essentially, it's generally

Page 61

Page 60

- Q. Would you agree with me that not all talc
- 2 deposits are geologically the same?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 4 A. I don't think any -- every rock and every
- 5 geologic deposit has its own history, so one of the big
- 6 things that's come out in mineralogy is mineralogical
- ⁷ evolution. And Bob Hazen's paper talks about this, and
- 8 there's been several successive papers. So based on
- 9 that, you know, every deposit has individual
- 10 characteristics, but there's general sort of groups or
- 11 classes.

- 12 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. And you'd agree with me that not every
- 4 mined deposit of talc is the same either, correct?
- 15 A. It all depends on what you mean by "the
- 16 same." You know, you can have things that are not the
- 17 same but very similar.
- Q. Sure. But not every mined deposit is
- going to be exactly the same chemically, geologically.
- They're all going to form in different ways at different
- 21 times. Would you agree with that?
 - A. Unless they are geologically related. So
- you can have two parts. You can have multiple deposits
- 24 in the same geologic terrain that form at approximately
- the same time. Other issues, I mean there's issues with

- 1 geochronology, right? So, you know, age range errors
- 2 can be plus or minus 10 million years. So if you have a
- 3 age of a metamorphic deposit that is talc and the age is
- 4 plus or minus 20 million years, you know, based on the
- 5 available data, that's a reasonable, you know,
- 6 chronometric value.
- Q. Sure. And based upon when it formed, how
- 8 it formed, the pressures, the temperatures, whether or
- 9 not there's variability of that would effect what other
- 10 minerals might be with the talc, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. And also depending what surrounding rock
- 13 there is to the rock that changed to talc would also,
- 14 you know, affect what might be on the margins of a talc
- 15 deposit, for example?
- A. I'm sorry. The last part of your
- 17 question?
- Q. Sure. So depending what the surrounding
- 19 rock was to the rock that metamorphosed to talc would
- 20 also affect what you would see in the black wall, for
- 21 example, what you see at the boundaries for the talc,
- 22 right?
- A. It can, if there's a reaction or not, so
- 24 it's dependent upon the situation.
- Q. That's what I was going to stay. It's

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And that's effectively what we're talking
- 3 about here, is that it's the other minerals that were
- 4 around during the formation of the talc. They may be in

Page 64

Page 65

- 5 the deposit, they may not, and they may be different
- 6 depending on deposits, right?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 8 A. I'm sorry. Can you --
- 9 BY MS. SCOTT:

10

13

- Q. Sure. So you agree with me that not
- 11 every talc deposit is going to have the same exact
- associated other minerals with talc, right?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. It depends, because, I mean, you have --
- 15 so, in mineralogy, we have a term called "perigenesis."
- 16 So essentially, there are -- these common minerals are
- 17 associated with each other. So out of context, for
- 18 example, galena and sphalerite are very commonly
- 9 associated with each other.
- So, essentially, I think a more correct
- 21 way of saying things is that chrysotile asbestos and
- 22 talc are commonly associated with each other. So
- 23 perhaps not all talc deposits have the same mineral
- 24 assemblage, but many of them do have very similar
- mineral assemblages, and that's even when the chemistry

Page 63

- 1 variable, and it changes from deposit to deposit? You
- 2 have to look specifically?
- 3 A. That's why every deposit should be
- 4 evaluated with an appropriate core density and high
- 5 sampling density.
- ${\sf G}$ Q. So in order to fully understand what's in
- ⁷ a particular talc deposit, you really do need to know
- 8 how it formed, what was with it when it formed, what's
- 9 around it, things like that, right?
- 10 A. I'm sorry. To understand a talc deposit?
- 11 Q. Yes
- 12 A. At what level or what understanding, what
- 13 context?
- Q. To understand what specifically, you
- 15 know, is associated with that talc, what other minerals
- 16 might be associated with the talc, you really have to
- 17 look at the specific deposit, how it was formed, what
- 18 other constituent minerals were around it, things of
- 19 that nature, correct?
- A. Yes. One should evaluate what is in the
- 21 deposit and what is adjacent to the deposit.
- Q. You also state on page 2, on the next
- 23 paragraph down, that "Talc can have, and commonly does
- $24\,$ have, natural impurities." And that's effectively what
- 25 we're talking about?

- 1 varies.
- ² BY MS. SCOTT:
- Q. And that's what I'm getting to, is just
- 4 because some minerals are associated with talc doesn't
- 5 mean that other mineral is going to be in every single
- 6 talc deposit in the world, right?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 8 A. Correct, but that doesn't mean that's not
- 9 very common, either.
- 10 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. Sure. But we're talking about -- you
- 12 agree with my statement that not every single talc
- deposit in the world will have all of the same exact
- 14 accessory minerals associated with it, right?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for
- speculation.
- 17 A. Yeah. I don't want to speculate on that.
- 18 BY MR. FROST:

19

22

- Q. It's not speculation.
- A. Because, you know, there's --
- Q. Isn't it science?
 - A. You know, I go back to the New Caledonia
- 23 example. It has talc, but not every talc deposit has
- 24 New Caledonia assemblages.
 - Q. Okay. So the answer to my question would

Filed 05/30/19 Page 19 of 86 PageID: Page 68 Page 66 1 be yes, right, that not every single talc deposit has 1 chrysotile. 2 the exact same accessory minerals associated with it? 2 BY MR. FROST: 3 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Q. So as an expert in geology, you can't 4 Correct. tell me as a fact, sitting here today, that there are 5 BY MR. FROST: some talc deposits that are exist in the world that are 6 comprised of more talc than others? Q. And you also agree with me that -- I'm going to use the word, you know, "pure," to mean more MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 8 talc, but there are some talc deposits that are more answered. 9 pure than other talc deposits. There's some talc A. I think I answered that, yeah. There's 10 deposits that are comprised of more talc than others, some that have a higher percentage of talc, but there's 11 correct? impurities that also occur. So, you know, if you have 12 12 10 percent asbestos in one mine and 2 percent asbestos MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 A. It's -- so it's speculative. I don't 13 in one and 30 percent in another, so, yes, that's, 14 know exactly what you mean by "pure." So it's been that's possible. 15 known, for example, that at the atomic level, you can 15 BY MR. FROST: 16 have intergrowths with chrysotile with talc. So, yeah. 16 Q. I don't think you're understanding my 17 I'm really not quite sure how to answer that question. question. More fundamentally, don't you agree with me 18 BY MR. FROST: some talc deposits are only made up of 20 percent talc 19 Q. So you have no opinion that if I were to and are predominantly other minerals, as were other talc 20 go find a talc deposit over here and find one over here, deposits are made up of, for example, 50 or 60 percent that one might have -- be comprised of more talc or have 21 talc? a more pure metamorphism of the talc than another? 22 A. So I'm unclear. Are you talking about 23 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 23 talc deposits or talc ores? 24 Without any priority knowledge -- yeah. 24 Q. I'm talking about talc deposits, 25 I would want to -- to answer that question correctly, generally, geological formations of talc. Page 67 Page 69 1 you need to analyze each individual deposit. A. So, yeah. Talc can occur at a variable 2 BY MR. FROST: concentration in metamorphic rocks. Q. As an expert in geology, you can't tell You will also agree with me that some 4 me that there are some deposits of talc in the world 4 talc deposits can be larger than others, right, geologically? 5 that are more pure than others, that are more comprised 6 of talc than others? A. MS. SCOTT: Objection. O. You'll agree with me that talc is sort of 8 A. One would expect -- you know, so all over the place and what are the mine deposits are sort of unique? 9 materials are variable in percentages, but I don't think No. Talc is not all over the place. 10 it's reasonable just to declare -- I mean, it seems like 10 11 a -- perhaps I'm misinterpreting it, but it seems like a Metamorphic rocks comprise approximately 10 percent or 12 arbitrary setup or question. So the -- one cannot -so of rocks exposed at the surface of the earth, and so 13 what I'm trying to say is one cannot predict the exact talc, by that definition alone, talc is not all over the place. impurities in any given deposit. 14 15 15 There are general -- using the Q. You'd agree with me talc can be found 16 peer-reviewed literature and well documented, you know, from Quebec to Georgia, for example? 16 17

18

19

22

- work of archives going back, for example, Hess, 1933, 18 you know, it is common and reasonable to know that 19 there's some, or very, very likely, asbestos materials 20 are associated with talc.
- And so it is reasonable that -- it's a 22 reasonable, scientifically reasonable interpretation 23 that one would expect impurities of many types, but they 24 may not be the same. So we have examples where there's 25 tremolite, and there's examples where there's
- Georgia? A. There --
- 23 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 2.4 There are other talc deposits in North
- 25 America, yes. They're not restricted to Vermont, but

I think that's a very general in, perhaps

You don't think there are talc formations

in consumers' homes, in baby powder bottles. The --

20 found in the Appalachian Mountains from Quebec through

Page 70 Page 72 1 talc deposits do occur. 1 but you can have minerals that have fibrous habits that 2 BY MR. FROST: 2 are not microscopic. 3 Q. And talc deposits occur in places like So an example would be millerite, which 4 Alabama, Texas, Minnesota, California? You'll agree 4 is a nickel sulfide that, essentially, you have these with me on that as well, right? very long black fibers, and it's very commonly -- that's 5 6 A. I remember some of the specifics in the 6 what it occurs as. And the fiber -- fibrous textures, 7 Southern states. I know they occur in California. you know, essentially, all morphologies are driven by 8 Q. Will you agree with me that some talc the unit cell and, essentially, bonding strengths and deposits are larger than others? defect densities and things like that. So fibers are 9 common in asbestiform materials. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 10 11 A. Yes. You can have small talc deposits. 11 O. Is a fibrous habit different than the 12 You can have big talc deposits. You can have -- they're 12 asbestiform habit? 13 13 just like granites. You can have small granites and So a fiber would be more of a subset of 14 large granites. You can have -- you know, a variation asbestiform. So if I had a chunk of chrysotile, that 15 in size and scale and complexity is a very common trait would be asbestiform, and it would be composed of 16 in geologic terrains. 16 fibers. BY MR. FROST: 17 O. So fibers are a smaller subset of 18 Q. You'd agree with me because of variations 18 asbestiform? 19 in size, scale, complexity, accessory minerals, et 19 A. Generally. cetera, you can't make general statements about talc 20 Can you define for me what "asbestiform O. deposits. Not every talc deposit's the same, right? habit" means? Are you able to define what "asbestiform 22 MS. SCOTT: Objection. habit" means without referencing your report? 23 23 To some level, I think one can. You can Asbestiform basically is --24 make general statements about rock types, what is common Q. Here, could we do it this way? Without 25 or likely to occur. If we were able to precisely looking at your report, can you define for me what Page 71 Page 73 1 predict just by thought the distribution of ore, we "asbestiform" means? 2 would have no problem finding platinum and gold and MS. SCOTT: Objection. If he needs to those kinds of things, right? So does that answer the 3 look at his report, he can look at his report. question? 4 MR. FROST: Well, I just want to see if 5 O. Sure. he can do it without looking at the report. 6 THE WITNESS: Can we take a break? BY MR. FROST: 7 MR. FROST: Sure. Q. But if you need to look at your report, 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off just let me know that you have to look at your report to 9 9 record, and the time is 10:48. define it. 10 10 (A recess was taken from 10:48 to 11:03.) MS. SCOTT: Objection. 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, 11 A. Asbestiform essentially is a texture that 12 12 is -- the particles are elongated. They have a high and the time is 11:03. 13 BY MR. FROST: general aspect ratio. Q. Would you describe for me what a "fibrous 14 BY MR. FROST: 15 15 habit" means? Q. So asbestiform is purely a texture? 16 A. In general, it is an elongated particle 16 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 17 that -- and the -- so on page 4, I indicate there's 17 A texture with respect to what? A. 18 length or width ratios for fibers which have fibrous 18 BY MR. FROST: habit of three to one, and then NIOSH is five to one. 19 Well, that's what you just said. That's what I'm trying to figure out. You used the word 20 BY MR. FROST: 21 Q. Okay. Can you define for me what a "texture." You defined asbestiform as a texture? "fibrous habit" means? Does it purely mean dimensions 22 A. So texture is a general term that means 23 of three to one to five to one? 23 the size, shape and distribution of mineral particles. 24 A. So in the general context of mineralogy, 24 Is that different than the morphology? 25 25 fiber can -- it's actually a little bit of a loose term, A. Morphology generally refers to a crystal

Filed 05/30/19 Page 21 of 86 PageID: Page 74 Page 76 1 or single phase. 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 Q. What do you mean by that, the "single Q. If you look at page 4 of your report, phase"? second paragraph, under "Asbestos," you write that 3 4 A. Single phase, phase is like a -- phase is 4 "Asbestiform refers to a mineral that has grown into a 5 a thermodynamic term. So, in theory, it is something ⁵ fibrous aggregate of long, thin flexible crystals that 6 that is separable from a system. So you can have 6 readily separate into smaller crystals of a" smaller something like chrysocolla that is grown around and fill "length-to-width aspect ratio." You agree with me 8 some other mineral, where you can have glass. Glass is that's very different than what you just told me, right? 9 a separate phase. Or it can also be a mineral, so it's 9 MS. SCOTT: Objection. You just misread 10 10 more of just a thermodynamic term. something. It says, "smaller crystals of a 11 Q. Do you agree with me that in order for a 11 similar length." 12 MR. FROST: Oh, I apologize. 12 mineral to be asbestiform, it has to grow in an 13 asbestiform habit? MS. SCOTT: No problem. 14 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 So I think that's a correct statement. 15 A. No. So talc is mechanically soft, and I 15 BY MR. FROST: 16 can certainly imagine scenarios where you have 16 Q. Which one, the one in your report or the 17 tremolite, large tremolite crystals that exist in a talc one you just gave me? 18 schist, and that talc schist then experiences continued MS. SCOTT: Objection. 19 dynamic metamorphism, so things move, and that talc 19 Both. A. 20 crystal can be -- other talc -- or, I'm sorry, the 20 BY MR. FROST: 21 21 tremolite crystal in the talc can then hit other talc or You think you can, a mineral can both 22 other tremolite crystals and essentially abrade and grow as you have here in a fibrous aggregate of long or 23 grind and be broken down into smaller elongate, elongate you can create it? 24 mineral particles which would be fibrous, and that would It can -- it can result from the process. A. 25 be one way of producing that texture. 25 So in the broad context, if you are crushing or milling Page 75 Page 77 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 a talc ore and there's tremolite in it, basically, you 2 can process that, it's my expert opinion, that you can Q. Is that different than growing in an 3 asbestiform habit? In order to be asbestiform, do you 3 process that and result in producing asbestiform 4 have to grow in the asbestiform habit? materials or fibers, elongated mineral particles. 5 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 5 So are all elongated mineral particles O. 6 A. There's not necessarily -- mineral growth asbestiform? 7 would not necessarily be a part of that. A. I'm sorry. I misspoke. Not necessarily, BY MR. FROST: 8 8 no. 9 9 Q. So mineral growth has nothing to do with Okay. Why don't we look at -- well, whether or not a mineral is asbestiform? first off, do you have any studies or research that you 10 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. rely on to support your opinion that you can change 12 something that grew prismatic into something that's now A. I think there's a false dilemma. You 13 know, as I described, so you can have that, you know, a asbestiform? 14 nice, happy actinolite or tremolite crystal. Stress is A. So I think it's reasonable, based on my 15 applied during metamorphism and that then breaks apart 15 knowledge of crystal chemistry. 16 and you can end up with material that is -- that meets 16 Q. You can't point me to a single 17 the definition of a fiber. peer-reviewed study or NIOSH or anything else that has 18 BY MR. FROST: ever supported this opinion? 19 19 Q. So as far as you're concerned, all fibers MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 are asbestiform? 20 A. So I was taught by Steve Guggenheim that

23 an expert in, palygorskite/sepiolite, often the

24 individual crystals are referred to as fibers.

MS. SCOTT: Objection.

A. No. My mineral, one of the minerals I'm

21

22

25

you can reduce particle size, and when you reduce

particle size in minerals, essentially, that is driven

by cleavage. So basically every mineral has a unit

cell, and that is definition of the elements that are

unique to that mineral and a specific arrangement.

Page 78 Page 80 1 And, essentially, the nature of bonds in 1 particular particle is asbestiform or a cleavage 2 that mineral will be weaker along certain planes for 2 fragment, and your answer to that was cleavage fragments 3 certain minerals such as amphiboles. So basically what 3 implies that through some mechanism process, it's been 4 happens is when you apply stress, it doesn't matter if 4 developed. That's what I'm asking. What is this mechanism process? Is this an outside force? Are you 5 that is a five-foot piece of tremolite or if it is a 6 micron piece of tremolite. Essentially, it's absolutely talking about processing --7 reasonable that if you apply stress and you break that, A. Mechanical. 8 it will break into smaller pieces, and you can end up You're talking about mechanics. So if a fragment cleaves off because a mechanical force is 9 with -- essentially, the hat or the shape is the same. 10 Or, essentially, hat or shape is driven by those applied to it, it's a cleavage fragment? If it occurs, 11 crystallographic parameters. if it naturally cleaves, then it's asbestiform? 12 BY MR. FROST: 12 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 13 All right. Do you know what a cleavage A. You can have, as I mentioned before, you 14 fragment is? can have the situations totally reasonable, both in the 15 processing and then the natural geologic process, where A. Yeah. It's essentially a fragment that you can have a tremolite crystal, for example, that has broken off. 17 And you're telling me that cleavage essentially is deformed through metamorphic processes. 18 fragments can be asbestiform that have broken off as You can have multiple directions of force, and so, 19 prismatic crystals? basically, you can end up with particles that are 20 asbestiform as a result of that, and then you can grind, A. I think they can, so they can. They can 21 meet the crystallographic requirements. crush, process things that also have an asbestiform 22 Is your opinion generally accepted by the 22 texture. 23 scientific community? BY MR. FROST: A. I have not presented or published on 24 Are there any standards you're relying on 25 that, but I think, based on my experience and what I to make this determination of asbestiform versus Page 79 Page 81 1 know about crystal chemistry of minerals, that is a 1 cleavage fragment? 2 ² reasonable interpretation. MS. SCOTT: Objection. Q. Okay. So your interpretation is that a I'm using the terminology as described in 4 my mineralogy class that I took from Dr. John Grover in 4 particle can become asbestiform, even if it didn't form 5 naturally in an asbestiform habit by this cleaving down 5 1991, and he -- he grew some of the artificial, 6 to a particular particle size? Is that a fair summary? 6 synthetic fibers for the rat tests in the '70s. BY MR. FROST: 7 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 8 You, through processing, you can modify Q. Okay. Other than this class you had with A. 9 many things. 9 Dr. John Grover, you can't name me another source, 10 BY MR. FROST: another peer-reviewed literature, a scientific paper 11 Q. So can you tell me what particular that supports your theory? 12 12 properties will determine whether or not a particle was MS. SCOTT: Objection to form. a cleavage fragment versus an asbestiform fragment? 13 MR. LAPINSKI: I was going to say, make 14 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 sure you let him ask the full question before 15 15 A. Cleavage fragment implies that it has, you start to answer. through some mechanical process, it's been developed. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. 17 BY MR. FROST: 17 BY MR. FROST: 18 18 Q. So a cleavage fragment purely refers to O. Do you want me to reask it? 19 19 some outside mechanical process? The terms were used in my graduate school 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 classes as well. I think that -- yeah. What do you mean by "purely"? 21 And your opinion is whether or not this 21

25

That's what I'm trying to figure out,

what your definition is. So I asked you, you know, what

25 the properties are that will determine whether or not a

22 BY MR. FROST:

23

fragment that breaks off, whether or not it's

the way in which the particle originally formed?

MS. SCOTT: Objection.

asbestiform or cleavage doesn't have anything to do with

Page 82 Page 84 1 So crystallographically, in a way, the 1 high aspect ratio, (length/diameter ratio), increased ² mechanical properties, flexibility and durability. ² term's not necessarily extremely relevant. It is the ³ physicality of a particle is such that, you know, it's "In the asbestiform morphology, the 4 driven by, essentially, the science. So you can crush, 4 crystals grew by forming long and filiform fibers. 5 you can grind something, and you can end up with an 5 These fibers are found in bundles that can easily 6 asbestiform particle. 6 separate into smaller fibers (fibrals), which, during MR. FROST: Let me look at some articles. processes, retain their surface and activity properties. 8 I'm going to mark this as -- I believe, we're at "OSHA (1992) specifies that the 9 Exhibit 3. asbestiform criterion does not depend on the crystalline 10 (Exhibit 3 was marked for 10 structure but on how the crystal grows or its 11 identification.) 11 crystalline formation. When pressure is applied to" an asbestiform "fiber, it will bend rather than break." 12 BY MR. FROST: Did I read that correctly? 13 Do you recognize this paper? 14 14 A. No, I do not. I have not seen this MS. SCOTT: With one correction. 15 MR. FROST: I did miss one? 15 report. 16 This is not the IRSST 2010 Montreal paper 16 MS. SCOTT: Asbestos fiber, not 17 you reference in your report? 17 asbestiform fiber. 18 18 A. I don't remember. MR. FROST: Oh, I apologize. 19 O. Look at your -- let me see. I want to 19 BY MR. FROST: 20 find a place that you reference this. If you look at 20 Q. Did I read that -- other than that, did I Footnote 5 on page 4. read this correctly? 21 22 I don't see a Footnote 5 on page 4. 22 A. Okay. Yeah. A. 23 23 Q. Do you agree with me this definition is Of your report. O. 24 MS. SCOTT: Of your report. very different than the definition you've given me? 25 Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Yeah. 25 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Page 83 Page 85 1 BY MR. FROST: A. Not necessarily. It is more specific, 2 ² but it's, you know, generally in line. Do you agree that this is the same report ³ BY MR. FROST: that you have referenced in Footnote 5 on your paper? Q. Generally in line. Doesn't the IRSST paper specifically state that an asbestiform crystal has 5 0. Have you ever read this report before? 6 A. I think so. to grow into that structure to be asbestiform? 7 It says that, but again --And this is something --A. Q. 8 8 You disagree with that? A. I'm tired. 9 9 Q. And this is something you rely on MS. SCOTT: Objection. otherwise in your paper, correct? 10 It --10 A. 11 I forget the specifics of where I've 11 BY MR. FROST: 12 12 cited it. Q. It's okay. You can disagree with it. 13 13 If you turn to page 10, please. In my -- it's permissive, not exclusive. Q. A. 14 MS. SCOTT: Of the report or of the --So I - I --15 MR. FROST: Of the paper, the IRSST 15 Q. I don't -- where does it say it's 16 permissive, not exclusive? Is that in this paper? 16 paper. 17 17 A. No. My class terminology might not be A. Page 10. 18 BY MR. FROST: 18 consistent with this. 19 19 So it's Section 5.1.2, "Asbestiform." Okay. Let's look at another one. What 20 Okay. exhibit are we on? Four? I would like to mark this as A. It states, "The term 'asbestiform; refers Exhibit 4. I'll give you a copy. 22 to a morphology originating from the natural 22 MR. FROST: Are we not on four? 23 23 crystallization of a mineral into small crystals, into MS. SCOTT: I think it's five. ²⁴ hair-like fibers (unidimensional). This morphology 24 MR. FROST: Are we on five? I thought we 25 gives the mineral-specific characteristics, including a 25 were on five, too.

Page 86 1 MS. SCOTT: I think we're on five. 1 that are related to the crystal structure and are always 2 parallel to crystal faces." That's in line with what 2 MR. FROST: Okay. Yeah. I was going to 3 you've described, right, for cleaving? say maybe we can keep track. 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm keeping track, but the That statement is not correct. 5 last one you just gave him, you said three. Q. It's not correct? 6 MR. FROST: Oh, okay. So I guess we are You can have cleavage that is, has a 7 on 4. We'll mark this whatever the next exhibit variety of degree as a perfection to it. 8 Q. And, again, do you have -- can you cite is. 9 (Exhibit 4 was marked for me a study that you're relying on for that opinion? 10 identification.) A. I can probably point to a book, but it's 11 BY MR. FROST: something that is -- I mean, it's taught in mineralogy, 12 introduction to mineralogy. You have different levels Q. Take a look at it. Have you ever seen this paper before? 13 of perfection of cleavage. So, for example, micas are 14 I'm not sure. I immediately don't see it said to be perfect in cleavage, and a lot of the amphiboles are said to be good but not necessarily 15 in the reference list. 16 I can tell you, it's not on your 16 perfect. Q. 17 And, actually, you can see in this SEM reference list. 18 A. image, there's all kinds of irregularities on the Okay. Yeah. I have not seen this 19 surface. And on this particular SEM image, it's before. 20 extremely bright. The contrast is wrong. It's not --Q. Have you ever heard of Dr. William J. Campbell? 21 you know, you can't tell what is on that right end of 22 the image that is the tremolite particle there. No, I have not. 23 23 O. You'd agree with me that this is a report Q. I'll stop you here. I'm confused because 24 from the United States Department of the Interior, your problem with the definition appears to be the word Bureau of Mines? "perfect," which doesn't actually appear in the Page 87 Page 89 A. 1 definition. But you generally agree that a cleavage 1 Yes. ² fragment is a cleave along a generally parallel plane of You'd agree with me that they are a Q. ³ a crystalline structure, right? 3 reliable source --So this is from 1977? A. Yes. 5 Yes. You'd agree with me that the Bureau 0. Okay. If you continue along, it says, O. 6 of Mines is a reliable source of information for "Minerals" -geological term -- geological --It says "with perfect cleavage." A. 8 8 I am somewhat hesitant's to make a Q. That's in the next, you know, paragraph. 9 generalization of any organization being extremely 9 A. I'm sorry. I got confused. 10 reliable or not. It depends on the individual. But, 10 So it talks a little bit, you know, about 11 generally, many things that have been produced are it. It talks about amphiboles, et cetera. What I'm 12 reliable. This document is from 1977, which is sort of concerned is the next paragraph down. It starts, 13 the end of the heyday of asbestos production. So right "However, because they did not grow as fibers, they 14 around this time, essentially, it was coming to light cannot have characteristics of fibers. Consequently, 15 that asbestos really did have a lot of hazards cleavage fragments cannot be called fibers." 16 associated with it. 16 Do you see where the Bureau of Mines has 17 17 Q. Can you please turn to page 30 of this said that? 18 report? Specifically, there's a the paragraph, it's 18 MS. SCOTT: Object to form. called "Cleavage Fragment." Do you see where I'm 19 A. So it's my professional opinion that talking about? 20 20 that's inaccurate. I mean, the crystallographic -- you 21 A. know, from the materials aspect of things, whether Yes. 22 Okay. If you go down to the second -- I something has grown or not, you know, doesn't -- it really doesn't matter too much as far as what it is. So 23 can read the first few on, but -- I'll read all of it ²⁴ for clarity. "Cleavage fragment: A fragment produced and -- and so, "However, because they did not grow as 25 by the breaking of crystals in" direct -- in "directions ²⁵ fibers, they cannot have characteristics of fibers."

Page 90 Page 92 1 Well, you know, if you can cleave or process something, 1 Yes, I believe this is what's cited in 2 roll it such that, you know, you get particle size 2 the report. This is the 2010 IARC. 3 reduction, and that particle size is then, matches, Can you please turn to page 277? If you 4 although perhaps there is disagreement on what 4 look at the bottom paragraph, it says, "Asbestos is a 5 asbestiform is, but it matches what a fiber is, then 5 commercial term that describes six minerals that occur 6 that's --6 in the asbestiform habit: Actinolite, anthophyllite, 7 BY MR. FROST: ⁷ chrysotile, grunerite, riebeckite and tremolite (IARC, Q. But, again, you can't point me to a 8 1977). Similarly to talc, these six minerals occur more 9 single study or peer-reviewed piece of literature that commonly in a non-asbestiform habit and may also be 10 supports your opinion, correct? elongated without being asbestiform." And then if you 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. follow down, it says, "when asbestiform, they constitute 12 A. I think it's -- I think it's a very much asbestos and, when not asbestiform, they are referred to 13 a reasonable interpretation. It's almost too basic, in as mineral fragments or cleavage fragments." 14 a way. I mean, if we know -- we're taught, actually, at So, again, here, IARC is talking about 15 the introductory level, that minerals cleavage is the 15 how the crystal forms or how it grows to distinguish 16 first things we teach, and essentially cleavage is an asbestiform versus cleavage fragment, correct? 17 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 17 interval property of a given mineral, and then you can 18 reduce it, and that's why minerals, when you crush a 18 So you're saying as it forms? A. 19 mineral, you actually, you have sort of the same general 19 BY MR. FROST: 20 kind of particle shape. So you take mica, for example, 20 Q. Yes. 21 21 and you crush it and you get a particle size reduction, So mechanical processes can be how a A. 22 and a lot of that is happening along the cleavage mineral is formed or how a texture is developed. 23 23 planes. So I think --So you're saying the cleave of a 24 BY MR. FROST: prismatic crystal can considered the morphology of how 25 Q. So that's what I established. So you that crystal forms? Page 91 Page 93 1 think IRSST is wrong. You think the Bureau of Mines is No. You said how a mineral -- what did A. wrong, right? 2 you say? 3 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Yes, that's what I said is how a mineral 4 BY MR. FROST: 4 forms. This is what they're saying: A mineral can Q. Why don't we look at the World Health 5 form --6 Organization? A. So --7 MR. FROST: This is -- I'll mark this as O. -- an asbestiform habit or not. 8 Exhibit 5. 8 -- form is not growth. Form is not 9 growth. MS. O'DELL: Monograph 93. 9 MR. FROST: Yes, it's Monograph 93. 10 10 Okay. Fine. It's saying here that how a 11 11 crystal grows or develops determines whether or not it's Sorry. 12 12 is a mineral fragment or asbestiform, correct? (Exhibit 5 was marked for 13 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection. identification.) MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 14 A. So this would be IARC 2010. 14 15 15 MR. FROST: Does anyone need a copy or "When asbestiform, they constitute 16 pull it up on your computer? asbestos, and when not asbestiform, they are referred to 17 MS. SCOTT: Yeah. as mineral fragments or cleavage fragments." That's how 18 they are referred to. But I don't see anything in here MR. FROST: That's a better way to look 19 at it. about growth. There's nothing about precipitating out 20 MR. FERGUSON: I'll take one, Jack, if 20 of a solution. There's nothing precipitating out of a 21 melt. There's nothing precipitating from some you've got an extra one.

MR. FERGUSON: Lighten your load.

Are you familiar with this publication?

MR. FROST: I do.

22

23

25

24 BY MR. FROST:

22 mineralogical transformation. So -- and, again, you

But, again, I just want to go back.

23 know --

25

24 BY MR. FROST:

Page 94 Page 96 1 A. -- cleavage --1 question. 2 MR. LAPINSKI: Let him finish his answer. -- activity --A. 3 MR. FROST: Sure. Q. Let me ask you a question. Let me ask 4 Whether something is a cleavage or you the question without reading from the thing, because 5 fragment or not, it can be -- it can match the you're reading the phonetics, which aren't actually the 6 dimensions of something that is defined by NIOSH or question I'm asking. other things. It can be 1 micron by 3 microns or it can A. Okay. I'm sorry. 8 be 1 micron by 5 microns. So I don't -- the -- you O. What properties, other than size, will 9 know. But this, this doesn't seem to -- you keep tell you whether or not a particle is a cleavage fragment versus an asbestiform fiber? 10 implying that there has to be growth for the mineral to 11 occur, but it's not -- apparently, in here, it doesn't, 11 A. What properties other than size? 12 12 it doesn't make that stipulation. I guess size truly -- is that what 13 Grinding, grinding can be one method, and determines whether or not a particle is asbestiform 14 then deformation. We have other examples where, versus a cleavage fragment, in your opinion? 15 essentially, textures are developed from deformation, MS. SCOTT: Objection. 16 meteorite impacts. We have metamorphic rocks. We can 16 A. It's a major, a major factor in it. But, 17 have, essentially, high temperature or high pressure you know, you can have things that are large that are 18 metamorphic rocks that have one form of quartz in them. asbestiform as well. So hand samples, images in --19 Then when they get exhumed, essentially, they shatter 19 Okay. Can you answer my question? Is it 20 the granite around them and create a different texture. a major component or is that the difference? And if 21 So I don't, I don't think that growth is there's more than just size, what are the other things 22 necessarily related to -- I think, in my professional you look at to determine whether or not a particle is a 23 opinion, it's not related to the generation of cleavage cleavage fragment versus an asbestiform fiber? 24 fragments, and it's my professional opinion that 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. He is answering 25 cleavage fragments can have asbestiform materials. 25 your question. Go ahead, Doctor. Page 95 Page 97 The other thing that confuses things is 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 2 you can have a cleavage fragment that's a meter, right? Q. I don't understand how telling me the ³ You can -- you can have large crystals. You can go out 3 size of giant pattern, giant rocks that are grabbed from 4 to the South Dakota mines and pick up a spodumene, hit 4 somewhere else. What I want to know are what properties 5 it with a hammer. That's a cleavage fragment. Because 5 do you look at when you're trying to determine if it's 6 we have these same atomic laws, essentially, you get the 6 an asbestiform fiber versus a cleavage fragment? Is it 7 same type of effects into the small particle ranges. just the size of the mineral with -- you know, the 8 So now I'll go back to the same question aspect ratio of the mineral? Is that purely what 9 I asked before you couldn't answer, and that was, other determines, in your opinion, whether a particle is 10 than size, other than this whole idea of aspect ratio, 10 asbestiform versus cleavage? 11 what other differences can you tell me there is between 11 That and the texture. A. 12 What do you mean by "texture"? What 12 an asbestiform fiber and a cleavage fragment? Is it 13 truly just size, in your opinion, that makes something properties are you looking at in the texture? 14 asbestiform? 14 The texture is how -- is the size, shape 15 MS. SCOTT: Object to the form of the and distribution of materials. 16

- 16 question. You can answer.
- 17 BY MR. FROST:
- 18 Q. It's an easy enough question. I'll ask 19 it a different way if you want.
- 20 A. I'm a slow reader. Sorry. What
- 21 differences can you tell me there is between asbestiform
- 22 fiber around achieve advantage fragment -- a cleavage
- 23 fragment. So if you're talking about just differences
- 24 in general --
- 25 Well, no. That's why. Let me ask you a

- So, again, we're talking about size,
- shape and distribution. These are the only -- these are
- the aspects --

- A. I get that from -- I'm sorry.
- 20 I was going to say, size, shape and
- distribution are the attributes you look at to determine
- whether or not a particle is asbestiform versus
- cleavage? 23
- 24 A. A spatial distribution is not necessarily
- 25 size and shape.

- 1 Q. What do you mean by "spatial
- 2 distribution," then?
- A. The occurrence of it in a sample or substrate.
- Q. What do you mean by "occurrence of it in a sample or substrate"?
- A. The placement of it. So, essentially, we
 can have a lithology onto which, relative to that, an
- 9 asbestiform material occurs.
- Q. What do you mean by lithology upon which an asbestiform material occurs?
- A. Lithology is a general term for a type of rock. It's a very general term for a type of rock.
- Q. Okay. So, effectively, you're saying the type of rock it is and the size and shape of the
- particle determine whether or not it's asbestiform?Those are the three considerations you look at?
- A. Well, so, not necessarily, but, you know, I'm talking about hand sample size.
- Q. Okay. And this is -- and what about -- and what about micron size, when you're looking at a particle that's micron size?
- A. Aspect ratio is important. I think that and -- so to identify a fiber or a cleavage fragment, to
- 25 thoroughly identify things, one should generally do,
 - Page 99

1

8

- 1 should do TEM work. And in order for that data to be
- 2 interpreted, to identify the aspect ratio and also what
- 3 the material is, you need to do imaging electron
- 4 diffraction and electron microscopy.
- Q. Okay. I fear you're not understanding my
 question. I'm not -- I want to know what the difference
- 7 is between an asbestiform particle and a cleavage
- 8 fragment. Is it purely the aspect ratio and the type of
- 9 rock it's generated from, in your opinion?
- 10 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 11 A. I'm sorry. I'm having difficulty
- 12 describing it. I thought I described it. I thought I
- 13 answered.
- 14 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. What you keep saying is you keep telling
- 16 me is that aspect ratio is a major component. Is it the
- 17 only component? Are there others? We've heard the type
- 18 of rock. Are there any other things you would look at
- 19 to tell me these are the properties of an asbestiform
- 20 fiber versus these are the properties of a cleavage
- 21 fragment? I'm just asking for simple mineralogic
- 22 definition here of what's the difference between a
- 23 cleavage fragment and an asbestiform fiber. If it's
- 24 rock type and aspect ratio, that's fine.
- A. So, okay. So what's the difference

- 1 between a cleavage fragment and an asbestiform fiber?
- Q. Yes.
- 3 A. A cleavage fragment can be a subset of
- 4 asbestiform fibers.
 - Q. So you're telling me there's no
- 6 difference between a cleavage fragment and asbestiform
- 7 fiber if it's --
- A. No.

10

- Q. -- if they're the same size?
- A. If it's --
- MS. SCOTT: Let him finish.
- 12 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. If they meet whatever aspect ratio
- 14 definition you want to put on it, as far as you're
- 15 concerned, any cleavage fragment that meets that
- 16 definition is an asbestiform fiber?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. Speculative in that I don't -- you know,
- 19 I don't --
- 20 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. It's not speculative. I'm asking for
- 22 your definition.
- A. I'm sorry. I have an incomplete thought.
- 24 A cleavage fragment can be a subset of -- it can be a
- subset of an asbestiform fiber.
- Page 101

Page 100

- Q. How? Like how do you -- so what -- okay.
- A. Based on the size and the dimensions that
- 3 are provided in the paragraph in page 4.
- Q. Okay. So it's purely size and dimension
- 5 is what determines whether or not a cleavage fragment is
- 6 a subset of asbestiform?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That's your opinion?
- 9 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 10 A. With respect to only my -- so I think
- 11 some of our confusion is is I'm talking about minerals
- 12 in general, so things, you know, you would see in a
- 13 museum. And then there's, essentially, the microscopic
- indsedin. The their there's, essentially, the interescopt
- 14 scale.

- 15 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. Okay. So there's a -- how you define
- 17 asbestiform is different depending on whether or not
- 18 it's a hand sample versus something you look at in a
- 19 microscope?
- A. Potentially, and things can, you know,
- 21 appear to be asbestiform, but they are pseudomorphs.
 - Q. Okay. So other than size, which we've
- 23 now determined is aspect ratio, you can't tell me any
- 24 other properties that you would look at to determine
- whether or not a particle, an elongated mineral

- 1 particle, is a cleavage fragment versus an asbestiform
- 2 fragment. Is that -- is that a fair summary of your
- 3 opinion?
- 4 A. I'm unsure. I'm sorry. I'm tired.
- 5 The -- if it -- so the -- so in your question, mineral
- 6 type doesn't matter, correct?
 - Q. I don't know. I'm asking you how you
- 8 define. Does mineral type matter for asbestiform versus
- 9 non-asbestiform?
- 10 A. Well, there are minerals that tend to be
- 11 asbestiform or can be asbestiform and not. So, but
- 12 that's not necessarily related to the -- asbestiform is
- 13 a descriptor of the minerals, not necessarily -- so I
- 14 would use what, what I have in the report, basically. I
- 15 would say that a cleavage fragment can be an asbestiform
- 16 particle and size. The aspect ratio is a major
- 17 contributor.
- Also, the -- you know, if it is a -- so,
- 19 for example, if the chemistry and the electron
- 20 diffraction data and the images also indicate that it is
- a mineral that is known to be asbestos, I think that
- 22 that would be -- that would support that.
- I think that, you know, if you had --
- 24 it's like kyanite, for example, might -- kyanite might
- 25 have -- meet those dimension, fiber-dimension
- Page 103
- 2 necessarily be described as asbestiform, but it would be
- 3 a fiber. So there's complexities.
- 4 Q. Okay. So I think we have -- I'll change

1 requirements, but because it is kyanite, it wouldn't

- 5 my summary of your opinion. So in determining whether
- 6 or not an elongated mineral particle, and we can agree
- 7 an elongated mineral particle is a particle that, you
- 8 know, broke off of something that's long, right? Can we
- 9 agree on that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So in order to determine if an
- 12 elongated mineral particle is a cleavage fragment or
- 13 asbestiform fiber, the two things you look at are,
- 14 first, whether or not it's a rock that can be
- 15 asbestiform, and then, second, which is the major
- 16 component, is its size, meaning aspect ratio. Is that a
- 17 fair summary of your opinion?
- A. Well, so that's a different question. So
- 19 elongated mineral particle --
- Q. Then if elongated mineral particle's
- 21 confusing you, I'll take that out.
- So if we're trying to figure out if a
- 23 particle -- I don't care what size, I don't care if it's
- 24 elongated or not. If we're trying to figure out if a
- 25 particle is a cleavage fragment or an asbestiform fiber,

- Page 104
- 1 first, it has to be of a rock that could be asbestiform,
- 2 and then the major component is the size, meaning aspect
- ³ ratio. Is that a fair summary of the definition you're
- 4 giving me?
- 5 A. I'm not sure. I'm sorry. I'm spacing
- 6 out a little bit. A cleavage fragment can be
- 7 asbestiform.
- Q. Okay. But what I keep asking you is --
- 9 A. The criteria?
- Q. The criteria you're using to define
- 11 something as asbestiform, is it purely rock type, that
- is, a type of rock that can be asbestiform?
 - A. I --

13

15

- Q. Hold on. That's one.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And then the other, which is the major
- component, is the size, meaning the aspect ratio of the
- 18 particle. Are those the two things you look at when
- 19 you're determining whether or not a particle is an
- 20 asbestiform fiber?
- A. I would sort of correct myself in saying
- 22 the particle size and the mineralogy.
- Q. Okay. Particle size and mineralogy. And
- mineralogy, meaning the type of mineral it is, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 105

- Q. Okay. And, again, the basis of your
- 2 opinion that that's the definition of asbestiform comes
- 3 from your coursework and undergraduate and graduate,
- 4 correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And sitting here today, you can't cite me
- 7 a single study in the peer-reviewed literature or from
- 8 any government organization that supports that theory,
- 9 correct?
- 10 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. So --
- 13 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. I'm just asking for citations.
- MR. LAPINSKI: Let him finish.
- 16 A. I cannot -- I cannot -- let me think how
- 7 to phrase this. Peer review, I have had discussions,
- 18 actually, with my -- a former committee member, Bill
- 19 Mull. He was on my Ph.D. committee, and we had several
- 20 discussions about impurities and things like that and
- 21 industrial minerals. He was an industry guy.
- And, basically, we talked about small
- particles breaking off and how that could be of concern
- 24 in different ways. And then I've had discussions in
- industry about, essentially, fine particles getting

Page 108 Page 106 1 entrained in things with another company, one based here 1 definition, according to the paragraph? 2 2 in Cincinnati, not basically asbestiform, not basically I'm just saying that's what they define 3 asbestos, but there's graphite and biotite. 3 those as. 4 So no peer-review literature, but I've Q. Do you believe you've included the whole definition that ATSDR has of asbestos in your paper? had discussions in a general sense, but not specific to tale, but with contaminants, small particles breaking. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 6 7 Q. So the basis --I believe it's consistent with a document 8 So I think companies sometimes use I've done. I was gonna say, there are other academic 9 different -- it's actually common for companies to use classifications. Sometimes I know, in my classwork, it 10 different words. They have internal vocabularies, even, was discussed like antigorite sometimes comes up. 11 you know, so that might be the issue. Antigorite is actually something that's detected in some 12 BY MR. FROST: of the documents as well. So antigorite can be, look 13 Q. So it's based off your coursework and like it's asbestos, but it's not officially classified. discussions with industry individuals but not any 14 So there's some con -- if you look in the peer-reviewed literature? older literature, there's some confusion. People will 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection. also refer to other minerals, perhaps incorrectly, as 17 being asbestos. So it's -- historically, I think it can A. Yes. Correct. 18 BY MR. FROST: be a term that is applied either too loosely or things 19 Q. All right. We're going to move to just haven't worked out, so... BY MR. FROST: 20 another definition. Okay? 20 21 A. Okay. 21 And the definition of asbestos in the 22 I note in your report -- let me find ATSDR, is that something you found yourself or was that given to you by plaintiffs' counsel? 23 where it is. At the top, under the section that says "Asbestos" on page 4. Second sentence, you say, 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 25 "Asbestos is a naturally occurring mineral that can be 25 I looked at -- ATSDR is something that Page 107 Page 109 1 in close proximity to talc in mines around the world." 1 I've used in the past for my publications in general, so ² Is asbestos a mineral? ² I'm familiar with them. So we use that in a variety of 3 I'm sorry. It should be mineral group. 3 ways to help frame our discussions in peer-review Q. Okay. That was going to be my next 4 articles and things like that. question. Asbestos is a defined group of minerals, 5 BY MR. FROST: 6 correct? Q. All right. I'm going to mark this next 7 Α. Yeah. It can be referred to that. exhibit. I think we're on six. 8 8 Okay. Without looking at your report, MS. SCOTT: Yes. 9 can you tell me what minerals fit the definition of MR. FROST: Yep. 10 asbestos? 10 (Exhibit 6 was marked for 11 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. identification.) 12 12 A. Tremolite, crocidolite, anthophyllite, MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? 13 chrysotile, amosite. 13 MR. FERGUSON: I'll take it unless 14 BY MR. FROST: 14 anybody else wants one. 15 15 Q. And in your report, you know, you list MS. O'DELL: Have you directed us to a 16 them. I believe it's here on page 4. You list the 16 page? 17 17 amphibole class includes, you know, amosite, MR. FROST: He was looking at his 18 crocidolite, actinolite, anthophyllite and tremolite, references to make sure. I think he's 19 19 correct? identifying that it's the same article. 20 I'm sorry. Where? 20 I'm not -- I'm not sure if this is Item A. 21 MS. SCOTT: Here. 21 Number 6. 22 BY MR. FROST: 22 BY MR. FROST: 23 Q. Page 4. 23 Q. Well, here. I can speed this up. You 24 Yeah. So, yeah, end of the second line. 24 agree with me that this is an ATSD article, correct? A. 25 25 And you're relying on the ATSDR for this A. Yes.

Page 110 Page 112 1 Okay. Turn to -- actually, it's page 1. 1 statement. ² It's a misnomer. It's decently into it, probably about 2 MR. FROST: Sure. ³ 10 or 15 pages into it. As I said, the one is a MS. SCOTT: Go ahead. 4 misnomer. Okay. BY MR. FROST: 5 MS. SCOTT: I have a --Q. Do you see the second highlighted portion 6 6 on that page? It starts at the bottom. "Asbestos MR. FROST: Yeah. I was going to say, I 7 apologize for it being highlighted, but I'm minerals consist of thin, separable fibers that have a 8 going to read the highlighted parts anyway, so parallel arrangement. Nonfibrous forms of tremolite, 9 actinolite and anthophyllite are found naturally. it will help guide us there. That was a 10 printing issue. 10 However, because they are not fibrous, they are not 11 BY MR. FROST: classified as an asbestos mineral." That's different 12 Q. Do you see where it defines, under than what you're telling us here, correct? Section 1.1, "What is Asbestos"? 13 13 A. Let me compare. 14 Yes, I do. 14 Well, that's what you just told us, that 15 you could have nonfibrous tremolite and it would still O. Do you notice that its definition of 16 asbestos are "the fibrous varieties of tremolite, be asbestos. actinolite and anthophyllite that occur naturally in the A. I'm sorry. What was the question again? environment"? 18 18 This is not consistent with what I have written? 19 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 19 I'm saying it's not consistent with what 20 you just told me. You just told me the fibers doesn't 20 A. I see that, yeah. 21 BY MR. FROST: really matter because you can have --22 That's slightly different than what you 22 A. Fibers --23 23 attribute the definition of asbestos from the ATSDR in So my question is: You're relying on --O. your report, right? You don't note that it's the 24 say you rely on the ATSDR as the definition for 25 fibrous varieties of the amosite, crocidolite, 25 asbestos, but your definition of asbestos, sitting here Page 111 Page 113 1 actinolite, anthophyllite and tremolite, correct? 1 today, is actually different than that of the ATSDR. So 2 Let me just double-check. 2 it doesn't really support what you're saying today, 3 Q. It's page 4. 3 correct? In two general classes. I omitted the MS. SCOTT: Objection. Misrepresents. word "fibrous," but it seems that the minerals are No. I think that is a misrepresentation. 6 consistent. 6 So I cited this, and the minerals are listed here are 7 the same minerals there. O. Yeah, the minerals are consistent, but isn't the omission of "fibrous" an important distinction BY MR. FROST: 9 Okay. 9 in the definition of what's asbestos and what isn't? Q. 10 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 10 A. And then, based on my academic 11 A. In the context of this situation, I 11 experience, knowledge, these minerals are also, you 12 don't -- I don't think it exclusively applies because know, what I would list as well. 13 you can mechanically produce particles that are -- meet Q. But that's not -- you didn't say they say 14 the criteria on the bottom of the last paragraph on page that certain types of these minerals can be asbestos. 4. So tremolite -- and actually, you know, on one hand, The definition that you attribute, and you're talking 16 IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen in general. today about asbestos, is different than the -- you say 17 So IARC is not -- I was consistent, but you're correct. the ATSDR supports your definition of asbestos, but 18 I did not use the word "fibrous." yours is actually slightly different than theirs, right? 19 19 BY MR. FROST: MS. SCOTT: Objection. Misrepresents. 20 20 Q. So you're not consistent, because you're A. I left out a word. 21 saying ATSDR defines asbestos, and then you need to put 21 BY MR. FROST: 22 them out. But you fail to leave out that these are 22 Q. And according to them, it's an important 23 fibrous. I'll tell you why it's important. Do you see 23 word, because as the ATSDR says, "Because they are not 24 the second highlighted portion? 24 fibrous, they are not classified as asbestos minerals." 25 MS. SCOTT: Let me just object to the Do you agree?

	01313	_	
	Page 114		Page 116
1	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	1	morphology can have potentially dangerous health
2	A. That's what's stated in the document.	2	effects?
3	BY MR. FROST:	3	A. Yes, I say those documents.
4	Q. Okay. Let's move down to the third	4	Q. Okay. Let's look at the NIOSH road map.
5	paragraph under "Asbestos" in your report. Do you see	5	MR. FROST: Did you mark that yet?
6	the I don't know. What sentence is it? Third	6	(Exhibit 7 was marked for
7	sentence starts, "However, non-asbestiform cleavage	7	identification.)
8	particles can correspond to the definition of respirable	8	BY MR. FROST:
9	fiber as defined by WHO and, due to its morphology, can	9	
١			
10	have potentially dangerous health effects." Do you see	10	document that you were relying on for your statement?
11		11	MS. SCOTT: Jack, can you, just for my
12	A. Yes.	12	ease, can you direct me to the citation within
13	Q. Now, you don't have an opinion yourself	13	the report?
14	,	14	MR. FROST: That I'm going to go to?
15	You're not a doctor, right?	15	MS. SCOTT: Yeah.
16	A. Correct.	16	MR. FROST: I'm going to page 5, or V,
17	Q. And you're relying on, you know, other	17	which is the Executive Summary.
18	documents and things you've read for that statement?	18	MS. O'DELL: Thank you. You're talking
19	That's correct?	19	about in the NIOSH document?
20	A. Correct.	20	MR. FROST: Oh, in his?
21	Q. Do you have any opinion on whether or not	21	MS. O'DELL: Yes.
22	the surface chemistries of cleavage fragments versus	22	MR. FROST: It's on page 4, third
23	asbestiform fibers are the same?	23	paragraph down from Asbestos. It's NIOSH 2010,
24	A. I'm not a surface geochemist.	24	IRSST 2012.
25	Q. Okay. Do you agree with me that IARC has	25	MS. SCOTT: Thank you.
	Daga 115		Dama 117
	Page 115		Page 117
	ultimately determined that non-asbestiform cleavage	1	A. I'm not seeing it in my list.
4	fragments actually are not or do not sorry. Let me reform that.	2	
1 2		1 2	
		3	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of
4	Could we also agree that IARC has	4	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition
4 5	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not	4 5	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that
4 5 6	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic?	4 5 6	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay.
4 5 6 7	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection.	4 5 6 7	 Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can
4 5 6 7 8	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a	4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If
4 5 6 7 8 9	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen.	4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary."
4 5 6 7 8 9	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST:	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST: Q. That's not your that's not your field	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease as well as the physiochemical characteristics that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST: Q. That's not your that's not your field	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST: Q. That's not your that's not your field of expertise?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease as well as the physiochemical characteristics that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST: Q. That's not your that's not your field of expertise? A. That's not my area.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease as well as the physiochemical characteristics that determine their toxicity." Did I read that correctly or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Could we also agree that IARC has determined that non-asbestiform minerals are not carcinogenic? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I believe IARC 2012 lists tremolite as a carcinogen. BY MR. FROST: Q. And do you know what level of carcinogen? Do you know what category? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. I don't specifically remember. I know there are three categories that are relevant. There's Group 1, and then Group 2-A and 2-B. Group 1 are known carcinogens. 2-A is probable, and I think 2-B is possible. But, again, I'm kind of BY MR. FROST: Q. That's not your that's not your field of expertise? A. That's not my area. Q. And you also so you cite the NIOSH	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, yeah. But if you look at page 4 of your report, you cite to NIOSH 2012 for the proposition that A. Wait. Okay. Q non-asbestiform cleavage fragments can have the same potentially dangerous health effects. If you turn to page V, "Executive Summary." A. Page V. Okay. "Executive Summary." Q. The second paragraph, about halfway down, there's a sentence that starts, "Asbestos fibers are clearly a substantial health concern." A. Let me find it. Okay. I found it. Q. After that, it reads, "Further research is needed to better understand health risks associated with exposure to other thoracic-size EMPs, including those with mineralogical compositions identical or similar to the asbestos minerals in those that have already been documented to cause asbestos-like disease as well as the physiochemical characteristics that determine their toxicity." Did I read that correctly or close enough, anyway, I'm sure?

Page 118 Page 120 1 that -- NIOSH is not supporting the position you have in 1 indicated, I thought there might be typos in the report. 2 your paper here, correct? NIOSH's determination is that 2 Okay. What's the typo? 3 they can't make one. More research is necessary, right? So, essentially, the difference should be MS. SCOTT: Objection. 4 diversity. Talc forms in the earth in metamorphic 5 A. That is what's stated here. 5 terranes, and the diversity is metamorphosed mafic and 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 ultramafic rock deposits show the complexity of talc 7 Q. Let's turn back to the IRSST document. I ores at different levels. 8 forget what we marked that as. I think it's 4. There O. Okay. And --9 it is. If you can turn to page 37. 9 A. Sorry about that. 10 A. Okay. 10 That's okay. Typos happens. 11 Q. And, again, at the top nine 11 Your support for that is Berg 1977? 12 recommendations, it states, Since a conclusion cannot be 12 Yes. A. 13 13 reached about the biological effects from the Q. I'll mark Berg. It's e.g., Berg, so that's an example. 14 distinction between a cleavage fragment and asbestos 14 15 Yes. Well, look at the one example you 15 fibers -- actually, I did not read that correctly. Let Q. 16 me try again. 16 pointed to. 17 17 "Since a conclusion cannot be reached MR. FROST: Let me see if I can find a 18 about the biological effects from the distinction 18 copy. Let me see if I can find a copy where the 19 between cleavage fragments and asbestos fibers," and 19 staple hasn't come out. We'll mark that one. 20 then it continues to say precautionary things. So, 20 Do you all need one? 21 again, they also haven't determined, as you state in 21 MS. SCOTT: Sure. 22 your report, that it has the same dangerous health 22 MR. FROST: Be careful of the staple. 23 23 effects, correct? It's pokey. 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Scope. 24 MS. SCOTT: I appreciate that. 25 25 A. It says what it says. (Exhibit 8 was marked for Page 119 Page 121 1 BY MR. FROST: identification.) Q. Yes. They come to the same conclusion as ² BY MR. FROST: ³ NIOSH, and that's, we don't know one way or the other. Q. Do we agree this is the Berg '77 you 4 More research needs to be done, right? reference in your report? 5 Correct. 5 I'm not a hundred percent sure. A. Other than these two, can you point me It also appears, if you look at 18 --7 right now to any other studies that actually support the MS. O'DELL: Excuse me, Doctor. Are you sentence you have here in your report that cleavage finished? Did you finish with your answer? 9 fragments are the same, have the same dangerous health A. I'm not sure. So either I might have 10 effects as asbestiform fibers? misquoted something. Let's see. I don't think I -- I 11 A. No. don't think I have it. Let me --12 Q. All right. If we move down, further down 12 BY MR. FROST: 13 to page 4 of your report, the section called "Formation 13 Q. We can look at it during a break. We can of Talc deposits and inherent asbestos impurities." 14 come back. 15 15 A. Okay. A. I'll check. Berg had several. 16 The first sentence, "Talc forms in the 16 I believe it's number 18. O. 17 17 earth in metamorphic terranes, and the difference is So I am not a hundred percent sure. I metamorphosed" -- I apologize. Can tell me how to 18 might have misquoted --19 19 pronounce that word? O. Okay. 20 20 Metamorphosed. -- this. Because, as I remember the Metamorphosed. Okay. "And the book, it was -- I honestly don't think I --22 difference in metamorphosed mafic and ultramafic rock 22 O. Looked different? Yeah. It was -- yeah. I think I've 23 deposits show the complexity of talc ores at different 23 24 levels." 24 looked at some of this before. It looks familiar, but

I'm sorry. That's a typo. As I

25

25 the thing that I'm thinking, I think I misquoted. I'm

Page 122 Page 124 1 sorry. 1 think --2 2 You certainly didn't include it in the Q. If I were to tell you that talc isn't Q. 3 even mentioned in this paper --3 report, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Yeah. I mean, there's like -- the book I 5 5 had, there's images of mines that talks about, I think, A. I don't know. I forget. 6 the Yellowstone mines, specifically. So I'm sorry about THE WITNESS: Can we take a break? 6 that. I totally, totally missed that. 7 MR. FROST: Sure. Q. Okay. If we move down to the next 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off 9 sentence, you state that "Italian mines, which Johnson & 9 record. The time is 12:21. 10 Johnson and Imerys obtained talc for cosmetic 10 (A recess was taken from 12:21 to 1:25.) 11 production, were ultramafic origin." 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record. 12 12 A. The time is 1:25. Okay. 13 13 BY MR. FROST: O. Is that true? 14 I believe so. 14 Q. All right. Welcome back from lunch. We were on page 4 of your report under "Formations of 15 O. Can we turn back to the IARC 2010? It's the one with the orange cover. Go to page 283 to 84. Talc." And we talked about Italy. Let's move on to 17 Vermont. You say, "Vermont mines relevant to this A. Okay. 18 If you look at B, towards the bottom, it litigation are mafic and ultramafic origins." What's Q. 19 says, "Talc derived from magnesium carbonites." your support for that statement? 20 20 Okay. I'm sorry. Oh, bottom of 4? 21 21 O. "Talc deposits formed from the alteration Yeah, bottom of 4, moving on to 5. 22 of carbonite and sandy carbonite, such as dolomite and 22 A. It's the geology of the area. 23 23 limestone, are the most important in terms of world Do you believe there are mafic formations 24 production. Two types are recognized." And if you skip of talc relevant to the Vermont mines used by Johnson & Johnson and Imerys in this case? 25 down to two, it says, "Those derived from hydrothermal Page 123 Page 125 1 alteration (including retrograde metamorphism) of A. Yes. 2 regionally *metamorphosed siliceous dolomites and other Q. And do you have a geological survey or 3 magnesium-rich rocks." And then if you turn the page something else you're relying on for that? 4 over one, two, three, it says "Italy vouches own after A. There are USGS reports and things like 5 that." that. 6 A. So this is information produced by And they say mafic? They don't just say Q. 7 Luzenac? it's an ultramafic belt? 8 Q. 8 Well, this is from IARC. A. I believe so. 9 9 It's in IARC, but they're citing Luzenac On page 5, kick down to the next 10 as part of this, and each -- the occurrences of each paragraph, the one that starts, "Asbestos minerals, 11 individual mine are -- location are not shown. IARC is including chrysotile, tremolite and actinolite" -- I'm 12 more of a health thing. I would not necessarily expect sorry, "tremolite, actinolite and anthophyllite are 13 a detailed analysis of a geology from an IARC monograph. common in talc ores." What's your basis for the 14 So... statement, because it's uncited? 15 15 Q. Can you point to me to any geological A. It's common knowledge --16 study that shows --16 O. Can you point me to a --17 MR. LAPINSKI: Counsel, let him finish 17 A. -- mineralogy. 18 his answer first. 18 Can you point me to a peer-reviewed O. 19 So, I don't think that -- I don't know 19 source that states that? 20 what they are specifically relying on. 20 Let see here. 21 BY MR. FROST: 21 MR. LAPINSKI: Jack, while he's looking, Q. Can you cite me any geological study that 22 22 what was the statement from the report? 23 shows that the Italian mines of Val Chisone were of 23 MR. FROST: It's page 5, the first 24 ultramafic origin? 24 sentence of the first full paragraph. The 25 25 I forget the citations specifically. I "Asbestos minerals, including chrysotile,

Page 126 Page 128 1 tremolite," et cetera. The first full 1 something. That's not actually stated in this book, 2 paragraph. 2 correct? 3 So reference 40, figure 3, is a 3 MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. The diagrams are -- that's how one can 4 comparison I computed with silica activities. So, 4 interpret these diagrams. 5 essentially, it showed boundaries between talc and 6 chrysotile. And figure 2 shows temperature pressure BY MR. FROST: 7 diagrams for chrysotile and talc. Figure 4 shows Q. Okay. So --8 comparison of computer phase equillibrium, experimental The field --data of Johannes, 1969. It shows chrysotile and talc 9 Does it say it's common? 10 MR. LAPINSKI: Counsel, let him finish 10 fields. So the significance of those fields is that 11 because of -- so those are fields where things, when, in 11 his answer, please. 12 MR. FROST: Sure. 12 absolute equillibrium, those discrete phases are set or, essentially, those are the phases that are stable. 13 So, you know, phase diagrams and the 14 The minerals are stable. But you can go interpretation of phase diagrams is something that 15 back, you know, because of geologic conditions are mineralogists and petrologists do all the time, and 16 variable, you can have metamorphism that heats up an basically, we often will refer to a given phase diagram. 17 area or then cools down. You can then -- the geologic People spend their entire lives perfecting phase 18 conditions then can cross those phase boundaries, and diagrams. That was typically in the '50s, '60, '70s and 19 19 you essentially can have minerals that are stable for a '80s. 20 20 while and then revert. But, often, those reversions are So people will actually refer to specific not necessarily complete. And to substantiate that -phase diagrams by people. So one of my committee BY MR. FROST: members, when I was on my Ph.D., he had the best phase 23 O. Can I stop you right there? diagram for quartz for some period of time. So we use 24 A. Yes. those phase diagrams. They're commonly used to 25 Where does Chernoskey say that asbestos interpret mineral associations and assemblages. Page 127 Page 129 To further answer the question, the -- I 1 minerals are common in talc ores? You just told me 2 about how, chemically, things form --2 believe it's the Veblen '79. Veblen and Buseck is the 3 The thermodynamic diagram. I'm sorry. A. 3 science paper that shows the TEM associations, you know, 4 Go ahead. 4 essentially, these intergrowths of talc and chrysotile. 5 Yes. You just told me about how 5 And, essentially, that literature proves the --O. 6 chemically talc forms, but where does Chernoskey talk 6 essentially, the interpretation of the assertion I said, about talc ores and relate that asbestos minerals are that you go between these regions that are of one condition and another. You don't necessarily get the 8 common in talc ores? 9 So this is a mineralogical volume, so full conversion because of the kinetics. Essentially, 10 this is a review volume, and basically, talc is a either the reaction goes too fast or things basically 11 mineral that is in talc ores and, therefore, is sort of get frozen in the rock, depending upon the 12 relevant. various conditions. 13 So you're telling me how talc forms, and 13 BY MR. FROST: Q. where on the pressure and temperature scale, you know, Q. Okay. So let's be careful with the 15 it can go back and forth to, you know, tremolite. But, 15 language we're using here. What you're giving me is a generalization about how tale, the mineral, forms, and again, does that, just because something can form in nature, where does it say that asbestos minerals are what other minerals that might be associated with that 18 common in talc ores? What you're telling me -formation. Is that -- is that fair? 19 19 A. Well, these are --I would be hesitant about the word 20 O. -- is scientifically how talc forms. "generalization." I mean, these are experiments. They 21 They're commonly associated take years. A. 22 Okay. But --22 thermodynamically. O. 23 Q. And that says that in that book? 23 And the data, you know, these boundaries,

The diagrams indicate that.

Okay. But this is you interpreting

24

25

A.

people in the '50s, '60s and '70s, I mean, they put a

great deal of effort into establishing the boundaries.

	1101 K 81517 C		
	Page 130		Page 132
	These are relevant for understanding larger processes of	1	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
	metamorphism and understanding, you know, what	2	A. You can have an ore of talc. The two are
	essentially what the history of the earth is. So the	3	not so go ahead. Proceed.
	diagrams aren't generalized. They're very, very	4	BY MR. FROST:
5	specific	5	Q. So where in this book is it specifically
6	Q. That's why I want you to listen very	6	saying that talc ores, which are ores that have been,
7	8,7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	you know, talc deposits that have been determined, as
8	back.	8	you said, to be economically viable, will commonly be
9	All right. You agree with me, talc ore	9	associated with chrysotile, tremolite, actinolite,
10	is different than talc, right? Ore means it's the	10	anthophyllite?
11	deposit that is being mined, right?	11	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
12	MS. O'DELL: Objection.	12	A. The mineral constituency
13	A. The mineral talc is a primary	13	BY MR. FROST:
14	Q. But listen to the "ore."	14	Q. So, again, you're
15	A constituent	15	A is minerals make up the talc ore.
16	MR. LAPINSKI: Let him answer the	16	So you can't separate you can't separate the ore from
17	question, Counsel.	17	the mineral when you're talking about how it's formed.
18	A. So the mineral talc is a primary	18	It's integral. I mean, it's absolutely integral to the
19	constituent of ore, and you can't	19	ore. You know, it would not be an ore if it didn't have
20	BY MR. FROST:	20	talc in it, right? It wouldn't you have to have the
21	Q. And that's why I want you to listen to		required constituent in order for it to be an ore.
	me. I'm talking about ore. Ore means it's a talc	22	So, therefore, you know, every
23	deposit that's being mined, right? You wouldn't find a	23	petrologist in the world, every, you know, mineralogist,
	piece of talc you found in somebody's backyard and call	24	you know, we refer to these thermodynamic diagrams that
25	it ore, would you? Ore is a definition of a mineral	25	have been worked out for, you know, now, some of them,
	Page 131		Page 133
1	that's being mined. Do you agree with me there?	1	you know, decades. One was '69 or whatever. So I don't
2	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	2	think it's it's my professional opinion that these
3	A. Yeah. Ore is not necessarily a mineral.	3	thermodynamic diagrams adequately relate and describe to
	Ore can be multiple minerals.		the mineral phases that occur in talc ore.
5	BY MR. FROST:	5	BY MR. FROST:
6	Q. Sure. But ore is something that's being	6	Q. Okay. So you are making a
7	mined, right?	7	generalization, based upon the mineral phases, that all
8	A. Yes. It's something of economic	8	talc ores
9	interest	9	A. I would be hesitant to call it a
10	Q. Sure. So in order	10	generalization. I mean, it's
11	A as opposed to a primary material of	11	Q. Can I finish my question, sir?
	interest.	12	A. Yeah. I'm sorry. Sorry. Go ahead.
13	Q. Okay. So in order to be an ore, it has	13	Q. So, again, can you give me a can you
14	to be something that's being mined, right?	14	give me a cite that shows that anthophyllite is common
15	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	15	in every talc ore mined across the world?
16	A. No. You can have ores that are not being	16	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
17	mined. They're just recognized as ore deposits. I have	17	A. Where does it say that in the report?
18	a book of ore deposits.	18	Q. "Asbestos minerals, including chrysotile,
19	BY MR. FROST:	19	tremolite and actinolite and anthophyllite are common in
20	Q. Okay. It's not this complicated, sir.	20	talc ores." A Are common ves You said every talc
22		22	A. Are common, yes. You said every talc deposit in the world.
			Q. Well, no. Show me where show me in
1 / 4		123	
23	a talc formation somewhere. A talc ore is something that has been identified as a mineable source of talc	23	
24	that has been identified as a mineable source of talc. Are we fair on that?	24	there where it says that anthophyllite is common in every talc ore across the world.

Documen Mark Page 134 Page 136 1 I think the interpretations of these 1 I mean, this is long recognized.

3

10

- thermodynamic diagrams indicate that it's --
- 3 So it's purely theoretical?
- 4 No. It's experimental.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 Is how the diagrams are designed. And
- then, essentially, these are peer-reviewed articles that
- are long-standing. So let me just check that to be
- 9 sure. Yeah, so there's, you know, these different -- so
- 10 Berman '88 is kind of one of these benchmark
- 11 thermodynamic databases, and we use these all the time
- 12 to understand and predict mineral stabilities and
- understand and interpret the environments.
- 14 So, essentially, through the use of these 15 diagrams over time, we can interpret, you know, the
- 16 condition. So whether it's an ore or talc, you know, is
- immaterial, the thermodynamics don't, don't really care.
 - Q. Well, don't you agree with me that
- 19 depending on the temperature, time and pressure, the
- 20 constituent rock of any particular deposit is going to
- 21 be different? I mean, that's what those phrase diagrams
- 22 say, right?

18

- 23 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. No. The phase diagrams indicate that
- 25 things will be stable under different fields.

- 2 BY MR. FROST:
 - See, that's why -- I fear you're not Q.
- 4 listening to my questions. My question is: Depending
- upon the thermodynamics that were in play in creating
- 6 any particular deposit, it will be different. And
- depending on the differences, you will get different
- mineral crystallization within the phases, correct?
 - MS. SCOTT: Object to the form.
 - Each situation may be slightly different.
- 11 But the -- to the blunt of the major phases, the
- thermodynamics is relevant, and actually, you can
- tweak -- you know, there's other programs that exist.
- 14 So, for example, on the igneous field,
- 15 there's a program called MELTS where you can fine tune
- your models. And I think things were being in
- development for these. You know, essentially, similar
- types of things exist. There's like geochemist
- workbench and other modeling programs that exist.
- 20 So, yes, you can -- things will change,
- but these diagrams are generalizable in the sense that
- they can be applied to multiple regions throughout
- the -- throughout the world.
- BY MR. FROST:
 - And that's exactly what I asked you at

Page 135

1 BY MR. FROST:

- That's what I'm talking about. So you'll
- 3 have -- different minerals are stable under different
- pressures and temperatures, right?
- 5 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. Not -- because of the kinetics,
- 7 essentially, this lag effect. You know, things are --
- 8 that's not necessarily the case. So diamonds, you know,
- 9 the classic example that we use in courses, diamonds are
- 10 thermodynamically stable deep in the earth. They get
- 11 brought up and then they -- thermodynamically, they
- 12 should persist. But because of the kinetics in that
- 13 particular situation, the bonds of the carbon are
- 14 really, really strong. That diamond doesn't revert to
- 15 graphite.
- 16 So, essentially, the thermodynamics gives
- 17 us a guide. It is a very, very good guide. But when
- 18 things cross these boundaries, it takes time to
- 19 essentially equilibrate to the new conditions. And if
- 20 not enough time evolves geologically, things occur such
- 21 that you get these relic phases. And in the case of
- 22 talc ores or talc deposits or whatever you want to call
- 23 that, you can have essentially these relics or asbestos
- 24 minerals, chrysotile, for example, that co-occur. So
- 25 the thermodynamics basically is -- and people know that.

1 the very beginning is these are generalizable tables

Page 137

- 2 that you can use to predict what's in a particular
- deposit?
- A. They're not tables. They're phase
- diagrams.
- Q. Or figures or phase diagrams.
 - A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. But so we're right back to where I
- started, and that's these are generalization of how
- phases work that you can use to predict what's in
- something, but it's not necessarily saying there is this
- 12 constituent in this particular deposit, correct?
- 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- BY MR. FROST:
- 15 Q. How the phase operated will affect what's
- in a particular deposit, right? 16
- 17 So it's really the combination of the
- 18 phase diagram. Plus, you know, I keep referring to
- 19 Veblen.

24

- 20 O. Yeah.
- So basically, yeah. So the phase diagram
- is relevant when things are -- assumed to be absolutely
- 23 perfect when everything is in thermodynamic equilibrium.
 - Q.
 - And it is relevant when it's not. When

Page 138 Page 140 1 things are not or when they're moving, things 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 essentially react and progress slowly. But you can have Then you cite Evans 2004 as the basis for 3 incomplete or imperfect reactions as, you know, that statement? 4 illustrated by the one Buseck paper, the '79 paper. A. Yes. 5 5 Q. So if you want to predict what's in a MR. FROST: Let's mark this. 6 particular deposit, you have to sort of know what the MR. LAPINSKI: What number is this? 6 7 time pressure, the metamorphic history of it, when it VIDEOGRAPHER: Nine. 8 formed, how stable it was, what it started from, what 8 MR. FROST: I told you I'd forget. (Exhibit 9 was marked for 9 the constituent beginning minerals were, you know. Then 10 you can apply that to a phase model? 10 identification.) 11 If you want to predict -- I'm sorry. 11 BY MR. FROST: 12 Yeah. And then you can apply it to the 12 O. Do you recognize this article? 13 phase model, right? 13 A. Yes, I do. 14 A. No. 14 Can you point to me where this article 15 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 15 shows that talc and chrysotile are associated with each 16 A. Well, There's multiple ways of predicting other in deposits? what a deposit would be, and it's scale dependent, phase The thing I was referring to is dependent. It's dependent on the geology, and it's concluding remarks. "Despite an up temperature 19 dependent upon tectonics, as well. So there's many transition from lizardite to chrysotile at these 20 things. So as a mineralogist, you know, one thing that temperatures, the latter remains metastable." 21 21 I would heavily rely on are the phase diagrams. So basically in giving these diagrams, 22 BY MR. FROST: the thermodynamic diagrams, because that metastability, 23 Q. Sure. But you have to know the specific that's the kinetic thing, that's what, essentially, the 24 history of a formation if you want to do an accurate chrysotile would potentially persist. 25 prediction of what's in that particular thing. The 25 Q. Okay. So he's not saying that. You're Page 139 Page 141 1 phase diagrams are one of the things you'd look at, 1 just interpreting that from this article? That's not 2 his conclusion? That's yours? 2 right? 3 MS. SCOTT: Objection. That is the interpretation of the You would use phase diagrams to predict 4 thermodynamic, you know, this article. And I think that 5 potential, potentially what would be in text, because data supports it as does other, you know, these 6 you have this kinetic issue, right. diagrams. 7 BY MR. FROST: Q. What I'm saying is that's not his. 8 Q. Yeah, and that's based upon the geologic That's not Evans' conclusion. That's you interpreting 9 formation, all the other factors that come into how that data within the Evans report, correct? formation was formed, temperature, pressure, time, you 10 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 11 know, all the things that we've talked about, right? 11 Yes, but I'm citing that. 12 A. You can use the phase diagrams. Also if 12 BY MR. FROST: 13 you have bulk chemistry data -- if you have bulk 13 Q. Okay. Let's move on. The next 14 chemistry data, you can use that bulk chemistry data, paragraph, the one that starts "Metamorphic systems." I 15 sort of figure out and do models to see where things believe it's the last sentence. It says, "Reactions can also progress for some period and then revert to 16 are. So you don't necessarily have to know -- so you 17 can, you an model things, and that model would give you asbestiform mineral chrysotile," and it continues 18 some prediction. because it changes. 19 19 Q. If you look at the next sentence, it So, hopefully, you'll agree with me on 20 says, "Talc and chrysotile are associated with each in this one. For it to revert back to chrysotile, it would 21 talc deposits at the micrometer and nanometer scale have to have started as chrysotile, correct? 22 making the separation impossible during the mining and 22 A. So that is a possibility. You can go 23 from -- that's what the stability fields are all about. 23 manufacturing process." Do you see that? 24 A. Yes. 24 So you can start off as chrysotile. You can cross that 25 25 phase boundary, and then it can revert back if the

Page 142 Page 144

- $\ensuremath{^{1}}$ conditions change back. And, actually, we know this in
- 2 metamorphic rocks, that, essentially, the phase
- 3 assemblage can basically go back and forth, back and --
- 4 it can revert. So I'm specifically -- I'm talking about
- 5 reverting on that phase boundary.
- 6 Q. Yes, but it can only revert back to
- 7 chrysotile if it started at chrysotile, right?
- A. So that might be a poor phrasing of the
- ⁹ word, but essentially it's not an inaccurate phrasing.
- 10~ So when I wrote this, I was thinking of these phase
- 11 diagrams.
- Q. What I'm getting at is, let's say it
- 13 started as, you know, a serpentinite or an anthophyllite
- 14 converted to talc. It's not going to then revert back
- 15 to a different crystal, right? It's not going to --
- 16 it's not going to go from anthophyllite to talc to
- 17 chrysotile?
- A. Based on the geologic history, there's
- 19 multiple pathways. So it won't revert to the same magic
- 20 crystal, if that's what you're implying.
- Q. So the way -- and I agree with you. It's
- 22 very inartfully written here. So you say, "Reactions
- 23 can progress for some period of time and then revert to
- 24 the mineral chrysotile." So the reactions of talc can
- 25 only revert back to chrysotile if that's where they

- 1 completely new chemical structure of chrysotile,
- 2 correct?

3

- A. Correct. Not all the time, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Bear with me a second
- 5 here. Okay. Next paragraph down after you cite the
- 6 various Imerys documents, you said, "A 1977 thesis by
- ⁷ Barry Seymour (JNJ 272469) describes the complex
- 8 mineralogical development of the specific ore." So are
- ⁹ you talking about the specific ore in the Seymour paper
- or are you talking about the specific ore at issue in
- 11 this case?

12

13

15

18

21

25

- A. I forget. Can we bring that document up?
- Q. Yeah, I can get you Seymour.
- MR. FROST: Would you like a copy?
 - MS. SCOTT: Yes, please. Thank you.
- 16 (Exhibit 10 was marked for
- identification.)
 - MS. SCOTT: Are you marking this?
- MR. FROST: Yes, I forget what number it
- 20 is.
 - MS. SCOTT: Ten.
- MR. FROST: Ten.
- A. I think "specific" is -- I think it might
- 24 be a typo.

Page 143

- 1 started from, correct?
- 2 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- 3 A. So let me just read the sentence before
- 4 here, because I think -- "Reactions may also be
- 5 incomplete, meaning there may not be enough geologic
- 6 time or other chemical component to drive the reaction
- 7 to completion as discussed in Deer, Howie and Zussman.
- 8 Reactions can also progress for some period of time,
- 9 then revert to asbestiform mineral chrysotile because of
- 10 changes in geologic conditions."
- So, in part, I think I'm referring to
- 12 Deer, Howie and Zussman. I don't think I've said
- 13 anything inaccurate there. It's not exclusive to --
- 14 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. I'm trying to clarify --
- A. You know, you can have reactions, you
- 17 know, that's not complete.
- Q. So what I'm getting at, it's a really
- 19 simple question. The reversion won't always be from
- 20 talc to chrysotile, right? It will only revert back to
- 21 chrysotile if that's where it started. Do you agree
- 22 with me there? So while it may be correct that if it
- 23 starts as chrysotile, partially transforms to talc and
- 24 reverts back to chrysotile, that makes sense. But if it
- 25 starts as something else, it's not going to revert to a

- 1 BY MR. FROST:
 - 2 Q. Okay.
 - A. So as I look at this document, I
 - 4 basically remember looking at the introductory material

Page 145

- 5 in it. So --
- 6 Q. You'd agree with me it's a thesis about
- 7 the East Johnson mine?
 - A. I would have to reread the document.
- 9 Q. If I would represent to you it's about
- the East Johnson mine and if you actually look at the
- 11 abstract --

12

13

16

- A. Foley and Johnson.
- Q. And you'd also agree with me the East
- 14 Johnson mine was never one that was used for cosmetic
- 15 talcum powder by Johnson & Johnson, correct?
 - MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- A. It may not have been used, but it is in
- 18 the same general geology. And, certainly, in geology,
- 19 it is part of the same general terrane, so therefore,
- 20 it's not exactly like the hammer, the Rainbow mine, but
- 21 it is relevant because it's geologically connected in
- 22 the sense of the terranes.
- 23 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. So you're telling me that it has the same
- 25 formation as the deposits in the Hammondsville and

Page 146 Page 148 1 Rainbow mines or are you just saying --1 define the geology as a whole, you know. So they want 2 I don't remember specifically, but 2 to know where ore is and where ore is not, if there is ³ problematic areas. So, for example, the mine I work 3 essentially the geology, so... 4 with in Nevada, they have a formation, Stebbins Hill The second half of my question, or is it 5 unit that they avoid, because it's got all kinds of more that you're basing it on they're all part of the 6 ultramafic belt, the Appalachian ultramafic belt that problematic stuff in it. runs from Quebec through Georgia? Q. And that's probably a pretty good It is more the general geologic example. I take it they -- every now and again, they A. association. take samples from the problematic portion of that mine, 9 10 Q. Okay. That's all I was going to ask correct? 11 about that. 11 They sample everything as they go. So 12 Page 15 is geologic map of Vermont. It 12 I've seen datasets of 20,000 from a single -- single A. 13 level. 13 shows things being connected. 14 Well, it shows the Appalachian ultramafic 14 Q. So what I'm getting to is just because ¹⁵ belt running through Vermont, correct? you have a test of -- you know, a test coming back from 16 Yes. a mine doesn't necessarily mean that the rock associated A. 17 with that test makes it into the final product, right? Q. Turn to page 6 of your report, the 18 "Common toxic metals of interest." So before we start MS. SCOTT: Objection. 19 looking at any specific documents, will you agree with 19 A. I don't -- there's no -- I didn't see any 20 me that seeing metals at certain levels in deposit 20 specific chain of custody, so I can't, you know. BY MR. FROST: samples is different than seeing metals in certain 22 levels in a finished talcum powder product? 22 Q. I'm talking from a general perspective. 23 23 They're sampling a lot more of the rock than that MS. SCOTT: Objection. It can be metals in processing. It could 24 ultimately ends up in a final product in a mine, 25 correct? 25 be reduced or they could also be increased depending Page 147 Page 149 1 upon the details of the processing. I don't think I saw MS. SCOTT: Objection. 2 any documents, although I requested documents, any So there's a difference between coring to 3 documents about the detail, you know, before -- before 3 define your geology and then mining --4 and after, kind of full throughput, you know, as far as 4 BY MR. FROST: 5 watching a specific sample go through, but, yeah. O. Uh-huh. That's what I'm saying. 6 BY MR. FROST: -- to get your product. A. 7 Q. You'd also agree with me, too, that So just because you find something here doesn't necessarily mean that that ends up, that 8 sometimes mine samples aren't necessarily from the ore 9 that is used in the final product. It might be from a particular test sample ends up in the final ore that 10 boundary. It might be from a surrounding rock, a black makes it to the grinding process for final talc, 11 wall. Just because you see something in a sample 11 correct? 12 doesn't necessarily mean that that's the ore that is 12 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Speculation. 13 then converted over into the final powder as well, 13 A. Yeah. You don't -- that would be speculative or you -- it doesn't mean it doesn't. 14 correct? 15 MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: 16 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 16 Q. But, again, that's why --17 17 A. I am confused by the question. As I So --A. 18 think I understand you, can contaminants or other 18 Okay. I'll ask you this way. Does every O. material that is not the primary ore be included in the single sample that's ever tested in a mine -ore processing? 20 MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. You guys just --21 BY MR. FROST: 21 MR. FROST: Sure.

22

23

24

25

to finish.

question.

24 drilling the mineable ore body, correct?

23 mine, when you drill sample holes, they're not just

Q. Other way around. When you sample a

Generally correct. They're looking to

22

25

MS. O'DELL: If you'd give him a chance

MR. FROST: I thought he did finish his

	Page 150		Page 152
1	MS. O'DELL: I don't think he did. I'm	1	hypothetical questions here. I'm trying to get down to,
2	sure he needs to give you an opportunity to	2	
3	finish as well	3	
4	MR. FROST: I'm sorry. I thought you had	4	
5	finished your question.	5	A. Yes. That is a common procedure.
6	MS. O'DELL: But you're talking over each	6	Q. So just because a sample comes up and has
7	other. In fact, you just interrupted me.	7	
8	A. That's why I was distracted. Can you	8	
9	restate your question again, please?	9	part of sampling is to tell you what parts of the mine
10	BY MR. FROST:	10	
11	Q. Sure. So my question is: Every sample	11	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
12	that comes out of a mine doesn't you know, everywhere	12	A. Potentially. But there's reasonable
13	they're sampling, they're doing core outside of the talc	13	, , ,
14	body. They're coring through. They're trying to find	14	another spot. When you have high concentrations, such
15	areas of ore they don't use. Do you agree with all	15	as those observed, it's a natural. Essentially, you
16	these as just general mining concepts?	16	have gradients that occur over some degree of space. So
17	A. Generally.	17	you, you know, so arsenic might have, you know, a
18	Q. Okay.	18	thousand parts per million in one spot and be zero in
19	A. But it go ahead.	19	another, but without, you don't know where to mine,
20	Q. And you also agree with me that,	20	where that's cut cut off.
21	generally, mines aren't just sampling from the ore they	21	BY MR. FROST:
22	are using to put into a final product, correct?	22	Q. But, again, but my question's very easy,
23	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	23	and it's just because you see something here doesn't
24	A. Correct. But that doesn't mean that	24	mean it's there, right? You'd have to know more?
25	that doesn't mean that you're not, when you sample and	25	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
-			
	Page 151		Page 153
1	Page 151	1	Page 153
1	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they		BY MR. FROST:
2	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist.	2	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right?
3	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST:	2	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct.
2 3 4	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on	2 3 4	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows
2 3 4 5	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular	2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in
2 3 4 5 6	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses of arsenic, for example, in some of the Vermont	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that beneficiation is one way in which you can reduce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses of arsenic, for example, in some of the Vermont material. So, you know, some of those were related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that beneficiation is one way in which you can reduce the amount of, say, a heavy metal that ends up in a final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses of arsenic, for example, in some of the Vermont material. So, you know, some of those were related to ores. And let's look to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that beneficiation is one way in which you can reduce the amount of, say, a heavy metal that ends up in a final product, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses of arsenic, for example, in some of the Vermont material. So, you know, some of those were related to ores. And let's look to BY MR. FROST:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that beneficiation is one way in which you can reduce the amount of, say, a heavy metal that ends up in a final product, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find things like asbestos, it doesn't negate that they exist. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. Here's my next question: Based on that, just because a sample comes back with a particular level of some, say, heavy metal, you know, just because some sample in a mine somewhere came up with a level of chromium, for example, based on that sample, you can't say, without knowing more, that that particular area where the sample came from ultimately ended up in talcum powder that consumers used, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. Calls for speculation. A. So, yeah, I think it is speculative, because you're talking about one powder. There's many, many analyses of things. So you're not you're not gonna spend a huge amount of time on things that are not directly related to your work, because, you know, you do have to keep costs in mind. So, you know, if you know, there were numerous, numerous, numerous analyses of arsenic, for example, in some of the Vermont material. So, you know, some of those were related to ores. And let's look to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. Right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And just because something shows up here doesn't necessarily mean it's going to end up in what becomes the mill feed, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Correct. But there's always the potential for it to do so. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And you also agree with me that beneficiation is one way that mines specifically for talc can clean out some of the accessory minerals and some of the heavy metals, right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Beneficiation works when applied properly. I'm not a mineral engineer, so I don't fully think I can comment on details of that. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. But you agree with me that beneficiation is one way in which you can reduce the amount of, say, a heavy metal that ends up in a final product, correct?

Page 154 Page 156 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 beforehand, and basically, they walked away with \$50,000 2 2 worth of aquamarines. So gem mining certainly is You comment in your report specifically something that you could selectively mine. about the beneficiation going on at the Vermont mines. So is that not something you're going to opine on here? Gold is another example where there are 5 MS. SCOTT: Do you want to point him to 5 deposits in Nigeria where, essentially, groups of women 6 6 go out and they selectively, you know, go through, the place in his report? 7 7 basically pan and find gold nuggets. I think it's --Yeah. Sorry. Is this the Colorado mines 8 you know, it really depends on how you say selective study? BY MR. FROST: mining, and so the thing that, you know -- did I answer 9 10 that? 10 Q. Yeah, it might be. I don't have it right in front of me. It's something that I think we can get 11 Q. I'm listening to your explanation, yeah. 12 12 back to later. But you agree with me as a general Okay. So selective mining, I think in the context of talc deposits, is -- I really don't think mining concept --13 14 A. I'd like to see the document. you can effectively do it. So with respect to Chinese 15 ore that is supposedly hand sorted -- let me find where Yeah. Well, I'm asking you general concepts, because you are giving opinions about the that section is. So if you're -- yeah, as I understand 17 mining that was going on at these mines, correct? it, they basically look at the rock and say it's okay. 18 A. Yes. There's nothing wrong. 19 Q. And beneficiation is one thing that mines 19 Well, there's several issues with that. use, correct? 20 20 So, one, the human eye cannot detect either metals or 21 small asbestos fibers by simply looking at, at the rock, A. Yes. 22 22 at the surface of the rock, right? So, essentially, you O. And beneficiation can be used to reduce the amount of contaminants that are in an ore, correct? can do it. You can visually inspect the outside of the 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. material, and you would not be able to visibly see if 25 there's a thousand parts per million of nickel or MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. Page 155 Page 157 Reduce, but not purify. 1 chromium or some other element. 1 2 2 BY MR. FROST: And then, in addition, you can have 3 3 inclusions of stuff in the rock that you could not --It can be used to reduce, correct? 4 you just physically can't see. So there's a 4 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 5 Potentially, if executed well. 5 hypothetical risk that you can have inclusion of, let's 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 say, sulfides, a lot of sulfides, a nodule that has a 7 Okay. And selective mining is another 7 lot of sulfides in it, that, in this chunk, you would O. tactic that can be used in an ore to try to reduce not be able to visually discern what was there. So and 8 9 contaminates, correct? then, you know, so you basically -- and so that's the sorting, as I understand it, with China. 10 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 10 11 No. There's -- the selective mining was 11 Q. Do you agree with me that -- so, is it 12 an issue, significant issue that I found. And the your opinion that selective mining for talc can never 13 reason -work or do you agree with me that selective mining is 14 BY MR. FROST: one of the tools that a mine can use to help to purify 15 15 its ore? Q. I'm asking in general, sir. Can 16 16 selective mining --I would say in the context of -- in the 17 context of talc, selective mining is not very effective, In general, I don't -- you know, I think 18 it really depends on what you mean by "selective because the scale of the issue is with the ore. 19 19 mining." So I think a good effective example of Okay. Other than your personal opinion, 20 selective mining would be gemstones. So you find a can you cite to me any peer-reviewed or scientific 21 pegmatite. You go -- actually, there was a group that source that supports that? 22 did this a couple years ago. They went to a site in 22 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 23 Colorado. They basically looked at the geology. They 23 A. I don't think there's any peer-reviewed ²⁴ selectively looked at specific lithologies. They were 24 literature that I can think of. I think it's just

25 able to narrow it down. They did a lot of research

25 common sense. You know, everyone knows that you can

	Page 158	Τ	Page 160
1	hide you can have inclusions and impurities in an	1	basis of this is Van Gosen 2004. I'm going to mark
- 1	ore. And if you're only using your eyes and you're only	2	
	hand sorting things plus there's human error.	3	A. Okay. It's the environmental earth
4		4	
		5	^ ^
	is, you know they'll just make mistakes.	6	
6	And then the other issue I think is		A. It's the environmental earth science
7	g, , ,	7	paper? It's the journal?
8	r	8	Q. Yes. Environmental Geology, 2004.
9	for hand sorting. So an ore-controlled geologist is a	9	A. Oh, yeah. That's currently the
10	, 1	10	journal name changed. I had a few papers in it. Is
11	One of my former students, he's an	11	10
12		12	Q. The court reporter's marking it.
13	three months of training for them to delineate the ore.	13	(Exhibit 11 was marked for
14	So that is an example of selective mining, but there's a	14	identification.)
15	high level of effort that goes into it, and the goal is	15	BY MR. FROST:
16	platinum. And, basically, the way that particular mine	16	Q. Since we've already established we're
17	is set up is to extract the platinum. They're not	17	talking about the same paper, can you show me anywhere
18	really they don't have to worry about other	18	in this paper that Van Gosen specifically speaks about
19	contaminants that might be present.	19	any of the mines that you've listed here in your report?
20	BY MR. FROST:	20	A. Correct. No specific mine is listed. It
21	Q. Okay. I'm going to stop you because we	21	talks about Vermont talc, in general.
22	keep getting off on a lot of these tangents. My	22	(Exhibit 12 was marked for
23	question was: Can you point me to any mining studies or	23	identification.)
24	anything else that say that selective mining does not	24	BY MR. FROST:
	work for talc?	25	Q. I've now marked the Ross article. It's
	Page 159		Page 161
1	A. I know of no peer-review publications.	1	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's
2	A. I know of no peer-review publications.Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your	1 2	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's
3	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start		Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's
3 4	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced	2	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you.
3 4	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start	2 3 4	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by
3 4	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced	2 3 4	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in
2 3 4 5	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by
2 3 4 5 6	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various	2 3 4 5 6	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document?
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 8	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic metals, chrysotile, and amphibole asbestos." Do you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic metals, chrysotile, and amphibole asbestos." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure. Q. Okay. A. I might have used the wrong number.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic metals, chrysotile, and amphibole asbestos." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure. Q. Okay. A. I might have used the wrong number. Q. Okay. But you agree with me this doesn't
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic metals, chrysotile, and amphibole asbestos." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure. Q. Okay. A. I might have used the wrong number. Q. Okay. But you agree with me this doesn't talk about any of the mines, certainly, right?
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 100 111 12 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I know of no peer-review publications. Q. Okay. Thank you. Turn to page 7 of your report. It's 7 into 8, actually. You know, we start talking about the various regions that talc is sourced from, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. On page 7 to 8, you list various time frames and various mines, you know, from which you believe. I take it this came from your review of the documents, the timeline that you put forth here? A. Just give me a moment to review. Q. The easier way to ask is: Is this something that was provided to you or is this something that you came up with yourself? A. I came up with it. Q. Okay. So at the very end of it, so we talked about all the various mines, and afterwards, you have a sentence that reads, "These mines are known to have impurities associated with talc, including toxic metals, chrysotile, and amphibole asbestos." Do you see that? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ross 74. "Environmental Health Perspectives." She's already marked it for you. A. Oh. Q. Same question. Can you show me where in this article it details any mine actually used by Johnson & Johnson? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I don't see mention of a specific mine. BY MR. FROST: Q. Next, I'm going to mark I'm sorry. A. Go ahead. Q. I didn't mean to cut you off if you weren't done. Next I'm going to mark Document JNJ 000521616, the first page of it, anyway. (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) BY MR. FROST: Q. Do you remember looking at this document? A. Actually, I'm unsure. Q. Okay. A. I might have used the wrong number. Q. Okay. But you agree with me this doesn't

Page 162 Page 164 1 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 1 as amphibole and grit and stuff like that, correct? 2 A. Correct. I -- I haven't -- I don't think So, for example, the one ending in 87231, 3 I've seen this. I think I used -- there's a typo or "Battelle Memorial Institute document dated 1958, 4 something in there. Sorry. 4 indicated the presence of tremolite in the talc, BY MR. FROST: 5 commonly at levels ranging from 1-3 percent. That 6 Q. No. That's okay. That's why we're --6 document also studied the abrasiveness and grit of that's why we're doing this. Italian talc." So that's something, that the grit is in All right. If you turn to page 14 of addition to the finding of tremolite. 9 your report under, "Evidence that Asbestos Occurred in Q. Do you agree with me that none of these 10 Defendants' Mines." The first sentence reads, "The documents actually find asbestos or define that they 11 documents I reviewed provided strong evidence that the have found asbestos in any of the ore from Italy? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 12 talc used by Imerys and Johnson & Johnson to produce 13 Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower came from 13 A. I would want to double-check all of mines that contained asbestos minerals or fibrous talcum these, but they do two things. The last one, presence in an asbestiform habit." Did I read that right? of tremolite and actinolite and, also, tremolite and one 16 A. Yes. that I just mentioned. And tremolite is a -- recognized 17 O. And looking back, you cite the same exact as a carcinogen by IARC 2012. 18 documents as we just -- as the last sentence, correct? 18 Q. Can you show me anywhere in your report 19 MS. SCOTT: Objection. that you note that tremolite is found by IARC to be a A. It's in the report. potentially dangerous mineral, you know, a human 20 BY MR. FROST: 21 carcinogen? 21 22 Q. Yeah. Okay. And you'd agree with me, 22 (Exhibit 14 was marked for 23 you know, that these materials don't actually relate 23 identification.) 24 directly to the mines used by Johnson & Johnson as 24 I can't find a specific example. 25 identified on pages -- I believe it's 7 and 8 of your 25 Page 163 Page 165 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 report, right? 2 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Q. And you're not qualified to say whether 3 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 3 or not a particular mineral would be harmful, you know, I would have to read -- double -- I would 4 as a human carcinogen. You have no basis by which to want to double-check each individual document. say that's correct or not correct, right? 6 BY MR. FROST: MS. SCOTT: Objection. 7 But, certainly, the ones we just looked A. Correct. I'm not a medical. Q. 8 BY MR. FROST: at --9 9 The one we just looked at. Q. Okay. All right. What number was that? A. 10 -- certainly don't support that, right? Fourteen. So I've just marked -- I've given you a Q. 11 binder marked 14. It has tabs 1 through 5. I'm sorry. A. Correct. 12 Okay. All right. Move on to the next I have yours. I apologize. 13 section of the report. It's "Mines in Italy," pages 8 13 So these are the various documents you 14 to 9, I believe, of your report. cite in your report. So let's look through each of 15 A. Oh, 8 to 9. them. We'll start with 1. 16 16 MS. O'DELL: Let's get this one back Then on page 9, it's the third paragraph. 17 17 You have, "Based on what I have reviewed, I have together. 18 sufficient basis to conclude that Italian ore was of MR. FROST: Oh, did it come apart? 19 19 poor quality," correct? MS. O'DELL: Yes. Is there a particular 20 Yes. 20 part of his report that these came from or are A. 21 What are you talking about there when you you jumping around? Q. 21 say "poor quality"? 22 MR. FROST: Yes. No, we're talking about 22 23 A. That I'm referring to the findings of the 23 the report now. They're page 9 to 10. These 24 items listed below. 24 are the documents that support the 25 25 These items are talking about things such ore-is-of-poor-quality statement.

Page 166 Page 168 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 Q. So this first one, can you tell me Q. But it's JNJAZ55_6104. I think it starts 3 anywhere in the Battelle report that starts JNJ 87868, 3 at 6103, but 6104 is the letter. The one, two, three --4 that they note the trace amounts of amphibole are 4 fourth paragraph down says, "I have also checked into asbestiform in any way? 5 the mineralization of that part of the territory, and 6 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 6 the minerals which show in the valley are: Talc, 7 No, I don't. pyrite," magnesite -- sorry, "magnetite, calcite, BY MR. FROST: dolomite, apatite, clinochlore," sorry, "chrysotile," 9 Q. Okay. Turn to tab 2, which is -- the and then, you know, talks about others, including 10 document starts JNJ 87231. Same question. Can you tell 10 tremolite, actinolite, correct? 11 me anywhere in here where, I believe it's Battelle 11 A. Yes. 12 12 again, identifies finding any asbestiform mineral? Q. And this is talking about the valley. 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 There is nothing in here that indicates that this is 14 A. So tremolite is noted as trace on page 4 talking specifically about the Fontaine mine, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 15 here. 16 BY MR. FROST: 16 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 17 17 O. Does it note the trace tremolite has A. It's unclear. 18 asbestiform? 18 BY MR. FROST: 19 A. No, it does not. 19 Q. Dr. Ashton also isn't saying that any of 20 Q. So you'd have no way to tell whether or these minerals have been found in the ore coming from not it's asbestiform or non-asbestiform based on this the Fontaine mine, correct? 22 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 22 document? 23 23 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 24 A. Correct, but mineralization of that part 25 The -- it has been so, "The amphibole of the territory. So... Page 167 Page 169 1 component has been established to be the variety of 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 tremolite." Yeah. It does not say that it is asbestos But there can be different mineral ³ profiles throughout the valley depending on when it ³ form, but it is tremolite. 4 BY MR. FROST: 4 formed, what it formed from? 5 Q. Okay. Turn to tab 17 -- or sorry, tab 3. Yes, and it could be present because of 6 It's the document Bates numbered JNJAZ55_213. the association observed. Unfortunately, there's just no way to And, again, I think it mentions tremolite O. 8 and actinolite as things that may be in the ore, but it tell from this document, correct? 9 doesn't talk about whether or not anything's asbestiform 9 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 10 or any levels, correct? 10 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 11 True. It does say tremolite and 11 A. A. Correct. 12 BY MR. FROST: 12 actinolite. 13 Q. Turn to tab 4. Somebody's conveniently Q. All right. Turn to tab 5. It's the 14 put an arrow, I think, to the paragraph that you're document that starts JNJAZ_87. This is the Pooley 15 relying on. It states -- sorry, this is the document report from 1972. It's very long, so I'll help you out. 16 that starts JNJAZ --If you turn to the very end of it --17 17 MS. O'DELL: Just to make clear --MS. O'DELL: Doctor, feel free to --18 MR. FROST: It's on the document. 18 BY MR. FROST: 19 MS. O'DELL: It's the original. 19 Yeah. I was going to say, you can review MR. FROST: Yeah. I was going to say, 20 the whole thing if you want, but I'm going to 21 it's not something we've done. concentrate on the "Conclusions" section. 22 MS. SCOTT: Or anyone else? 22 If you look at -- it's on page 121 of the 23 MR. FROST: Yes. It's part of the 23 report. 24 original document as produced. 24 A. Oh, this one. 25 25 Do you recognize that you've seen this Q.

Page 170 Page 172 1 one? 1 formed from the amphibole mineral found at the mine were 2 2 hardly fibrous in character, the majority of the A. Yeah. 3 3 tremolite breaking to give compact particles," correct? Q. The quality's bad. A. It also said, "Those fibres formed were 4 Oh, there's -- you can see chrysotile. 5 "Examples of commercial amphibole and chrysotile short and had a very large diameter." So fibers were 6 asbestos particles together with typical selected area formed. But, yeah, you're correct. electron diffraction patterns." Yeah. So the images So, again, it's his opinion that there are here, but, yeah. So, yeah. That's right. That was no asbestos in that test, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 9 page you can't tell. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 10 MS. O'DELL: What page are you on? 10 11 THE WITNESS: I'm on Page 56. I'm sorry. 11 BY MR. FROST: 12 12 MS. O'DELL: Yeah. No, no. I'm just Q. But that the tremolite was not 13 trying to follow along. You go where you need asbestiform. I think they were just called the 14 to go. amphibole, but the amphibole that he found was not asbestos, correct? 15 A. Amosite asbestos particles there. 16 BY MR. FROST: 16 A. Correct. 17 17 Q. Again, the chrysotile you pointed out on Turning back to your report, page 10, the "Mines in Vermont." So I think we talked about it a 18 56, he's showing you an example of what a commercial chrysotile looks like, right, not a picture of what came little bit, but I think you and I will agree the from the talc. Do you agree? Appalachian ultramafic belt is where the talc is found 21 in Vermont, correct? I think it's your second sentence. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 22 22 What's your question? Yes. Yeah. 23 23 BY MR. FROST: Now, do you have the opinion that all the Q. When you just talked about 56, the ultramafic rocks within the Appalachian belt had the 25 picture of chrysotile you're talking about is a same general metamorphic histories and formation Page 171 Page 173 1 reference to --1 histories and profiles? 2 2 I just recognized it. No. There would be some variability. 3 Okay. I agree with you. So have you Okay. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 4 ever looked at the local geology for the formation BY MR. FROST: associated with the Hammondsville mine? 6 Q. So if you look at the fourth paragraph A. I've never been on site. I've never been 7 down on page 121, Pooley's page 121, it's page 210 of to the mine. 8 the Bates number. The conclusion is "The only 8 Q. Have you ever looked at any geological 9 asbestos-type mineral to be detected in the hand samples survey specific to the Hammondsville mine deposit? 10 was tremolite, which was found in three specimens." If 10 A. The Hammondsville? 11 you go down to the next sentence, it says, "no tremolite 11 Q. Yes. 12 12 was detected in the talc-type specimens." Is that A. Yeah. Yeah. I see its geological 13 right? 13 survey. 14 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. I see the one you've typed here. That's 15 really just geological survey showing you where it is, A. That's what it says, yes. 16 BY MR. FROST: correct? That doesn't tell you about the morphology and 17 Q. Okay. So, again, Pooley did not find any 17 the geological deposit formation? 18 18 tremolite in the actual ore or the talc, correct? A. I think there's some geologic data that's 19 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 19 associated with it. I don't remember specifics. 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 Q. Okay. So and this is true for -- it's A. As it reads, yes. 27, 28, 29 and 30, your footnotes, correct? These are 21 22 BY MR. FROST: all, you know, USGS website hits for Hamm, et cetera? 23 23 Q. And if you go to the next page, page 122, A. Yeah. 24 it's the first full paragraph, the second paragraph on 2.4 Q. Have you ever looked at any of the USGS 25 the page. About halfway down, it reads, "Particles 25 actual reports or surveys that were done examining the

	Main 81528		
	Page 174		Page 176
1	talc in these particular mines?	1	geological survey?
2	A. I believe I have.	2	A. As stated, yeah.
3	Q. Do you recall which ones they are?	3	Q. Any reason this would not have come up in
4	A. Not specifically at the moment.	4	your search?
5	MS. SCOTT: Before you get into this	5	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
6	next	6	A. I didn't search for this particular
7	MR. FROST: Do you want to take a break?	7	document. When I was doing my search for the
8	MS. SCOTT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	8	peer-review literature, you know, I use, like, Web of
9	been going about an hour and a half, I think, is	9	Science. So Web of Science has, essentially, this
10	that right, or about an hour?	10	higher level of peer-review material. So this isn't
11	MS. O'DELL: Hour and 13 minutes.	11	necessarily these types of reports aren't included in
12	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the	12	that, but I did use Google to search things, and that's
13	record. The time is 2:39.	13	how I found some of the other things. So but, no, I
14	(A recess was taken from 2:39 to 2:58)	14	don't believe that I've seen this report.
15	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record,	15	BY MR. FROST:
16	and the time is 2:58.	16	Q. Okay. Given your rendering opinions
17	(Exhibit 15 was marked for	17	about the geology specifically at the Vermont talc
18	identification.)	18	deposits, any particular reason you didn't search the
19	BY MR. FROST:	19	geological surveys, the USGS surveys regarding the
20	Q. All right. I'm going to start can you	20	areas?
21	grab, I think, number 15? It's the 1951 geological	21	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
22	survey from Chidester. Have you ever seen this article	22	A. I looked at the literature that I thought
23	before?	23	was relevant, based on my professional opinion.
24	A. I don't remember. Let me look at my	24	BY MR. FROST:
25	references, the author or the agency. It doesn't appear	25	Q. The next one marked. Take a look at
	2 455		7
	Page 175		Page 177
	to be on my reference list.		yep, the next one.
2	Q. Okay. Turn to page 28 of the report.	2	MS. O'DELL: What's the exhibit number on
3	MS. SCOTT: And, Doctor, feel free to	3	this one?
4	take a look at the entirety of the report if you	4	MR. FROST: Sixteen.
5	need to.	5	(Exhibit 16 was marked for
6	A. Okay. I'm not sure.	6	identification)
7	MS. SCOTT: Do you have one?	_	identification.)
	•		BY MR. FROST:
8	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy?	8	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964.
9	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes.	8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check
9	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize.	8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have
9 10 11	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks.	8 9 10 11	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list.
9 10 11 12	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about	8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages
9 10 11 12 13	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that.	8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here. Q. About halfway down the first column,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here. Q. The question, then, is going to be: You
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here. Q. About halfway down the first column, "Hammondsville talc quarry, Locality 117."	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here. Q. The question, then, is going to be: You agree with me that in this USGS survey, they
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here. Q. About halfway down the first column, "Hammondsville talc quarry, Locality 117." A. 28, Locality 117. Okay. I see that.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here. Q. The question, then, is going to be: You agree with me that in this USGS survey, they specifically ran chemical analysis of ore coming out of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here. Q. About halfway down the first column, "Hammondsville talc quarry, Locality 117." A. 28, Locality 117. Okay. I see that. Q. So you agree with me this paper talks	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here. Q. The question, then, is going to be: You agree with me that in this USGS survey, they specifically ran chemical analysis of ore coming out of the Hammondsville mine? I guess it's typed ore mill
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FROST: Do you need a copy? MS. SCOTT: Yes. MR. FROST: I apologize. MS. SCOTT: That's okay. Thanks. MR. FROST: You're welcome. Sorry about that. MS. SCOTT: No problem. BY MR. FROST: Q. And my question about this paper is: You agree with me, turning to page 28, that this geological survey specifically talks about the Hammondsville talc mine, correct? A. Turn to page 28. Let's see here. Q. About halfway down the first column, "Hammondsville talc quarry, Locality 117." A. 28, Locality 117. Okay. I see that. Q. So you agree with me this paper talks	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. And, again, this is Chidester 1964. A. It's the geological survey. Let me check and see if I have that. It doesn't look like I have that in the reference list. Q. Turn to pages A. So let me look. Can I look at the report and Q. Yes. A just see what the nature is? Q. Sure. And, specifically, I'm going to turn your attention to 48 and 49. A. 48 and 49, okay. Let me look at the report in general here. Q. The question, then, is going to be: You agree with me that in this USGS survey, they specifically ran chemical analysis of ore coming out of

Page 178 Page 180 1 A. Yes. It says, "Chemical analyses of a 1 MS. O'DELL: Let him finish. 2 variety of talc in Vermont," and the year on this is --A. Power diffraction was beginning to be 3 well, I'm sorry. 3 common and then chemical analyses. So I didn't 4 Q. I believe it's 19 --4 necessarily exclude it based on -- or I didn't really --So 40a, 40b and 40c. The source is from 5 I just -- I didn't find it, but I didn't -- you know, 5 6 Spence, so let's see what Spence 1940 is. So at that 6 these are older references and I would not -period of time, most things were done by wet chemistry, BY MR. FROST: 8 and so the -- there were limitations as far as the Q. That was question is you didn't find detection limits. So I'm sorry. 1940. 9 this, right? 10 10 Q. Well, again, my question --MS. SCOTT: Objection. 11 A. Yeah. Go ahead with your question. 11 A. I did not search for a lot of the older 12 literature because the analytical methods dated, 12 Despite the fact that there is specific 13 testing of ore in this document as well as Spence, predated what appear to be the operational -- operation neither of those two documents ever came up in your timelines or -searches, correct? BY MR. FROST: 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 16 Q. But it doesn't sound like you searched BY MR. FROST: for any USGS surveys regarding these specific mines; is 18 Q. And this is testing specific to the ore that fair? That wouldn't have come up in your search? 19 from the Hammondsville mine. Do you agree with me that 19 MS. SCOTT: Objection. neither Spence nor this paper came up in your searches? 20 A. So specific mines may not -- they're not 21 A. Correct. I mean, you know, so one of the necessarily in USGS reports. Mines tend to show up in things is that it depends --USGS reports if there's permission or --22 23 Well, answer my question. BY MR. FROST: Yep. I'm seeing if it -- it's not --24 Q. Sir, I have a limited amount of time, and 25 actually, Spence is not cited in this document. 25 I really need you to just answer my questions. So my Page 179 Page 181 1 Q. It appears to be. Spence? 1 question is --2 Pearre, Pearre, Pearre, Perry, I'm trying to give a thorough answer. A. 3 Pratt, Quinn. No, no. The question is -- it's a very If you at page 61, Spence, HS 1940. simple question. Did you search USGS reports for the 4 Q. specific mines that Johnson & Johnson used in Vermont? 5 It's not listed in the --A. 6 O. Page 61, selected bibliography? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 7 61. I'm sorry. I don't see it. Oh, A. I don't remember. Spence. I was thinking Pence. Okay. Right. Very BY MR. FROST: 8 9 good. 9 Okay. And you certainly didn't cite 10 Q. 10 them. Okay. 11 So, essentially, the -- I don't think the 11 I did not cite these. I did not cite 12 company was mining Hammondsville at that time, was it? 12 these. 13 My question becomes, did these come up --13 Do you know what NIOSH is? Q. 14 despite the fact that there's testing specifically of 14 A. Yes. 15 Okay. Are you aware that NIOSH has 15 ore from Hammondsville in both Spence and this, this Q. funded an epidemiological study based out of the workers report did not come up or the Spence report come up in 17 your searches; is that correct? 17 of the Vermont mines? 18 18 MS. SCOTT: Objection. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 19 19 A. Correct, because the analytical A. I'm not a medical expert. I only know NIOSH really exists. I use it for the basic definition. 20 techniques at the time, certainly for electron microscopy, was in its infancy. Power diffraction BY MR. FROST: 22 22 was --O. So is that a no? 23 BY MR. FROST: 23 I'm sorry. Repeat the question, please. 24 Q. So you're saying it didn't come up in 24 I said, are you aware that NIOSH has run 25 your computer search because of -an epidemiological study of the workers at the Vermont

	Mark 81530		EL, PII.D.
	Page 182		Page 184
1	mines?		explanations about other parts of the report that don't
2	A. No I am not. I don't remember.	2	have to do with question are just taking up my time on
3	MR. FROST: We'll mark this as I	3	the record. So I'm not trying to be rude, but I'm
4	believe this is new 17.	4	running out of time, so I'm trying to move it along.
5	(Exhibit 17 was marked for	5	MS. SCOTT: But to be fair, you're also
6	identification.)	6	asking him about an epidemiological study. He's
7	BY MR. FROST:	7	not an epidemiologist.
8	Q. Have you ever seen this paper? Do you	8	BY MR. FROST:
9	know who Dr. Boundy is?	9	Q. And my question was whether or not this
10	A. So what is the journal? I don't have it	10	was something he would have searched for, and the answer
11	cited as Boundy. The journal is this a National	11	is no, right?
12	Institutes of Health paper, just so I can be sure?	12	A. No. I would not go to a journal called
13	Q. I believe it is a journal called Dust and	13	Dust and Disease. Are you okay on time?
14	Disease.	14	Q. You don't need to worry about that.
15	A. Oh, I don't think I cited anything from	15	That's a lawyer thing.
16	Dust and Disease.	16	MS. O'DELL: Yes.
17	Q. Okay.	17	BY MR. FROST:
18	A. So in occupational exposures,	18	Q. Turning back to your report, looking at
19	non-asbestiform talc in Vermont. Okay?	19	the bottom of page 10, we then move on to the mines in
20	Q. Is this not something that came up in	20	China.
21	your search?	21	A. I requested documents on I requested
22	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	22	documents on China, mines in China. There were
23	A. No. I'm not I'm sorry. Dust and	23	apparently, there was not a whole lot of information. I
24	Disease?	24	know Dr. Longo tested materials from China, but I don't
25		25	think I mean, I made a request for cores. I made
	Daga 193		Page 185
	Page 183		•
	BY MR. FROST:		requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk
2	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct.	2	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as
2 3	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical	2 3	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of
3 4	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have	2 3 4	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China,
2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert.	2 3 4 5	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net. BY MR. FROST:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other documents that just weren't given to you by plaintiffs'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net. BY MR. FROST: Q. Sir, again	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other documents that just weren't given to you by plaintiffs' counsel, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net. BY MR. FROST: Q. Sir, again A. I have a broad net.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other documents that just weren't given to you by plaintiffs' counsel, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net. BY MR. FROST: Q. Sir, again A. I have a broad net. Q. I'm asking very simply yes or no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other documents that just weren't given to you by plaintiffs' counsel, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Well, I did. I did search I did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. That's correct. A. Yeah. I'm not a medical Q. So you wouldn't have A expert. Q. Sorry. A. So I'm not a medical expert, so I didn't. Q. So you wouldn't have looked at any journals outside of your specific field, because I will relate to you that they tested talc from the various mines and found that there was no asbestos in it based on the NIOSH study. It's not something you relied on? A. So there's MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Excuse me, Doctor. Object to the form. You may answer. A. In all these questions are still I did not look at this paper, but this paper does not negate the findings of the rest of the report. I've tried to take a broad net. BY MR. FROST: Q. Sir, again A. I have a broad net.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requests for testing results, including TEM, XRD, bulk chemistry. But the data that I was able to have was, as far as I did actually, I tried to search on Web of Science and other things about talc deposits in China, and I could not discernibly find anything. I think there's Chinese references, but I don't speak Chinese and Q. Sure. A I couldn't really translate those. Q. And by saying you asked, you asked plaintiffs' counsel, and they provided you what they provided you, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Yeah. So I want to use company documents, so give the company, essentially, as I believe I was supposed to do, so the company documents are I mean. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. And like we established before, you have no way of knowing if there are any other documents that just weren't given to you by plaintiffs' counsel, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection.

Page 186 Page 188 1 BY MR. FROST: 1 metal contents like lead, cobalt, chromium, iron, nickel 2 I'm talking about documents. and titanium, correct? 3 3 The documents? Correct. A. 4 O. Yes. You have no way of knowing if what 4 O. And then you cite to JNJ 59273, right? plaintiffs gave you is the complete set of documents 5 Right. A. that relate to the mine, right? 6 6 O. Okay. Let's look at that document. 7 A. I expected --It's got 750 parts per million of A. 8 MS. SCOTT: Objection. titanium in it. It's actually low. It's like .2. (Exhibit 18 was marked for 9 A. Yeah. Of all the documents that exist, I 9 10 expect that it's not each and every single document. 10 identification.) 11 BY MR. FROST: 11 BY MR. FROST: 12 Q. So you've made your review and your 12 Q. I'll divert your attention to page 2086. 13 opinions on the China based on what is admittedly an I take it the comment at the bottom of 2086 is where incomplete set of documents provided to you by you're getting this information from, right? plaintiffs' counsel, right? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 16 MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. 16 A. I looked at the data. Actually, I'm 17 A. I don't know if it's fully -- I made looking for the data table that I saw the other day. 18 requests for the China for as much -- all the Yeah, so 2078, titanium 750. The lead there is 12.7 on 19 information on China that there was and, to my the previous table. Let's look and see what the 20 knowledge, what was provided, and then what I looked at, concentrations are. 21 I tried to search things on my own. There just is BY MR. FROST: 22 apparently not a lot I would consider. I would 22 O. You're on 2078? 23 23 certainly consider reviewing documents on China. I I am on 2078. A. 24 would certainly consider translated documents, so 24 Q. Okay. 25 someone who's got an expertise but --A. And so --Page 187 Page 189 1 BY MR. FROST: Do you see the top of 2078 that that chart relates to something called "Kwangsi No. 1 talc"? Again, I'm trying to rein in your answers 3 A. 3 here --A. Okay. Q. Do you believe that Kwangsi No. 1 talc was the talc ever used by Johnson & Johnson? -- to what we're talking about. But I O. 6 want to be clear. The requests you made weren't to It's unclear. I don't. ⁷ either Imerys or Johnson & Johnson. You made those Well, in your report, I think you note requests to plaintiffs' counsel? that they use Kwangsi No. 1 and Kwangsi No. 2, correct? 8 9 9 A. Yes. Correct. MS. O'DELL: Objection. 10 Q. And then plaintiffs' counsel provided 10 11 back to you a set of documents? 11 I think -- again, I'm not an expert in 12 12 Chinese language. 13 And you can't tell me whether or not that BY MR. FROST: Q. Q. But you'd agree with me that Kwangsi No. set consists of all documents that you requested related 15 to the Chinese mines, right? 15 1 is not Kwangsi talc, correct? It's a different ore? 16 16 A. I don't really know. Names of mines MS. SCOTT: Objection. 17 A. I cannot without certainty. change and things, but, potentially, they seem different. That's reasonable. But in my sentence, I 18 BY MR. FROST: 19 Q. All right. So let's look at what you say defense information indicating that Chinese talc 20 opine. Page 11, the second paragraph, you state, as far contains higher than normal levels and, you know, the 21 back as 1983, and again, we know in 1983, Johnson & metals are there. So I think that statement is 22 Johnson was not sourcing talc from China, right? 22 consistent with the chart on page 2078 and 2086, and let's look at -- it's been a while since I looked at the 23 A. Correct. 24 Defendants had information indicating 24 document. O. 25 25 that Chinese talc contains higher than normal heavy Hold on. Let me walk you through it.

Page 190 Page 192 1 A. I'd like to review --1 refer to this as an indication that there are 2 ² problematic materials in Chinese ore. Obviously, it was O. Well, I want to talk about your 3 investigated for a reason, so they were interested in it 3 statement, then. When you're saying Chinese talc is 4 higher than normal --4 at some level. 5 BY MR. FROST: 5 Can I? A. 6 Q. Okay. But you agree with me you have no O. No. 7 way to tell us one way or the other that any of the MS. SCOTT: Let him ask the question. BY MR. FROST: tests of any of the ore in this document actually relate Can you answer my question, please? to the talcum powder that 20 years, 30 years later made 9 Q. it into Johnson & Johnson talcum powder products? 10 Okay. Good. A. 11 When you say Chinese talc contains higher 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection. O. 12 12 than normal heavy metal contents, you're talking about A. The -- the documentation provided to me 13 is -- there's many gaps. 13 all talc from China, not necessarily the Chinese talc that Johnson & Johnson was using? Is that what you're 14 BY MR. FROST: telling me? Q. Sir, I'm talking about this document. 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 16 Focus on this document. So my question is: This document, is there anywhere in this document that says 17 A. I'm sorry. 18 BY MR. FROST: the talc that Johnson & Johnson uses 20 years later for 19 I'll ask you the question again, so you talcum powder has constituents? I understand we're 20 don't have to read it. 20 talking ---21 21 A. Yeah. A. Has constituents? 22 So in your report, when you're talking 22 Has the constituents we're talking about 23 here. You know, that "Defendant had information 23 about Chinese talc, you're talking about talc from the country of China, not the Chinese talc ore that Johnson indicating that Chinese talc contains higher than normal & Johnson was using? Is that what you're telling us? heavy metal contents like lead, cobalt, chromium, nickel Page 191 Page 193 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 1 ¹ and titanium." Is there anything in here --They simply knew that this is how I --2 A. I meant, essentially, both more Chinese, 3 Chinese talc, meaning talc within the boundaries of they simply know that this report existed, right? 4 China has more or has contaminants and would be of You have to listen to my question. You potential concern. can't tell me one way or the other that this report in 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 any way relates to any talc ever used by Johnson & 7 Q. That's a general statement as to all Johnson for its talcum powder, right? talcs coming out of all talc regions of China? 8 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 9 I do not have a chain of custody, so, 10 BY MR. FROST: 10 yes. 11 A. Well, it's specific to this example, and 11 Q. Okay. 12 as an example, I think there's, there's a lot of concern 12 A. But the way the sentence is phrased, the 13 in the general environmental literature about materials 13 sentence is general. 14 in China in general so --14 O. Yes. We've established that now. 15 15 Q. And by concerns over materials in MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. general, you're talking about now everything coming out BY MR. FROST: 16 17 17 of China as a generalization? No, no. I'm saying --18 18 MS. SCOTT: Objection. MS. O'DELL: You interrupted him -- let 19 19 A. Not everything. him finish. 20 BY MR. FROST: 20 MR. FROST: Sure. 21 Q. But you're talking about, like, the lead 21 BY MR. FROST: concerns out of manufactured products like toys, and 22 Q. In general -we're including this now in your statement, right? MS. O'DELL: Stop talking. Let him talk. 23 23 24 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 24 Thank you. 25 So the sentence is general. Defendants 25 No. I'm sorry. Let me just be clear. I

	Mark 81533		
	Page 194		Page 196
1	have information indicating that Chinese talc contains	1	deposits are geologically related, to the best of my
2	higher than normal levels of lead, cobalt, chromium. So	2	ability. Again, there is some paucity of data, but it
3	I feel that this document supports that statement. It	3	seemed, from what I could gather, that these are
4	doesn't say all talc, but they had knowledge that	4	geologically related.
5	some	5	BY MR. FROST:
6	BY MR. FROST:	6	Q. So sitting here today, you can tell me
7	Q. Some talc?	7	that you've specifically looked at the Maanshan deposit
8	A talc had issues.	8	and the I apologize to the court reporter for these
9	Q. Okay.	9	names and Zhizhua Mine, and you're confident and you
10	THE WITNESS: My thing is I think it	10	can tell me that you have seen sources that shows those
11	stopped. What time? It says 1520.	11	two exact deposits are similar and come from the same
12	MS. SCOTT: Did you hit "follow"?	12	
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I have hit "follow"	13	A. Let me so
14	several times.	14	MS. O'DELL: Objection.
15	BY MR. FROST:	15	A. So asbestos was discovered and fractures
16	Q. All right. While they're sorting that	16	of the talc ore body of the Maanshan deposit looking in
17	out, I'll continue to ask my questions.	17	the Shanglin region. And the question is am I certain
18	A. Okay.	18	that tale
19	Q. All right. Page 11 of your report,	19	BY MR. FROST:
20	second full paragraph starts, "In the Guangxi Province."	20	Q. You just told me that you've seen
21	A. Yes.	21	something that says Maanshan is the same geological
22	Q. If you look down the citation, you say,		formation?
23	after it, it says, "In Talc Geology, Resources,	23	A. Can we look at 413792?
l	Production and Market Study, Guangxi Autonomous Region,'	24	Q. I don't have it. Is that the one we just
24	asbestos was discovered in fractures of the talc ore		looked at, though?
25	aspestos was discovered in fractures of the taic ofe	25	looked at, though?
	Page 195		Dogg 107
	rage 193		Page 197
1	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the	1	MS. SCOTT: No.
1 2	_	1 2	_
	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the		MS. SCOTT: No.
2	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region."	2	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't
3	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations?	2	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it
3 4	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations?	2 3 4	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time.
2 3 4 5 6	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from	2 3 4 5	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the
2 3 4 5 6	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it.
2 3 4 5 6 7	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's mentioned there, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32. (Recess taken from 3:32 to 3:39.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's mentioned there, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32. (Recess taken from 3:32 to 3:39.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's mentioned there, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you have no evidence that Johnson &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32. (Recess taken from 3:32 to 3:39.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record. The time is 3:39.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's mentioned there, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you have no evidence that Johnson & Johnson ever sourced talc from the Maanshan deposit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32. (Recess taken from 3:32 to 3:39.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record. The time is 3:39. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	body of the Maanshan talc deposit located in the Shanglin region." Did I read that right, or close enough, anyway, on the pronunciations? A. Yes. Q. Did Johnson & Johnson ever use talc from the Maanshan deposit? A. I'm not sure. I'm confused by that, the Chinese words, so I'm not sure. But, again, there was so I don't know for sure, but there was a paucity of data relating to Chinese, I think. Q. You specifically state, if you look back at page 8 A. I forget. Q of your report, you state, "2002 to present: Zhizhua Mine, Guigang Province, China. Product Name: Guangxi No. 2 and Guangxi No. 2A" A. Yeah. Those are two. Q. Maanshan is not the Guangxi mine that's mentioned there, correct? A. Correct. Q. And you have no evidence that Johnson &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. SCOTT: No. MR. FROST: A different one. I don't have it, so, no. I mean, you guys can do it during your time. MS. O'DELL: If he wants to see the document and it's available to him All right. If he has it. A. Can we? So it's Imerys 413792, Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Watch your mic. Doctor, watch your mic. A. That's 413792. 413792. It is a JNJ. BY MR. FROST: Q. No. It is an Imerys. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. FROST: Let's go off the record, please. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 3:32. (Recess taken from 3:32 to 3:39.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record. The time is 3:39.

Page 198 Page 200 1 the same? 1 anything to refute that statement? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 2 A. So Guangxi is an autonomous region. 3 I have nothing to refute or endorse. I Q. Okay. 4 A. And there are different mines within that 4 do know the geology of China is very chopped up. It's autonomous region. extremely complex. So you can have areas that are 5 6 geologically connected that are distant from each other. So, again, do you have anything that shows me that the formation at the Zhizhua Mine are the So Tianchen is a basin area in north central China. I same as the Maanshan mine? 8 have colleagues that work there, and essentially, there 9 No. I don't think so, or I'm unclear. are major displacements that occur. 10 10 I'm confused by the names. So, again, I didn't have details of 11 All right. That's fine. Moving on on China, but, essentially, China is very complex, and you 12 page 11, the paragraph that starts about halfway down can have parts of the geology disperse. Yes, I was not the page, "Beginning in July of 2004." aware that they were separated by geographic distance. 14 A. Uh-huh. That doesn't preclude that. 15 O. And then the next two paragraphs sort of BY MR. FROST: 16 preceding that, do you agree with me that these all 16 Q. Well, I was going to say without 17 relate to a mine visit in the Liboshikuang Mine of the speculating, your can't tell me whether or not the talc 18 **Shandong Province?** districts of Hubei and Shandong are the same as the talc 19 A. I'm confused by the names. I would need district in Guangxi, for example, correct? 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 to look at the document. 21 Q. Yeah. And Hubei and Shandong. Well, 21 BY MR. FROST: 22 here. We'll start with the first paragraph. "Beginning 22 Q. Sitting here today --23 23 in ... 2004, Rio Tinto began investigating talc Correct. But the statement as "Rio Tinto 24 operations and talc potential in the provinces of Hubei 24 began investigating talc operations and talc potential 25 and Shandong." Did I read that correctly? 25 in the provinces of Hubei and Shandong." Page 199 Page 201 A. Yeah. So, to my knowledge, that 1 Q. Yes. Just answer my questions, okay? paragraph is correct. 2 And, again, there's no evidence that talc ever came from 3 Q. But I didn't ask if it was correct. 3 Hubei and Shandong that was used in Johnson & Johnson 4 talcum powder. You, sitting here today, without 4 5 O. My question is: Do you agree with me 5 speculating, can't tell me that Johnson & Johnson ever 6 that Hubei and Shandong are different areas of China used talc that came from Hubei and Shandong, correct? 7 than Guangxi? A. Correct. 8 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 8 Q. And then it continues on, and it starts 9 A. I don't know. talking about the detailed visit to the Liboshikuang 10 BY MR. FROST: Mine in the Shandong province, correct? It's two 11 Q. Okay. Did you ever look up Hubei and paragraphs down. It talks about the field report and 12 Shandong and compare them to where Guangxi sits? 12 "the report detailed a visit? 13 A. I don't remember. If I did, I -- you 13 The second paragraph on the bottom? 14 know, I got -- the nomenclature, the names were 14 Q. Yes. 15 15 confusing. So I did -- I try to look at Google Earth A. In Shandong? Okay. 16 and figure things out. But, again, I don't think there 16 Okay. And, again, it talks about a mine 17 was, like, a location map that was provided. The data that you have no evidence whatsoever whether or not this 18 from China was very limited. There's no -- I don't has any geological similarity to the Shandong province 19 think there's any GPS coordinates, which is another or the Guangxi province, correct? 20 20 thing that's kind of odd. Okay. Go ahead. MS. SCOTT: Objection. Q. If I were to represent to you that 21 Specifically, no. There is no data that A. 22 they're about 2,000 kilometers away from each other, the 22 was --23 Hubei and Shandong are coastal by Shanghai and Guangxi 23 BY MR. FROST: 24 is southern and internal and they're about So what I'm getting at here is I'm a 25 2,000 kilometers away from each other, would you have 25 little confused why we're talking about talc districts

Filed 05/30/19 Page 53 of 86 PageID: er, Ph.D. Page 202 Page 204 1 upon which you have no data that are thousands of 1 MS. SCOTT: Objection. ² kilometers away from the mine actually being used by 2 BY MR. FROST: ³ Johnson & Johnson. 3 You don't know one way or the other; is Q. MS. SCOTT: Form. that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 5 A. Because just like in, as you pointed out 5 6 for the Appalachians, we have this very large district MS. O'DELL: Objection. With a hundred percent degree of 7 that extends hundreds of kilometers. Based on the 8 limited data that was available to me, it's likely that, certainty, sure. But, geologically, it makes sense that 9 essentially, talc deposits are genetically related in things would be related. BY MR. FROST: 10 some way. 11 BY MR. FROST: 11 Q. Okay. And that's based on what studies 12 12 have you looked at in China that show you can make the Q. Except that didn't you just tell me 13 without speculating -leap to say that these regions that you don't --14 MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. 14 A. That's --15 15 MR. FROST: Old on. O. Hold on -- that you don't know anything 16 MS. O'DELL: He was not finished. about are related? 17 17 A. So, basically, it's reasonable, you know, MS. SCOTT: Objection. 18 so if you have -- you know, you have a deposit of 18 I base that on, essentially, just the 19 something, and you have similar deposits of that same nature of tectonics on the planet. Essentially, there's 20 something, that it's reasonable that you would expect no peer review literature. 21 BY MR. FROST: 21 there to be some connection or relationship. That's 22 something that we do in geology all the time, 22 Q. Turn to page 12. It's the first full 23 essentially develop hypotheses as far as spatial paragraph. "I have reviewed multiple documents." It is

25

Where is it? The third sentence. You

Yes.

2 know that "The practices and procedures defendants' talc

the paragraph that starts there. Do you see where I am?

Page 205

3 fall short of satisfying international standards of

quality and purity." What international standards of

quality and purity are you talking about here that you

didn't cite?

A.

A. So industrial mineral companies,

basically, we used the peer-review literature, and

essentially, things are developed internally to assure

that you have variability or control, and so it's

commonly done that you run multiple x-ray diffraction

analyses on materials, for example. So a company I work

closely with in Virginia, or have historically, they

analyze 200 samples a day, essentially, and they do that

with powder diffraction and, also, XRF.

16 There's analytical technologies that

exist that you can do rapid XRF analyses with a handheld

device, and that's been around since the early 2000s.

So, basically, the peer-review literature is one general

20 way of doing things. And then -- well, hold on. We'll start

there. What studies? Can you point me a single study

that talks about the international standards of quality 24 and purity that weren't met here?

25 MS. SCOTT: Objection.

Page 203

1 60 percent white talc and 40 percent black talc with the

So, basically, the fact that there's

- 2 latter having obvious tremolite association, so that's,
- 3 okay, one thing. And then, notably, it was associated
- 4 with amphibolite-grade metamorphism. Therefore,
- 5 Johnson & Johnson and Imerys had information regarding
- 6 tremolite's presence in the region.

²⁴ relationships of things.

25

- 7 And if you had indication of the presence
- 8 of something in the region, you know, you might exclude
- 9 that or you would want to do further exploration to sort
- 10 of constrain, as we mentioned earlier, with mining, we
- 11 want to define what's not there and what is there.
- 12 BY MR. FROST:
- 13 Q. But here's where I'm going stop you. All
- of this concerns a region that's thousands of kilometers
- away from the region that's actually being mined, right?
- 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 17 BY MR. FROST:
- 18 Q. So what does any of this actually have
- anything to do, without speculating, about the talc
- coming from the Zhizhua Mine in the Guangxi Province?
- 21 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 22 A. The geology can be potentially related.
- 23 BY MR. FROST:
- 24 Q. See, we're talking about can be here, but
- 25 you're speculating, right?

Page 208 Page 206 1 So methods are communicated verbally in 1 you if you want. 2 industrial mineral companies. So, basically, by Yeah. I need to look at it, but I think 3 interacting with companies, I know, basically, that you 3 that might be related to gold mining, but Gy is 4 analyze things repeatedly, repeatedly trying to something that's used in general. 5 constrain the variability. Things aren't necessarily, Q. Is Gy a universally adopted standard for 6 as far as what individual companies do, they look to the mining practices around the world? peer-review literature to use or learn what analyses are A. I think it's commonly used. Again, every done and how they are executed. company has their own. 9 As far as the numbers of things, that's Why don't we look at Afewu, but, again, 10 something that's decided by companies, and basically, you agree with me that Gy is one. There are probably 11 using general statistical approaches, they want to know hundreds, if not thousands, of competing theories and 12 what the variation is. So companies that I work with, methodologies, right? 13 they commonly will analyze hundreds of, a couple hundred 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 samples a day or a week. 14 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 15 15 Other companies I know, they have A. I don't think that's an accurate 16 dedicated labs that basically analyze hundreds of 16 statement. thousands of samples a week, and it's expected that they BY MR. FROST: maintain that level because, eventually, they can get 18 But it's certainly not the only one, Q. sold or bought, so they want to be able to prove the 19 right? reserves and the historical thing. So that's -- that's 20 Others exist. kind of the international standard is sort of multiple 21 So you can't tell me that Gy is the universal standard for talc mining, right, and that 22 things. It's by experience. 23 Q. Here's what I want to get at. If I want that's the standard that companies have to follow? to know what the international standards of quality and 24 That's the, quote, international standard of quality and purity are, you're telling me there's not any document I 25 purity? Page 207 Page 209 1 can go to, any regulation or anything out there. I'm MS. SCOTT: Objection. 1 2 trying to get the basis for your opinion here, and the 2 I think it's relevant. A. 3 basis for your opinion here is Dr. Krekeler had told me 3 BY MR. FROST: 4 it's wrong and here's why, and you can't point to any Q. We'll mark Afewu. We talked about Afewu. 5 study --So if you're mining --A. 6 So --6 Q. There's not a question pending, sir. 7 7 MS. O'DELL: Let him finish. Okay. Sorry. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 MS. O'DELL: This is 20? 9 9 BY MR. FROST: MR. FROST: 18. 10 Q. -- regulation, mine document, anything 10 COURT REPORTER: 19. out there to support your basis. It's just I, Mark 11 MR. FROST: 19? 12 Krekeler, am telling you this. You should believe me. 12 COURT REPORTER: Yes. 13 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 (Exhibit 19 was marked for So Gy and the reference. Gy 79 is 14 identification.) 15 something that's used sampling of particulate materials 15 BY MR. FROST: there in practice. 16 Q. On the first page, it's page 299 on the 16 17 BY MR. FROST: first column. It's the paragraph that starts, "An essential condition of any sample." 18 Q. Let's talk about Gy. Gy is about gold 19 19 mining, right? Okay. I found the paragraph. 20 A. Gy is about sampling of particulate 20 Okay. About halfway through, it starts 21 materials. talking about the Gy paper. "A number of approaches Related to gold mining, right? have been proposed to address these problems. The most 22 O. notable one is the work of Gy." Do you see where I am? 23 A. I don't recall specifically. Was it 23 24 Afewu? I believe the Afewu. 24 A. 25 25 If you look at Afewu, I can mark that for Q. After that, it says, "Most practitioners

Page 210

- 1 have used this model for gold ores, though, without much
- 2 fulfillment in the results." Am I reading that
- 3 correctly?
- 4 A. You're reading what they've said.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. But, yeah.
- 7 Q. And you agree with me that there are laws
- 8 and regulations that relate to mining standards, how
- 9 mining has to be done, things of that nature, correct?
- 10 A. There are -- there's a code of mining
- 11 regulations. To my knowledge, there's not a specific
- 12 code as far as what's required for sampling. It's my
- 13 experience that, essentially, it's based on indications
- 14 from peer-reviewed literature, the concerns the company
- 15 has had as far as maintaining quality of their product,
- 16 so these are the standards that are set. Some companies
- will have, essentially, internal protocols and standards
- 18 that are applied, and they're international companies,
- 19 so this is applied by international.
- Q. So you don't believe there are any
- 21 regulations that relate to any miners that talk about
- 22 requirements of sampling?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. At this point, I don't remember. I
- 25 don't --

- Page 212

 1 Gy paper, and he talks about running Gy analysis of the
- 2 samples to determine whether or not they're
- ³ representative. Is that a fair sort of, really high
- 4 level synopsis of what he's talking about?
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And in forming your opinions, I take it
- ⁷ you rely -- I mean, we've talked about Gy. You're
- 8 relying on the Gy theory, right? Is it a theory? I
- 9 don't know what the right word to call it is. Is it
- 10 mine theory?
- 11 A. It is an approach.
 - Q. Mine approach?
 - A. Yeah. It's very dense mathematically.
- Q. I will agree with you there. And you're
- 15 effectively relying on the Gy approach in forming your
- 16 opinions about the mining sampling practices, correct?
- 17 A. It is one of them. It is one approach,
- 18 yes.

12

13

- Q. And Afewu and Lewis is another one you
- 20 cite, too?
- A. It's another example.
- Q. And Afewu and Lewis also is another
- 23 mathematical geostatistical computation to determine
- whether or not sampling is adequate and representative,

Page 213

25 correct?

1

Page 211

- 1 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. "I don't know" is a fine answer, sir.
- 3 A. Yeah. I don't know with certainty.
- 4 Q. Okay. And I think we established this
- 5 morning, you're not a regulatory expert? You're not a
- 6 mine regulations expert?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Okay. So at this point, you just don't
- 9 know. Have you ever heard of the organization JORC,
- 10 J-O-R-C?
- 11 A. What's that?
- Q. JORC, J-O-R-C. I think it's the Joint
- 13 Regulatory Commission, something like that.
- 14 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Do you recall seeing, in several of the
- 16 Imerys documents, that they were doing sampling to
- 17 various JORAC regulatory specifications?
- A. No, I do not remember seeing that.
- Q. And you have no idea what any of the
- 20 sampling regulations that they're applying for would be?
- 21 That's correct?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- A. Yeah. I'm not familiar with that.
- 24 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. While we're talking about Gy, I read the

- A. Yes. That's another approach.
- Q. Have you actually run any of the
- 3 geostatistical calculations in this case to determine
- 4 whether or not the sampling that was being done by
- 5 Imerys and Johnson & Johnson is adequate?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. No, I have not. But I do note that I did
- 8 not see evidence of it either.
- 9 MR. FROST: Move to strike. No question
- 10 was pending.
- 11 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. While we're on mining, let's talk about
- 13 it a little bit. Do you agree with me that mining
- 14 companies do not mill -- sorry. Let me try again. I
- 15 used the wrong word. Do you agree with me that mining
- companies do not drill the entire deposit all at once?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Do you
- 18 mean --
- 19 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. When they're doing core sampling?
- A. They will -- it depends. So if there's
- 22 field indications that things are looking good and they
- want to establish things, then there would be a reason
- 24 to drill the entire deposit if it's small. But, yeah,
- 25 if you have a large deposit, you would drill that in

Page 214

¹ phases.

- Q. And you'd sort of do it as the mine
- 3 develops, right, as the -- as you're following the
- 4 deposit? You -- a really untechnical way of saying it
- 5 is, effectively, you're drilling ahead of where you are
- 6 so you know where you can keep going, right?
- 7 MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 8 A. It -- sometimes it's more complex than
- 9 that. So, basically, people gain investment for
- 10 exploration and it's -- you know, the investors are set
- 11 on doing things one particular way because of what they
- 12 believe. So there's variation in that.
- Q. Okay. And you agree that additional --
- 14 you know, one of the reasons you do additional coring,
- 15 additional drilling, is to further refine the mine plan,
- 16 the mine schedule, things like that?
- 17 A. Yes. So, often, coring will be done
- 18 every day in certain situations. So that's the case in
- 19 some palygorskite deposits in Georgia, and that's also
- 20 the case in Brown Mountain Mine and other, other
- 21 situations, yes. They'll drill daily and produce lots
- 22 of core.
- Q. And, ultimately, mine operators are
- 24 drilling a mine site in order to determine what the ore
- 25 body itself actually looks like, right?

- Page 216
- factor is the scale of the geologic features that are
 involved in the deposit. So, generally, you want to
- ³ have a core density such that you can capture those
- ⁴ scales of features.
- ⁵ Q. And that's ore deposit -- by "ore
- 6 deposit," depending, right, what you have to do to
- ⁷ capture those features? Effectively, every mine is
- 8 different; is that a fair synopsis?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. The -- it depends on the local geology,
- but it still must be representative based on the
- ¹² features you're trying to capture.
- ³ BY MR. FROST:

10

16

21

- Q. Okay. I think we're saying the same
- thing. You're just adding a lot more words, right?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. But it depends on the local geology what
- 18 the deposit looks like because every deposit is
- ¹⁹ different, right?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
 - A. You can have similar deposits, but, yeah,

Page 217

- every deposit is in a different location.
- 23 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. Sure. And there are different shapes and
- 25 sizes, right?

Page 215

- A. As well as other areas of concern. So I
- 2 gave the example on the Stebbins Hill for Brown
- 3 Mountain. And they, you know, they have extensive
- 4 amounts of core. They filled an entire high school,
- 5 abandoned high school, with core.
- 6 Q. Where you mine -- or sorry. Where you
- ⁷ drill, when you drill, what angle you're drilling at, et
- 8 cetera, all these are very complicated. You know, in a
- 9 complicated ore body, where you drill, when you drill,
- 10 the angles you drill at, these are all dictated by lots
- 11 of factors, including topography, access to certain
- 12 areas, things of that nature. Do you agree with that
- 13 statement?

14

- MS. O'DELL: Objection.
- A. Not necessarily. You may -- people want
- 16 to essentially have a good, even distribution so they
- 17 try to drill on a grid, you know, if possible.
- 18 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. Okay. As you said, not necessarily. It
- 20 all depends, sort of, what you're seeing and what you're
- 21 looking for, correct? There's no one way to drill core
- 22 and ore body, right?
- A. There's multiple ways, but, you know,
- 24 using -- essentially having something that is
- 25 representative is reasonable. And one determining

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So because of that, you have to drill
- 3 appropriate to the deposit that you're coring, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 O. And that's a determination that's usually
- 6 made by the on-site geologist or by the company that's
- 7 mining. You know, hopefully, they're consulting with
- 8 somebody who understands the geology to determine where
- 9 to drill. Is that also a fair statement?
- MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- 11 A. Ultimately, the company is responsible
- 12 for how it drills, yes.
- 13 BY MR. FROST:
- 14 Q. Okay. Turn back to page 12 of your
- 15 report. It's the third paragraph. You note that, "The
- practice of hand sorting is not acceptable in the United
- 17 States." Do you have any law or regulation that you're
- 18 pointing to that says that's inappropriate?
 - MS. SCOTT: Objection.
- A. No. But, you know, the companies I work
- 21 with wouldn't do that with something of this complexity.
- 22 BY MR. FROST:
- Q. And you've never worked with talc before,
- 24 right? You've never worked with a company that mines
- 25 talc?

19

Page 218 Page 220 1 A. Correct. 1 bottom of 5147 -- I'll go two lines up. I'll start 2 0. Okay. The next paragraph down, the -- I 2 there. There's some stuff above it, but it starts, ³ believe this is an email. Maybe I'll just mark the 3 "During unloading, a representative industrial sample document. It might be easier. 4 (at least 25mt) is processed in the plant at various 5 MR. FROST: We'll mark this one. I think 5 meshes and sent to our central Denver lab to be analyzed 6 6 for main specs (whiteness, mineralogy, chemical we're on 20. 7 composition, major elements and traces). Fibers 7 COURT REPORTER: 20. 8 (Exhibit 20 was marked for investigation is carried out systematically. The lot is 9 quarantined, waiting the lab results." Don't you agree identification.) with me that's the most important piece of what Cutler 10 BY MR. FROST: 11 Do you see where you are in your report 11 is saying there --Q. 12 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 12 on page 12? 13 A. I'm checking to see. I'll go back. 13 BY MR. FROST: -- for purposes of your opinion that it 14 O. Sorry. 14 15 does not guarantee the absence of fibers or asbestos and A. Go back to 12. So 517. Okay. 16 fibrous talc? 0. And this is -- you're quoting here from an email --17 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 17 18 18 So when the cargo arrives at destination, Α Okay. A. 19 -- from Mr. Cutler? Do you see where we so that's after it's been hand picked, right? 0 BY MR. FROST: 20 are? 21 21 O. Sure. What I'm saying here is: You use A. Yes. 22 the quote you have above as a basis for your --O. Okay. So you quote a portion of this 23 So they're not -- I'm stating --23 email from Mr. Cutler, right? And then the next paragraph down, you go, "Cutler goes on to say, 'In 24 Q. Let me finish, sir. 25 principle, the inspection is enough to guarantee the A. Okay. I'm sorry. Sorry. Page 219 Page 221 1 requested specs to insure no fibers." And then, after Q. So you use the quote above here as the 2 that, you make the opinion, "That practice falls below 2 basis for your statement that the practice falls below 3 the standards of quality control in mining operations in 3 the standards of quality in mine operations in the 4 the United States, and it does not guarantee the absence 4 United States and does not guarantee the absence of 5 of fibers, such as asbestos or fibrous talc." Did I 5 fibers such as asbestos and fibrous talc, but left out 6 read that correctly? 6 of the quote you're taking from the email is the 7 ⁷ specific part of the testing that talks about the A. Yes. 8 testing for fibers in the talc. Am I correct or Q. Okay. If you look up at the quote from 9 Mr. Cutler's email and if you turn to the email itself, 9 incorrect? 10 it's the bottom of page 5147. This is not a complete 10 A. I did not include that portion in the quote from Mr. Cutler's email, correct? 11 quote. 12 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 12 O. Okay. Let's move on. 13 A. Let me find -- so where is it on 5147? 13 A. All right. Moving on. BY MR. FROST: 14 Q. 15 15 Α Okay. Q. It's at the bottom. 16 16 MS. SCOTT: If he's not done with his MS. SCOTT: It's in B. BY MR. FROST: 17 17 answer, let him finish his answer. 18 18 Yeah, it's in B. A. But, yeah, I'm not. So it is -- you O. 19 So "In principle, this inspection is know, if you're mining material and then you have a 20 enough to guarantee the requested specs and insure no point of shipment, you would want to test that at that 21 fibers." point of shipment in case you find something later. You Q. Okay. But do you see above that your 22 would be able to identify where in the supply chain an 23 block quote? So what I find interesting is the part you issue occurred. So is this -- you know, is this shipped 24 left out of Mr. Cutler's email is actually the part that by a ship, correct? Right? So multiple things can be

25 talks about the testing for fibers. If you look at the

25 put into a ship cargo. You can have a whole crate of

Filed 05/30/19 Page 58 of 86 PageID: er, Ph.D. Page 222 Page 224 1 asbestos, you know, from Indiana or Russia or some other 1 A. Yes. Okay. What is the basis that grinding 2 place or some other material that is mixed in. So, to Q. 3 me, it really does make sense that at the stage of when 3 the sample before testing will make it much more difficult to --4 it leaves the port, you would want to have some quality 5 control so --A. So talc is a phyllosilicate mineral. 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 It's a two-to-one layer clay. Essentially, the 7 structure is held together by long hydrogen bonds and it Q. Here's my question. Isn't that exactly 8 the part that you left out of the quote? Isn't it is mechanically very soft. So, basically, disingenuous that you left out the fibrous talc? phyllosilicates have essentially delicate structures and 9 10 A. As I read it, as I read it -they need to be prepared in specific ways so grinding is 11 MS. O'DELL: Dr. Krekeler, he's not done. a rotary motion and what that does is -- the crystal 12 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry. structure is shown here for talc. 13 13 BY MR. FROST: So what that does is it takes these 14 Don't you agree with me that it's two-to-one layers. When you grind, you displace, you 15 disingenuous to leave out the specific portion of the know, essentially, a rotation of the crystal structure, quote that talks about the testing that's done once the and that rotation of the crystal structure basically talc arrives at port in Houston when you're making, destroys the crystallographic coherency through the clay based on that quote, the opinion that it does not particle. So if you are -- essentially, for x-ray guarantee the absence of fibers and falls short? analysis, you're supposed to crush materials. So crush 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Misrepresents. is specifically an up-and-down motion. And, basically, 21 Yeah. I say it's in the report for the it's easy to do with talc. You crush it in this up-and-down motion, typically in an agate mortar and 22 reasons I provided. 23 BY MR. FROST: 23 pestle. Q. Okay. All right. Let's move on. 24 24 And then so, basically, what happens is 25 MR. FROST: Actually, if you want, I 25 you also have other potential contaminants such as Page 223 Page 225 don't know how long we've been going. This is 1 chrysotile. Chrysotile is a one-to-one layer 1 2 probably a good time for a break. I'm changing ² serpentine. It is coiled because the octahedral sheet

17

3 subjects. 4 MS. SCOTT: Sure. Great. 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off 6 record. The time is 4:12. 7 (A recess was taken from 4:12 to 4:38.) 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on record, 9 and the time is 4:38. 10 BY MR. FROST: 11 I'm going to move back to page 12 --12 13 -- of your report. The last full paragraph on page 12, sir, it's a document entitled 15 "Quality Control." 16 Okay. A. 17 Okay. And you note, "This document 18 includes procedures related to Guangxi Number 1 and Number 2A, the talc ore purchased by Defendants for use 20 in Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower products.

3 and the tetrahedral sheet don't match up. So there's 4 other serpentines such as antigorite, lizardite, crocidolites, other things like that. So what needs to happen is, again, that needs to be prepared in a crush method, not a rotary, not ground. So grinding -- ground, grinding -- those words have specific meanings in the context of phyllosilicates. It's been well, recognized, and I provide several references elsewhere in the report. 12 So essentially what happens is x-ray diffraction has detection limits, and for many materials, such as quartz, that are very crystalline, your detection limit is approximately about a tenth of a

a long-standing detection limit. Clay minerals, in general, the phyllosilicates, in general, those materials typically have a detection limit that is at least a few weight percent, in part because they start off as essentially poorly crystalline material. So if you take a talc or a chlorite and you compare that to another, you know, a mineral such as a pyroxene, the overall crystallinity of 25 the pyroxene is much, much more than the talc or the

weight percent, and that's generally understood. That's

21 Again, the procedure calls for samples to be ground

23 physical properties of the talc ore, making detection of

24 harmful contaminants, including asbestos, much more

22 prior to testing a protocol that will disrupt the

25 difficult." Did I read that right?

Page 226 Page 228 1 chlorite. So and then there's also many issues with --1 crush and smear, correct? 2 the minerals are just very sensitive, and they naturally MS. O'DELL: Objection. 3 have disorder. They would be far less -- I think the For example, chlorite theoretically can 4 proper thing to say is they would be far less susceptible to reduction and crystallinity, but, yeah, 5 have 1,024 different arrangements of the layers of atoms 6 in the structure, two-layer structure. So, basically, 6 the chrysotile would be. 7 the crushing and grinding, you can grind -- if you have, BY MR. FROST: 8 let's say you have 4 percent chrysotile and 96 percent Okay. But, again, chrysotile is not --O 9 talc and you have that sample and you grind it, and 9 because of the closeness to talc, XRD is not the primary 10 essentially, you are destroying the crystal structures way of identifying chrysotile, correct? 11 of both, and you only have, essentially, a 1 percent or 11 A. Oh, no. 12 12 so that is still crystalline or maybe none of it is O. I'm talking about specific to talc here. 13 Were -- I'm sorry, was the question can 13 crystalline. 14 You can grind, actually do experiments 14 you -- the difference -and grind things to be amorphous. We did this when I 15 O. Not can you, no. 16 was a Ph.D. student. He had us hammer home the point. 16 -- between talc and chrysotile? A. 17 But, basically, so the net effect is is when you grind 17 Okay. Let me ask it another way. In the 18 stuff, you deflate the detection limit of materials that 18 testing that is done of talc to determine whether or not 19 are there. there is asbestos, the way -- the test for chrysotile, 20 It's already a problem -- you know, you'll agree with me, is PLM, correct? 21 chrysotile is already problematic because, essentially, 21 A. I understand that powder x-ray 22 the shape of it. So it's a difficult material to work 22 diffraction is the primary screen. 23 with. When you grind those materials, you will end up 23 O. That's the first screen, correct? 24 with, essentially, stuff that won't diffract. So, 24 A. Yes. 25 therefore, with powder x-ray diffraction, you cannot be 25 Q. Okay. Page 227 Page 229 1 assured that what you're measuring that you detect. So And then if -- then if there's something 2 that's the issue with grounding. 2 that's detected, it then goes to PLM. And then if is 3 Q. Okay. So let me start here. Amphibiles 3 something is detected, it goes to TEM. So if you aren't phyllosilicates, correct, amphibile minerals? 4 don't -- if you're not -- if you're having, essentially, 5 MS. O'DELL: Amphiboles. 5 a false negative because you've ground away the 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 chrysotile, you would not -- you know, as things were 7 Q. Or amphiboles. 7 described, you wouldn't go on to the other techniques, 8 They're part of the biopyriboles. but you would potentially have tremolite. A. 9 Q Okay. 9 Q. Yes. And you're actually going -- again, 10 So but they are not a --10 you've looked at Longo's testing, right? Α 11 Q. It's not phyllosilicate, correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 12 So would you invalidate Longo's testing 13 And, again, the point of XRD, the because he crushes and grinds the samples before putting Q. testing, is to determine whether or not there are them through his various tests, including XRD? amphibole particles in the talc. Is that also correct? 15 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 16 A. I -- there might be some differences, but 17 overall, my review of Longo's report, I think it's fine. A. Yes. 18 BY MR. FROST:

19 Q. Okay. So what you're talking about here 20 is we'd ruin the talc and it would be hard, but we don't 21 care because we know talc is in there. What we're 22 looking for are amphiboles, right? So crushing isn't

23 going to be a problem with identifying the amphiboles,

24 because they aren't subject to smear and amorphousness,

25 if that's the right word, but becoming amorphous through

BY MR. FROST: 19 Q. Okay. And, again, in looking through 20 Longo's report, despite that he crushed and smeared, did he come up with any amorphous -- you know, did he 22 identify any amorphous figures within the talc? 23

MS. SCOTT: Objection.

24 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 25

I don't remember specific. I remember

Page 230 Page 232 1 seeing lots and lots of TEM images by -- there's a lot 1 or done by anybody else, have you ever seen any problem ² of TEM images. I don't remember specifically. 2 with either smear or amorphous? 3 MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. ³ BY MR. FROST: Q. You also agree with me that the amphibole 4 Yeah. By the nature of the test, as it's 5 been described, you know, you can't, you can't see -- I content that you're looking for in baby powder is 6 actually very small. We're talking about the micron 6 want to say you can't see something that is not, that 7 you can't detect. So amorphous material doesn't level, correct? diffract x-rays. So x-rays arise when we have coherent MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. crystallinity that occurs. And then I'm trying to --9 A. I'm sorry. What? BY MR. FROST: 10 BY MR. FROST: 11 We're talking about particles that are 11 Q. I understand, but let me stop you there. 12 You would see amorphous on TEM or SEM, wouldn't you, 12 measured by microns, not --13 A. For? when you were looking at images of the talc after it's 14 Q. -- inches or centimeters for the --14 been prepared for a sample? 15 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 15 A. For what context? 16 The amphiboles --16 The -- only if you're, only if you're Q. looking for it. So you need to have electron 17 A. The amphiboles? 18 diffraction data that -- you said if you're only looking Q. -- that would be located in ground talcum 19 for the asbestos materials so you're looking for powder. crystalline materials. You would not necessarily be 20 I'm sorry. I'm unclear on the question. A. looking for amorphous. So I don't think Longo was 21 Can I --22 tasked with finding amorphous, amorphous Q. I'll just ask it again. 23 Well, I would prefer to read, if that's phyllosilicates. I think he --A. 24 okay. BY MR. FROST: Q. But I'm confused. Doesn't Longo 25 Well, I'd prefer to reask you the, ask O. Page 231 Page 233 1 you a different question, sir. 1 categorize every particle that was on the TEM grids? MS. O'DELL: Objection. In what way? 2 A. Okay. All right. Good. 3 MS. O'DELL: He can ask a different MR. FROST: He accounts for them on his 4 question. count sheets. BY MR. FROST: BY MR. FROST: 6 Q. So, again, my question is: The Q. If you don't know, sir, that's fine, too. 7 amphiboles that we care about here, the ones we're I don't remember. finding in the testing of talcum powder, are in microns 8 Okay. That's fine. We'll move on. 9 of size. They're tiny, correct? Now, sir, are you aware that talcum 10 They can be, yes. powder, cosmetic talcum powder specifically is regulated 11 Okay. And because they're so small and 11 by the FDA? 12 small by volume, grinding and crushing really isn't a 12 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 13 problem because you're not going to affect the 13 A. I know they have looked at it. I don't crystalline structure of something that small when you know if they've -- I'm not a regulatory expert. So I 15 grind it. Do you also agree with that? just know that they've looked at it. I don't know that 16 MS. SCOTT: Objection. there's a study on talc. 16 17 A. Not necessarily. It depends on the 17 BY MR. FROST: 18 specific methods of grinding. 18 Q. I'm not talking about regulations, 19 BY MR. FROST: regulations and testings --20 Q. And have you seen any evidence in any of 20 Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Yeah. No. 21 the testing that you've looked at in this case that 21 Okay. All right. Are you aware that 22 grinding and crushing has caused a problem with smear or there is an FDA sanction testing model called J4-1? 23 amorphous -- I guess it would become an amorphous 23 No, I'm not. 24 particle. I don't know what the right second term would 24 Okay. And you don't know whether or not Q. 25 be. But in any of the testing you've seen done by Longo 25 the companies are using J4-1 to test their product

	Mark Areke	Τ Ε	er, Ph.D.
	Page 234		Page 236
1	because that's what's required of them?	1	for that statement, correct?
2	MS. O'DELL: Object to form.	2	A. Yes.
3	MS. SCOTT: Object to the form.	3	Q. So we'll start at the first cite, which
4	A. No.	4	is Furtron or Furcron, F-u-r-c-r-o-n, and others, 1947,
5	BY MR. FROST:	5	deposits of Murray talc deposits in Murray County,
6	Q. Okay. Sir, do you agree with me that	6	Georgia, Georgia State Division of Conservation
7	compliance with legal standards is an important	7	Department of Mines, Mineralogy, Mining and Geology?
8		8	A. Uh-huh.
9	correctly?	9	Q. Okay. You agree with me that they're
10	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	10	looking at Georgia mine formations, correct?
11	A. Yes, in general.	11	A. Yes.
12	BY MR. FROST:	12	Q. And that would they'd have nothing
13	Q. And as we said before, you just don't	13	no opinions or no specifics of what the actual ore body
14	know one way or the other whether or not well, I	14	in Vermont looks like or Italy or China, correct?
15		15	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
16		16	A. Correct.
17		17	BY MR. FROST:
18	MS. SCOTT: Object to the form.	18	Q. Okay. The second citation here is Berg
19	BY MR. FROST:	19	1977, and I think that was the one we identified earlier
20	Q That's not your area of expertise?	20	that was a mis-cite?
21	A. Yeah. I'm not a regulatory expert.	21	A. Yes. I think it relates to Montana.
22	Q. Turn to page 39, I believe, of your	22	Q. All right. Tab the next one is
23		23	Mark where is it? Sandrone and Zucchetti?
24		24	A. So
25	A. On page 39. "Examination of data from	25	(Exhibit 21 was marked for
	Page 235		Page 237
	several mines," that paragraph?	1	identification.)
2	Q. Yes, that paragraph. Let me just orient	2	BY MR. FROST:
3	3 4 4 4 8	3	Q. So it seems like this is talking about
4	All right. You note here, "Examination	4	the Italian deposit.
5		5	A Yes. So, yeah.
	very complex, with mixtures of several rock types,	6	Q You go one, two, three, four.
7	including those likely to have the presence of asbestos	7	MR. FROST: Oh, I apologize I thought he
8	and heavy metals. These rock types are intimately mixed	8	had the paper in front of him.
9	with talc ore. The variation of the bodies of rock	9	COURT REPORTER: No.
10	ž ,	10	MR. FROST: Oh, I'm sorry.
11		11	BY MR. FROST:
12	A. Yes. That is what it says.	12	Q I'll reask the question. She didn't get
13	Q. Are you talking about the features there	13	it.
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	14	So the question was: This paper appears
15	6	15	to be dealing with the Italian mines, correct, the
16	formation?	16	Italian deposit?
17	A. So I'm talking about the ore as a whole,	17	A. Yes. Can I state a clarification?
18	including, you know, lithologies that are rich in talc	18	Q. Sure.
19	and not as well as the minerals and all the constituents	19	A. So this is actually meant as an
20	of ore.	20	introduction paragraph. So several mines, meaning
21	Q. So you're talking about the ore body? I	21	several mines of talc, in general.
22	just want to clarify what we're talking about there.	22	Q. Okay.
23	All right.	23	A. So that sentence does not specifically
24	A. Yes.	24	relate to as written doesn't necessarily relate to
125	Q. And that's Footnote 36, is the support	25	mines in Vermont but just in general.
25	Q. This that is I obthole 30, is the support		mines in vermone car just in general.

	Mark 81544	: T C	:I, PII.D.
	Page 238		Page 240
1	Q. Okay.	1	A. No, I did not.
2	A. So	2	Q. Do you know if your counsel provided the
3	Q. So it's not a statement	3	charts that you created to Dr. Cook?
4	A. The thing that's gone, the Berg paper	4	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
5	shows really intimate associations of, you know,	5	A. I don't know if they did or not. I
6	small-scale features. So it's meant to be general.	6	presume not. He looked at the same I think he looked
7	Sorry.	7	at the same sets of documents. It doesn't surprise me
8	Q. Okay. So these aren't talking about any	8	that
9	of the mines that we're specifically talking about here:	9	BY MR. FROST:
10	The Vermont mines, the Italian mine and the Chinese	10	Q. That they look exactly the same?
11	mines, the ones at issue on page 7 and 8	11	A they're similar. I don't know if
12		12	•
13	Q of your report?	13	Q Yeah. You didn't look at it in detail?
14		14	A look at Cook's. I didn't look at
15	•	15	Cook's documents in detail.
16		16	Q. Bear with me a second. I have to go to
17		17	the third box. It's far away.
18	adequately" monitor sorry. It's a typo, but	18	(Exhibit 22 was marked for
19		19	identification.)
20		20	VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm going to make a
21	consumption or cosmetic use." And then you cite to the	21	general housekeeping announcement. If you've
	Afewu paper?	22	got a laptop in front of you and you've got a
23	• •	23	mic on, push it back a little bit and make sure
24		24	your phones stay away from the mic wires.
25	_	25	Thanks.
	•		
-			
	Page 239		Page 241
1	A. I don't it's a typo.	1	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for
1 2	A. I don't it's a typo.Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu	2	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second?
	A. I don't it's a typo.Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or	2 3	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off
3 4	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption?	2 3 4	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02.
2 3 4 5	 A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a 	2 3 4 5	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.)
2 3 4 5	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just	2 3 4 5 6	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record,
2 3 4 5	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period	2 3 4 5	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10.
2 3 4 5	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down?
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to have the exact same lists that you do. Did you provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes. Q. I'll move this binder, so it's out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to have the exact same lists that you do. Did you provide these to him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes. Q. I'll move this binder, so it's out of the way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to have the exact same lists that you do. Did you provide these to him? A. We looked at the same data. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes. Q. I'll move this binder, so it's out of the way. And that relates to sample WMI 85-28 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to have the exact same lists that you do. Did you provide these to him? A. We looked at the same data. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. I was going to say, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes. Q. I'll move this binder, so it's out of the way. And that relates to sample WMI 85-28 and WMI 85-30?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't it's a typo. Q. Okay. So you agree with me that Afewu and Lewis don't talk about testing for heavy metals or whether or not ores are meant for human consumption? A. Correct, yeah. That's a streaming, a streaming reference. It's cited where it's just stand alone. There's a period before it and a period after it. Sorry about that. Q. That's okay. All right. I'm going to turn to the various charts now that are in your report. So as a preliminary question, did you review each of the documents that are listed in the various documents? A. I looked at all these documents, yes. Q. Have you ever seen the expert report done by Dr. Cook in this case? A. Yeah. I have seen it recently, yes. Q. It was after you were done drafting your initial and supplemental reports? Do you know? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. I'll note that Dr. Cook seems to have the exact same lists that you do. Did you provide these to him? A. We looked at the same data. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FROST: Can we go off the record for a second? VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off record. The time is 5:02. (Off the record.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, and the time is 5:10. BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, sir. If you look at page 21 of your report, do you see the sample with the date 8/22/1985? VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Can you put that notebook lid down? MR. FROST: Oh. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thanks. MS. O'DELL: 21. A. 21, and what was the line on the table? BY MR. FROST: Q. 8/22/1985. A. Yes. Q. I'll move this binder, so it's out of the way. And that relates to sample WMI 85-28 and

	Mark 81545		EL, PII.D.
	Page 242		Page 244
1	Q. Do you know where Samples 85-28 and 85-30	1	A. Presumably, yeah.
2	were mined?	2	BY MR. FROST:
3	A. I'm looking at the document.	3	Q. On page 12, if you go down to the next
4	Q. Yes. If you look for the actual	4	sample listed, it's the 4/29/1986 sample.
5	document, if you turn to Tab 1 in the book you have	5	A. I'm sorry. Page 12?
6	there.	6	Q. I'm sorry. I meant page 21. I got it
7	A. I have Tab 1.	7	backwards.
8	Q. All right. Great.	8	A. Page 21. Okay. And I'm sorry. And what
9	A. All right. Let me just read. Yes. As	9	was the line?
10	is common, there's not it doesn't say the exact	10	Q. It's the next one down, 4/29/1986.
11	location.	11	A. 4/29/1986. So J&J 182. So is that
12	Q. Would it surprise you to learn that these	12	Q. That's Tab 4.
13	samples came from a mine in San Andreas, California?	13	A. Tab 4.
14	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	14	Q. And do you see in the middle of page
15	A. I did not know that.		we're talking here, it's sample number WMI 85-53, WMI
16	BY MR. FROST:	16	85-55 and WMI 85-57?
17	Q. Turn to Tab 2. It's a document Bates	17	A. Yes.
18	stamped JNJ 65646.	18	Q. Okay. And those are the ones that
19	A. I'm sorry. Tab 2?	19	they're talking about in the letter about the chrysotile
20	•	20	detection?
21	Q. Yeah. Turn to the second page.A. Okay. The second page.	21	A. Yes.
22		22	
l	Q. Okay. And if you look at sample WMI		Q. Okay. Do you know where these samples were mined?
23	85-28, it notes that it's grade TC-700. Do you see	23	
	that?	24	A. We can just check. No.
25	A. 85-28. Oh, okay. Yes.	25	Q. Turn to Tab 5, sir. And that's the
	Page 243		Page 245
1	MS. O'DELL: What sample are you on in	1	document with Bates number JNJ 578888. You can turn to
2	the chart, Jack? I'm sorry.	2	the third page.
3	MR. FROST: It's WMI 85-28. It's on page	3	A. Where is that on the
4	2.	4	Q. It's on the
_	A CO CONTEXT AT A STATE OF THE		
5	MS. O'DELL: I've got you. All right.	5	A. Chart?
	MS. O'DELL: I've got you. All right. BY MR. FROST:	5 6	
	BY MR. FROST:		Q. No. It's the I was just identifying
6	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is	6	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder.
6	BY MR. FROST:	6 7	Q. No. It's the I was just identifyingfor the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder.A. Tab 5, yes.
6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct.	6 7 8	Q. No. It's the I was just identifyingfor the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder.A. Tab 5, yes.
6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we	6 7 8 9	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page
6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart,	6 7 8 9	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST:
6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we	6 7 8 9 10	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"? A. Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57, which are the other two samples, do you see that one is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. This clearly indicates that these	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57, which are the other two samples, do you see that one is grade 76 and the other is also grade TC-700?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. This clearly indicates that these two samples did not come from one of the Vermont mines	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57, which are the other two samples, do you see that one is grade 76 and the other is also grade TC-700? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. This clearly indicates that these two samples did not come from one of the Vermont mines or the Italian or the Chinese mines, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57, which are the other two samples, do you see that one is grade 76 and the other is also grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So for the TC-700, we know that's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. And then looking down at 85-30, which is the second sample, that is also grade TC-700, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And those are the two samples we saw from the Tab 1 document that appear in the chart, right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You now can turn to Tab 3, which is a document that starts IMERYS 013723. If you turn to the third page of it. The very bottom of the product certification protocol on page 3. Yeah, I know. It's tiny. I apologize. Do you see where it says, "San Andreas, California, Red Hill Grade," and then it has "TC-700, light" and "dark"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. This clearly indicates that these two samples did not come from one of the Vermont mines	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. No. It's the I was just identifying for the record the document. It's Tab 5 of the binder. A. Tab 5, yes. Q. If you turn to the third page MS. SCOTT: 8890. BY MR. FROST: Q. Yeah, 8890. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see here on here the WMI 85-53 is identified as the grade TC-700? A. Yes. Q. And that's the one we just saw that comes from the San Andreas, California, mine, correct? A. Okay. Yes. Q. If you look down at WMI 85-56 and 85-57, which are the other two samples, do you see that one is grade 76 and the other is also grade TC-700? A. Yes.

	Mark & Fek	= T 6	LI, III.D.
	Page 246		Page 248
1	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	1	A. No, not specifically.
2	BY MR. FROST:	2	Q. Okay. If you turn to Tab 7, that's the
3	Q. Turn back to Tab 3.	3	document, it's identified as JNJMX68_2659.
4	MS. O'DELL: Is that a question?	4	A. JNJMX68_2659. Okay. Where is it in
5	MR. FROST: Sure.	5	the
6	BY MR. FROST:	6	Q. If you look at the third paragraph.
7	Q. Do you agree with me that we know from	7	A. Okay.
8	looking at the document before that the TC-700 is	8	Q. So it's the third and the fifth
9	identified as San Andreas, California?	9	paragraph.
10	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	10	A. "The samples represented both the
11	A. I don't remember.	11	industrial materials produced at the Gassetts and West
12	BY MR. FROST:	12	Windsor."
13	Q. We're going to turn back there. It's Tab	13	Q. Okay. If you look down at the fifth
14	3, please, in the binder. It's the last page of that	14	paragraph, it says, "In one instance, asbestos was
15	document.	15	identified, this being associated with sample D-GI
16	A. Right. Oh, okay. Yeah.	16	produced at the Gassetts Mill."
17	Q. And do you also see the grade 76?	17	A. Okay.
18	A. 76 is listed there as well.	18	Q. And do you agree with me that the
19	Q. Okay.	19	Gassetts Mill and industrial talc are different than the
20	A. Okay. Yes.	20	cosmetic talcum powder used in Johnson & Johnson Baby
21	Q. So the samples in this, from this testing	21	Powder or Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower
22	also did not come from any of the mines utilized by	22	products?
23	Johnson & Johnson for talcum powder, correct?	23	A. The geology is related.
24	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	24	Q. Okay. But specifically the this is
25	A. Okay. As far as yeah.	25	not talcum powder that ever made it into a bottle of
	D 0.47		D 240
	Page 247		Page 249
	BY MR. FROST:	1	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that
2	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report.	2	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct?
2 3	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report?	2	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
2 3 4	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the	3 4	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample.	2 3 4 5	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report.
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box.	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to be present at an estimated level (good at approximately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089. MS. O'DELL: Great. Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to be present at an estimated level (good at approximately to an order of magnitude) of .006 percent."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089. MS. O'DELL: Great. Thanks. A. It says, "only minor amounts (below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to be present at an estimated level (good at approximately to an order of magnitude) of .006 percent." Q. And do you know where this sample was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089. MS. O'DELL: Great. Thanks. A. It says, "only minor amounts (below 1 percent) of tremolite and actinolite were detected."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to be present at an estimated level (good at approximately to an order of magnitude) of .006 percent." Q. And do you know where this sample was mined?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089. MS. O'DELL: Great. Thanks. A. It says, "only minor amounts (below 1 percent) of tremolite and actinolite were detected." BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 19 of your report. A. Page 19 of the report? Q. Yes. The very bottom, the 10/10/1974 sample. A. Okay. Q. And if you look at Tab 7, that's the corresponding document. I'm sorry. Tab 6. I apologize. Tab 6 is the corresponding document. A. J&J-74. Okay. Q. Do you see here where it states that the sample that came back, the fibrous asbestiform material is D-GI? It's in the semi-highlighted section, the gray box. A. "Only one sample was found to contain fibrous asbestiform material." Q. And that's D-GI? A. D okay. If you say all right. Okay. "7/15 to 7/29. Chrysotile fibers were found to be present at an estimated level (good at approximately to an order of magnitude) of .006 percent." Q. And do you know where this sample was	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to Shower; is that correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. Presumably, that is correct. BY MR. FROST: Q. Turn to page 15 of your report. A. Page 15? Q. Yep. A. Of the report? Okay. Q. It's the sample 7/7/1971. A. 7/7/1971, J&J-15, Colorado School of Mines, the Vermont talc. Q. And if you turn to Tab 8. This is the corresponding document related to processed talc sample 344-L? MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Jack. Did you say Tab 8? MR. FROST: Tab 8 of the binder, yes. It's JNJAZ55_6089. MS. O'DELL: Great. Thanks. A. It says, "only minor amounts (below 1 percent) of tremolite and actinolite were detected."

Page 250 Page 252 1 A. Yeah. It says, "Following are results of 1 permissible, but, again, you know, it also indicates 2 the x-ray analyses on the 344-L Vermont talc product and 2 that they're sloppy with their materials and they -the six monthly Vermont talc product samples." Yes. 3 Q. I'll stop you here. Without speculating, 4 MS. O'DELL: Jack, are you going to you can't tell me that the talc in 344-L contained 5 mark -- I think what made it to the chart was asbestos, correct? 6 J&J-15. MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. 7 MR. FROST: I didn't have a copy with the A. I would say that based on these 8 J&J-15 sticker on it. It's the same document. documents, that, objectively, the analysis might be 9 though. This is just from our production. suspect or based on what I saw previously. 10 MS. O'DELL: I see. Do you mind giving BY MR. FROST: 11 me just a minute to pull that up --11 O. Yeah. But you can't tell me one way or 12 MR. FROST: Sure. 12 the other based on this, considering it's a retraction? 13 13 Well, it was measured once. We don't MS. O'DELL: -- so we can correlate it? 14 It will take me two seconds. 14 know -- they didn't -- I don't see any data that backs 15 Thanks very much. 15 up --16 BY MR. FROST: 16 Well, there's no data in this report. Q. 17 Q. If you turn, sir, to page -- or, sorry, 17 It says, I saw where evidently 18 to Tab Number 9. Well, before I get there, this report contamination. "Evidently" is a word up to 19 was done by the Colorado School of Mines, correct? interpretation. Prove it. I don't see, you know, 20 A. Colorado School of Mines Research essentially, some sort of chemical analysis or whatever 21 Institute it what it says, yes. that would prove the exact same thing. 22 22 Are you aware that the Colorado School of Q. So with the guy who did the testing 23 Mines issued a subsequent report regarding these saying my testing is wrong, you're still comfortable in 24 samples? saying 100 percent that there was asbestos in that 25 I don't know. I believe I've seen other talcum powder sample? Page 251 Page 253 1 things from the Colorado School of Mines. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 1 2 Okay. If you turn to Tab 9. It's a Well, I would say it's probable --A. document identified as JNJAZ55_3828. BY MR. FROST: Q And what's that based on? Do you see it where it says -- it's Point 5 Α -- or possible. Q. 6 Number 1. "In the report of July 7, 1971." Do you Q. What's your basis? agree with me that's the report you just looked at in 7 A. The first finding. 8 Tab 8? 8 And the fact that it was negated and Q. 9 A. Okay. specifically retracted by the person who does the 10 Continues down, it says, "Subsequent testing has absolutely no sway in your mind as to 11 x-ray work on the six monthly product samples and the whether or not? You're just now basing your opinion on 12 344-L product sample shows no definite indications of speculation? 13 13 asbestos-type minerals within our limits of MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 detectability. The trace amounts I saw were evidently BY MR. FROST: 15 contamination from the standard asbestos samples." Did 15 Q. Don't you think the guy who did the test 16 I read that correctly? is in a better position than you are today, 40, 50 years 17 later, to say what was in that particular sample that he You read it correctly. But it's also, in 18 my mind, it's unclear, you know -- you know, again, 18 tested? 19 19 like, there's no detail as far as, like, the methods and MS. O'DELL: Objection. 20 such. So if they're doing this as powders and then 20 A. I've stated my opinion. 21 they're reanalyzing, so they're repacking the powder at 21 BY MR. FROST: 22 a sample volume can be several cubic centimeters. So Okay. Interesting one. Let's turn to 22 O 1972. It's page 16. 23 it's not necessarily surprising that we would have a 23

24

25

25 get a negative result. And their interpretation is

24 positive result and then, if you repack it, you might

There's many from '72 here. Which one?

It's the very -- it's 8/3/1972.

_	Mark Kreke	т.	
	Page 254		Page 256
1	A. "8/3/1972, J&J-28, NYU, Shower to Shower	1	MS. O'DELL: Give us just a minute.
2	5 percent chrysotile."	2	A. Here's one by Doctor I'm sorry. I'm
3	Q. Turn to Tab 8. I'm sorry. Tab 10.	3	getting Dr. Lewin okay. D. You said D-1?
4	A. Tab 10.	4	MS. O'DELL: Is it DX?
5	Q. Do you agree this is a corresponding	5	MR. FROST: I have it as D. It's
6	document to that entry?	6	possible it's DX.
7	A. J&J-28. Yes.	7	A. So let's see what the date is. We have a
8	Q. Okay. Real quick, before I get there,	8	date. We're looking for January 7th, '76. January 7th,
9	turning back to Tab 9, you were never provided with this	9	'76. I think there's only I have one. I have only
10	document, right?	10	one.
11	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	11	BY MR. FROST:
12	A. Tab 9. I think I was.	12	Q. Sir, we're trying to pull up the
13	BY MR. FROST:		documents, but this relates and I'll get back but
14	Q. And then why didn't you consider this	14	this relates to your testing of 8/3/72 by Dr. Lewin.
15	document in creating your chart?	15	The Shower to Shower sample 84, you note on the 8/3/72.
16	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	16	If you look back at Tab 10, that's the corresponding
17	A. I potentially missed it in the	17	document for that. It's on the one, two, three, four,
18	compilation.	18	five, sixth page.
19	BY MR. FROST:	19	MS. SCOTT: Is subsection B on the
20	Q. And you also didn't include it under	20	tabulation of Dr. Lewin's original findings
21	materials considered?	21	smudged?
22	A. I missed it.	22	MR. FROST: Yeah, it's smudged, too.
23	Q. Okay. So back to Tab 10. So we agree	23	MS. SCOTT: Okay.
	this is the source of the entry on page 16 of your	24	MR. FROST: Yeah. Mine looks the same.
25	report, correct? The Shower to Shower sample 84.	25	MS. SCOTT: Got it. And that's the
	Page 255		Page 257
1	Page 255 A. Yeah. J&J-28?	1	Page 257 original?
1 2	_	1 2	_
	A. Yeah. J&J-28?		original?
2	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes.	2	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is
2 3 4	A. Yeah. J&J-28?Q. Yes.A. Yes.	2 3	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original.
2 3 4	A. Yeah. J&J-28?Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done	2 3 4 5	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5	A. Yeah. J&J-28?Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. Okay. And this was testing that was doneby Dr. Lewin?	2 3 4 5	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84?
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart? Q. It's D-7113. As I said, it got cut off.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart? Q. It's D-7113. As I said, it got cut off. MS. O'DELL: Yeah. Was it marked in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it out. BY MR. FROST:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart? Q. It's D-7113. As I said, it got cut off. MS. O'DELL: Yeah. Was it marked in a deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it out. BY MR. FROST: Q. So if you look at this, this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart? Q. It's D-7113. As I said, it got cut off. MS. O'DELL: Yeah. Was it marked in a deposition? MR. FROST: I believe it is. It's marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it out. BY MR. FROST: Q. So if you look at this, this document, you go to the fourth page. Sorry. One, two, three,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah. J&J-28? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And this was testing that was done by Dr. Lewin? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Lewin retested this sample and was unable to replicate his results? A. No. Q. Okay. Turn to Tab 11. If you look at page 4, it's the testing of Number 29. I think it's four three down. A. It is one, two, three, four. And I'm sorry. This is Q. Yes. That's the chart. A. Where? I don't see a number on this. Q. Yeah. It appears to have gotten cut off, so I don't know what the number of this document is. We can sort that out at the back end. A. Where is it at on the chart? Q. It's D-7113. As I said, it got cut off. MS. O'DELL: Yeah. Was it marked in a deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	original? MR. FROST: Yes. My understanding is that's the original. BY MR. FROST: Q. Okay. So you see we're talking about Sample 84 on Tab 10? A. Right. So I'm at Tab 10. Tab 10. Q. One, two, three, four it's the fifth page. A. One, two, three, four, five. Q. Do you see a Product 84? A. Product 84? Yes. Q. And if you follow across, there's A. 5 percent chrysotile. Q 5 percent chrysotile. Okay. So if you turn to the document at Tab 11. MS. O'DELL: I'm not able to find that DX. MR. FROST: Okay. Well, I'll provide it to you after the deposition. We'll figure it out. BY MR. FROST: Q. So if you look at this, this document,

Page 258 Page 260 1 A Okay. One, two, three, four. 1 Powder, 3 percent chrysotile. 2 Do you see here under Sample 84 with the 2 You're looking at page 4 of 7? Q. O. 3 retest that there's a no detect and there's no finding 3 4 of 7. A. of chrysotile? O. Samples 183 and 184? 5 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 5 Yes. A. A. In the -- oh, there's a question mark for 6 6 If you look back at Tab 11. If you look chrysotile, right? at Samples 133 and 134 here. Again, on the retest, this BY MR. FROST: time there's no question mark. It says nondetect for 9 chrysotile, tremolite. Do you agree? Q. Yeah. It certainly doesn't find that there's chrysotile in the retest, correct? 10 133 and 134, ND. Yes, ND is listed. 10 11 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 11 Q. And if you look back at your chart on A. It doesn't say "no detect," also. 12 12 16 -- strike that. 13 So, again, looking at this, you can't 13 BY MR. FROST: 14 Q. Again, without speculating, can you tell tell me whether or not there's actually asbestos that 15 me whether or not that that means there's chrysotile in made it into the sample that's listed as 9/26/72 in your that product? chart, correct, without speculating? 17 A. No. But it means there's some question. 17 A. Correct. It was detected once in a 18 Yeah, I don't know why they would use question marks. 18 sample, and it was not detected again in what is 19 If it was no detect, I would expect it to be an ND. supposedly the same sample. So I'm unclear. Is it the 20 Q. But, again, you can't tell me one way or exact -- is it the same exact sample or same lot? the other without speculating that there's chrysotile in 21 Q. It's the same sample, sir. It was 21 that product, correct? retesting of the same sample. 22 23 23 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Resting. 24 A. So with all these, you know, re-analyses, 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 25 you know, essentially, one aspect of variability is that Is the exact --A. Page 259 Page 261 1 perhaps the samples were either ground more or not MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Object to the 1 2 prepared, you know, in the same way. form. ³ BY MR. FROST: 3 BY MR. FROST: Q. Let's stop you here. You're speculating Q. You can read the document yourself, sir. 5 about all of this, correct? Based on these documents, All right. So I think we've gone 6 can you tell me one way or the other that there was any 6 through, like, six of these, correct? And we've come up 7 problems with the retest or that they've actually found with six of them either are samples that have absolutely 8 chrysotile in any of these samples? I don't want you to nothing to do with Johnson's Baby Powder or Shower to 9 speculate. Shower or any other cosmetic talcum problem. Do you 10 MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. agree? Talcum powder product. 11 The -- this has a question mark listed 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 12 for chrysotile. 12 BY MR. FROST: 13 BY MR. FROST: 13 Q. Do you agree? And based on that, you can't tell me one 14 A. We've gone through six examples as ¹⁵ way or the other whether there was chrysotile in the 15 you've -- yeah. final sample that was tested, according to this 16 Q. And others we've come up with, we 17 basically determined without speculating you can't say document, correct? 18 A. Correct. According to that document. one way or the other that there is asbestos in that 19 Q. Okay. Go to your chart. Still on page product that made it onto the market, correct? 20 20 16. I believe. It's 9/26/72. MS. SCOTT: Object to the form. 21 9/26/72. 21 Based on those documents, yes. A. A.

22

23

BY MR. FROST:

23 that's the corresponding document, J&J-31?

22

24

If you turn to Tab 12. Do you agree that

A. JNJ-31. I believe so, yes. Johnson's

Baby Powder, 2 percent chrysotile; Johnson's Baby

Q. So I think it would take us days to go

through all of these, but can you definitively sit here

now and tell me that every single hit or every single

Page 262 Page 264 1 they provided to you? 1 reference you have on this list showing asbestos and MS. SCOTT: Objection. 2 talcum powder is actually talcum powder that was, one, 3 either use or ended up in an bottle of Johnson's Baby A. No. But I -- well, I remember there's a 4 Powder or Shower to Shower or other talcum powder 4 deposition by Blount who indicated, I think, on page 10 5 products or, two, that you can say without speculating that work from 1991 was Johnson & Johnson talcum powder, 6 contains asbestos? 6 if I remember correctly. I've seen that somewhere. BY MR. FROST: MS. O'DELL: Objection. 8 To the best of my knowledge, I stand by Q. Okay. So Blount, Longo. And, again, Blount was provided to you by plaintiffs' counsel, 9 the report. correct? 10 BY MR. FROST: 11 Q. But sitting here today, you can't tell me 11 A. Yes. 12 12 one way or the other that absolutely every -- well, we Q. Now, you've done no additional testing know not every single entry is correct? 13 yourself of talcum powder? I think you said that MS. O'DELL: Objection. 14 14 before. 15 15 Yeah. So there -- there are some Correct. Yeah. That was not requested 16 misidentifications or later corrections, later 16 of me. 17 And have you done any testing or cusing 17 corrections that I was unaware of, but it's also of the testing done by Dr. Longo? 18 concerning that you can -- it's not exactly -- you know, 18 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 19 so what is a sample? It's not exactly clear if the 19 20 sample is like a kilogram sample, so you could have 20 answered. No. I was not asked to retest on any of 21 portions in that sample that have asbestos that you 21 22 cannot detect, and then you can have regions of the 22 his samples or anything like that. BY MR. FROST: sample that have a lot. So that, that's my opinion. Q. So what you're telling me is you can't 24 So you're merely relying on the results 25 actually speculate as to any of the testing results in of his testing for purposes of your opinions here, Page 263 Page 265 1 here because of the various sample sizes retesting, and 1 correct? 2 again, not everything we found is a retest, right? Some A. Yes. 3 aren't even products of cosmetic talc, correct? You have no opinions about his sample MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 preparation, his underlying testing methods, anything of 5 MS. SCOTT: Objection. that nature? A. I don't remember. A. I'm fine with what he's done. BY MR. FROST: 7 Okay. But you're not rendering any O. 8 Q. You don't remember that we found talcum opinions that it's correct or incorrect or the powder that came from a mine in San Andreas, California? 9 methodology about it? You're not going to sit here 10 A. I'm sorry. Yeah, that's correct. today and walk me through the methodology that Longo 11 Okay. So it's not just retesting that used to give me opinions that that's the proper way or 12 came back. I've also identified some product that has not the proper way? 13 nothing to do with cosmetic talcum powder, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 14 MS. SCOTT: Objection. I think what he did was fine for the 15 A. Correct. purpose of the report. 16 BY MR. FROST: 16 BY MR. FROST: 17 17 Q. Okay. Now, you also reference in your Q. You have no problems with any of the 18 report Dr. Longo's reports; is that correct? 18 methodology he employed in his testing? 19 19 A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and And I take it you were provided those 20 20 21 reports by plaintiffs' counsel? 21 No. I'm fine with what he's done in the A. 22 A. Yes. 22 report. 23 Did you ever ask plaintiffs' counsel if 23 BY MR. FROST:

24

24 anybody else has done testing of Johnson & Johnson

25 talcum powder other than Dr. Longo and the records that

This is despite the fact that you've done

25 nothing to verify the results of his report?

Page 266 Page 268 1 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 1 found asbestos in every sample he tested? 2 You know, I looked at a lot of TEM data. 2 I would not be comfortable saying that. 3 I don't know. ³ You know, just looking at the quality of the data, 4 electron diffraction is, requires a certain level of Q. Okay. A. 5 skill, and he produced several, you know, really good I know he found asbestos in many samples. 6 nets, so he was obviously able to get good orientations Okay. Turning to -- where I did put your Q. ⁷ of crystals. So, you know, he didn't have anything that report? 8 was extremely off axis or anything like that. So at THE WITNESS: Can we take a little break? ⁹ that level, I mean, I am fine with his data. 9 MR. FROST: Sure. 10 10 BY MR. FROST: VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off 11 You didn't go through and actually run 11 record. The time is 5:47. 12 12 any calculations to determine whether or not his (A recess was taken from 5:47 to 6:00.) 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record, and 13 accessees were correct or whether or not any of his underlying calculations or determinations are correct? 14 the time is 6:00. 15 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 15 BY MR. FROST: 16 16 Q. We're going to change gears a little bit answered. 17 and talk about fibrous talc. Of course, I'm not finding A. I did not index things, but the 18 diffraction patterns looked suitable and consistent as it. That's all right. It doesn't matter. 19 to the EDS, suitable and consistent with the materials So, in general, you're relying on the 20 that he identified. 20 IARC statement from 2012, correct, that fibrous talc is 21 BY MR. FROST: 21 carcinogenic? 22 22 A. I'm just trying to find it. Q. And is suitable and consistent the 23 scientific requirement for testing? 23 BY MR. FROST: MS. SCOTT: Objection. Q. If you find it, tell me the page. Okay. 25 25 Page 23 is where it starts. A. So with TEM work, essentially, one should Page 267 Page 269 1 have an image, an EDS pattern and a diffraction pattern. A. Twenty-three. ² So I find what he has done is in agreement with what I In general, I think a couple different Q. ³ places in your report, you note that, according to IARC, 3 would do and what others have done. 4 BY MR. FROST: 4 it's actually -- I see it on page 3. Yeah, that rely on Q. This is despite the fact that you didn't 5 IARC 2012 to state that fibrous talc can be a human 6 do any retesting of the work calculations. You didn't 6 carcinogen? ⁷ do any cusing of it. You're just taking it a face value I'm sorry. You said page 3? A. 8 8 based on your review? Q. Yes. 9 9 MS. SCOTT: Objection. Page 3. 10 A. I was not tasked with retesting samples. 10 MS. SCOTT: I'll just object. 11 "Talc can occur in a fibrous habit"? 11 BY MR. FROST: A. 12 12 Q. You agree with me that there are samples Q. Yep. 13 where Dr. Longo detected no asbestos, correct? "These fibers can be inhaled into the 14 A. I'm not sure. There may have been some, lower lungs based on their length and diameter, but I don't remember the exact details. producing effects linked to significant health risks in 16 humans. IARC 2012." Q. So you're relying on Dr. Longo's report 17 and testing as a basis for your opinions here, but you 17 BY MR. FROST: 18 can't even tell me whether or not what percentage or if 18 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that 19 he finds no asbestos in some of the bottles he tested? you're not an expert in reading the literature of what 20 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 causes cancer? There were, you know, hundreds and 21 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 22 hundreds of images diffraction patterns in EDS, so I 22 A. I am not an oncologist. I am not a 23 don't remember specifics. 23 medical expert. 24 BY MR. FROST: 24 BY MR. FROST: 25 25 So you can't tell me whether or not he Q. Do you agree with me that an IARC

Page 272 Page 270 1 monograph does not represent independent lab work but, 1 BY MR. FROST: 2 instead, it's a summary of work that's already been done 2 If you want me to explain it --3 I don't -- I don't remember. 3 by others? MS. SCOTT: Objection. And that, specifically, the theory is 5 that -- you know, the explanation is that if you look at A. And that's normal. There are many 6 monographs. I mean, we have, you know, the CRC 6 talc edge on, it can appear in a 2-D image as fibrous. chemistry book. Would you agree with that? BY MR. FROST: MS. SCOTT: Objection. 9 Q. That's what I'm saying. A. Can I make a statement? 10 It is a cumulative document, as I BY MR. FROST: 11 understand it, based on peer-review literature, and it's 11 Q. Sure. 12 12 also an international document, so it's global A. So the miopyroboles are this mineral peer-review literature, as I understand it. group that actually were discovered in the ultramafic, 14 Q. Do you agree with me that if there are -these talc-rich zones in Vermont. So Dave Devlin, I 15 IARC does not draw conclusions on its own, so if there's worked with Thompson at Harvard, and basically, what 16 not peer-reviewed literature that says one way or the 16 they showed is that you can have these structural 17 other, IARC isn't going to jump out and say this is or intermediates where, essentially, you can have a region 18 this isn't, correct? IARC relies on the work of others of a crystal. 19 in order to reach its conclusions? 19 Q. Okay. I am going to stop you because we 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. are talking about something completely different. My 21 A. I think it's speculation because I'm not question was --22 an expert in health and medical things. 22 A. I was explaining how one might get 23 BY MR. FROST: 23 fibrous talc. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether or not there Q. No, no. I'm talking about -- that's why 25 are any peer-reviewed studies that actually link 25 I stopped you, because that's not what we're talking Page 271 Page 273 1 about. ¹ exposure to talc to ovarian cancer? 2 2 So do you agree that if you're looking at MS. SCOTT: Objection. 3 a plate of talc on edge, it can appear as a fiber in a 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 4 2-D SEM or TEM image? And have you read any literature A. I'm sorry. Any studies or any about the problems with misidentifying talc? 5 information? 6 BY MR. FROST: MS. O'DELL: Objection. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 7 I said any peer-reviewed studies linking A. It can look -- so a fibrous -- a fiber exposure to talc to ovarian cancer. 9 A. I'm not a medical expert. can look like a two-dimensional plate or a 10 two-dimensional plate can look like a fiber. O. Again, can you tell me whether or not 11 IARC specifically links exposure to talc to ovarian 11 BY MR. FROST: 12 12 cancer? Q. So the problem is when you're looking --13 because, usually, a platy talc, you know, if it's MS. SCOTT: Objection. Asked and 14 answered. sitting oriented this way, you can see the large 15 platiness of it, but if it's oriented that you're MS. O'DELL: Objection. 16 looking at the flat plane, have you ever read anything I'm not a medical expert. A. 17 that talks about the fact that you can misidentify platy BY MR. FROST: 18 talc as fiber based on the orientation of the image? Have you ever done any work identifying 19 19 talc as either platy or fibrous? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 20 20 No. I have no peer-reviewed articles. A. I don't remember. Α. 21 21 MR. FROST: Can we get IARC 2010? I Are you aware if you ever heard of the Q. 22 forget what that was marked as. It's the big common misreporting of platy talc as fibrous? MS. SCOTT: Objection. 23 orange one, I believe. Yeah, there it is. 23 24 MS. O'DELL: Objection. 24 MS. O'DELL: Five. 25 MR. FROST: It looks like that. It's 25

	81553		
	Page 274		Page 276
	five.	1	finished talcum powder, correct?
	BY MR. FROST:	2	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
	Q. I'll skip this. You said you haven't	3	MS. O'DELL: Objection.
.	read anything. You don't know about that, so it's not	4	A. I'm sorry. Repeat the question.
	something that comes up in your work?	5	· · · ·
		6	Q. Sure. You can't tell me without
		7	
			for example, here, found in ore samples are the same
			levels that would be located in finished talcum powder,
		9	-
1		10	
1	,	11	MS. SCOTT: Objection.
1		12	A. Correct. The levels of metals may be the
1	C	13	same, may be less or may be more depending upon the
1	1	14	1
1		15	BY MR. FROST:
1	MS. SCOTT: Objection.	16	Q. And things like beneficiation, blending,
1	A. I am not a toxicologist.	17	things of this nature would ultimately affect what ends
1	BY MR. FROST:	18	up in the final product, right?
1:	Q. You're also not qualified to opine what,	19	A. If it's executed correctly, but I think
2	if any, disease may be associated with nickel	20	it's also reasonable to say that some it is
2	contaminated or with nickel exposure, correct?	21	scientifically likely it's my opinion that some of
2	MS. SCOTT: Objection.		this would, from the ore samples, would make it into
2		23	-
2		24	Q. But you can't tell me, of these ore
		25	-
2	O. I'm looking at your report, starting on	40	samples, what sample may or may not have made
2	Comments and an experimental and		samples, what sample may or may not have made
2	Q. I'm looking at your report, starting on Page 275	23	Page 277
		1	
	Page 275 page 34.	1	Page 277
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there.	1	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there.	1 2 3	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with	1 2 3	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of	1 2 3 4	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct?	1 2 3 4 5	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed
1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes.
1:	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection.
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST:
1:	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples?	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right?
	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples? A. Yeah. So that's what it's listed as,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right? A. Chromium, cobalt, nickel. Chromium
1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples? A. Yeah. So that's what it's listed as, yes.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 277 A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right? A. Chromium, cobalt, nickel. Chromium cobalt, nickel I'm just checking and double checking.
11 11 11 12 2 2 2 2	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples? A. Yeah. So that's what it's listed as, yes. Q. So you'd agree with me without	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right? A. Chromium, cobalt, nickel. Chromium cobalt, nickel I'm just checking and double checking. Chromium, cobalt, and then it's not in chart form, but I
1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples? A. Yeah. So that's what it's listed as, yes. Q. So you'd agree with me without speculating, you can't say one way or the other that	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right? A. Chromium, cobalt, nickel. Chromium cobalt, nickel I'm just checking and double checking. Chromium, cobalt, and then it's not in chart form, but I do talk about arsenic on page 33.
1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Page 275 page 34. A. I'm right there. Q. Some of these tests, you'll agree with me, you know, not that they're from ore. Several of them actually note that they're from ore grade 66. Windsor 66, you agree, is an ore, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. I'm sorry? BY MR. FROST: Q. You'd agree with me, looking at these, that the marks that say "ore in concentrate, grade 66, Windsor 66," et cetera, these are all ore samples, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. A. I think so. I'd like to look at the document to be sure. BY MR. FROST: Q. I mean, you can go on them, such as the example of Imerys 045182. It says three ore samples? A. Yeah. So that's what it's listed as, yes. Q. So you'd agree with me without	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I can't tell you where, what bottle that might have ended up in, yes. Q. Or if it even could have ended up in the bottle, correct? MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. At that A. Specifically, no. Q. Okay. A. If you process it, you may modify it one way or the other. Q. The same thing would also be true with respect to the chromium, cobalt, and I think this is the only other ones, right, chromium, cobalt that are listed in the charts? Yes. MS. SCOTT: Objection. BY MR. FROST: Q. The same would be true with chromium and cobalt, right? A. Chromium, cobalt, nickel. Chromium cobalt, nickel I'm just checking and double checking. Chromium, cobalt, and then it's not in chart form, but I

25 levels that may have ever made it into a bottle of

25 chromium, cobalt, nickel and arsenic based on ore sample

Page 278 Page 280 1 testing? You couldn't say one way or the other what 1 Q. It you turn to, I believe, Exhibit 2, 2 level ultimately made it into, if at all, talcum powder, your supplemental report. 3 finished talcum powder, correct? 3 A. Okay. MS. SCOTT: Objection. 4 Q. Okay. The second page. 5 5 A. Yes. A. Okay. 6 BY MR. FROST: 6 Under sampling and techniques, do you see Q. With respect to chromium, which is page it's one, two, three, four down? 36 of your report, sir? Under "Sampling and Testing"? 9 A. Uh-huh. Under "Sampling and Testing Results," O. 10 You know that chromium can occur in two yes. You know that it failed to provide data 11 different forms, Chromium III and Chromium VI? supporting -- no. I'm in the wrong place. 12 It's a slight typo. What I mean to say 12 A. I'm sorry. Where were you? 13 13 there is chromium can occur in two common forms and Sorry. I was in the wrong place. Bear minerals, Chromium III and Chromium IV. So chromium can with me a second here. Okay. It's the one, two, third paragraph down. It starts with "Another issue." actually have several different valent states to it --16 And it's Chromium VI --16 A. Yeah. 17 -- including the zero valent metal, which 17 So "Another issue was the vague 18 we don't really see in nature. description of the preparation technique. The method 19 O. And it's chromium 6, correct, that is the fails to identify whether the material was ground, 20 known carcinogen? crushed or made into a powder by another method." Do Yeah. That is one of high concern, as I you see that there? 21 A. 21 22 22 understand it. A. Yes. 23 23 Q. Are you generally aware that Chromium III O. If you look up to the testing, it says, is actually an essential element in the human body? "XRD methodology states." Do you see where I am there? 25 I'm a diabetic. Yes. A. Yes. Page 279 Page 281 Q. Okay. And are you also aware that Q. It's the part that's indented. 1 chromium 3 is commonly found in rocks and minerals? ² Underneath, it says, "Monthly talc composite, February 3 1990." 3 A. And, again, in looking at the chart, you A. 5 don't list here whether or not it is Chromium III, Do you agree with me that the monthly Q. 6 Chromium VI or some other variant of the mineral -- or 6 talc composite is a composite of the ground finished 7 the metal, correct? talc that's being tested? A. Correct. But I think it's reasonable 8 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 8 9 that -- yes. There's no specific determination of A. I'm unsure. I'm unsure. The -- you --10 valent state, which would have been a nice step if you one would essentially prepare the -- I'm sorry. Go 11 could definitively show that there is no chromium or 11 ahead. 12 active valent chromium that would have been a good 12 BY MR. FROST: 13 thing. But, yes, there's no specific EELS, electron 13 O. Yes. 14 energy loss spectroscopy, or what comes through A. I'm unsure. 15 15 techniques to determine that. You can't tell me whether or not this was 16 Q. And with respect to the arsenic, the the composite sample of the already ground and prepared 17 cobalt and the chromium, just like the nickel, you can't talc? 18 tell me what level of exposure is required to cause I don't -- I don't remember specifically. 19 disease of those heavy metals, correct? And if the talc was already ground as a finished product, there wouldn't be further grinding of 20 I am not a medical or oncologist, sir, A. it. Do you agree with that? 21 yes. Q. And it's the same thing. You couldn't 22 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 22 23 tell me what diseases they're known to cause if you have 23 A. So as I understand, the final talc exposure, correct? particle size is approximately 15, 25 microns or so, so

25

A.

Correct.

25 that's essentially fine salt size. So, typically, in

Page 282 Page 284 1 power diffraction, you would want to reduce that 1 A. Yes. ² particle size further. Q. And you've published a hundred and ³ BY MR. FROST: something; is that right? A. Over 40 peer-review papers. I have over Q. Did you see anywhere in reviewing this a hundred presentations at meetings and a couple testing that they state that they reduce the particle size further? patents, yes. 6 7 MS. O'DELL: If you need to review the Q. In your peer-review papers, when you're 8 document, Doctor, we can pull it. citing authorities in your peer-review papers, you tend 9 to or customarily cite peer-reviewed papers, don't you? Yeah. Why don't we pull it up? 10 10 BY MR. FROST: A. Generally, yes. 11 Sure. I don't have it. That's fine. We 11 Q. Because you know that they have the O. 12 can move on. I don't want to waste my time. 12 likelihood to be more accurate and have been, obviously, 13 MS. O'DELL: To ask him questions, reviewed by peers, correct? 14 specific questions about the document not having MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 15 15 this. A. Correct, yes. 16 MR. FROST: I'm just asking -- I'm just 16 BY MR. FERGUSON: 17 17 asking if he knows and what he remembers in Q. Now, in your report that you did in this 18 drafting his report. case, and I know it's been marked as an exhibit. I 19 All right, sir. I think that's all the forget which number. In your report in this case, you 20 questions I have for now. I reserve the right have, among other authorities, cited Dr. Longo and 21 to look at my notes and come back, but I'm going Dr. Rigler's report, correct? 22 to yield my time to some of the other 22 A. I've cited expert witness reports, yes. 23 23 defendants. We can go off the record. And you understand that Dr. Longo and 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off 24 Rigler's report, that's not peer reviewed, correct? You 25 record. The time is 6:19. 25 understand that? Page 283 Page 285 (A recess was taken from 6:19 to 6:33.) Yes, I do. 1 A. 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, So while your custom is to cite Q. 3 and the time is 6:33. 3 peer-reviewed articles in your scientific papers that **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 4 you're writing, you've varied from that in doing your 4 5 BY MR. FERGUSON: 5 report here in this matter, correct? 6 Q. Good evening, Dr. Krekeler. How are you? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 7 A. A. Yes. So I have not in my previous work 8 Q. Okay. We met briefly before. My name is cited an expert witness report. 9 Ken Ferguson, and I represent Imerys. Do you understand BY MR. FERGUSON: 10 that? 10 Q. And you understand that Dr. Longo and his 11 11 colleague, Dr. Rigler, and I think they wrote these A. Yes. Okay. And I've got, along with Mr. Cary, 12 reports together, that they are being paid as experts by 13 who's down, three people down from me. counsel for plaintiffs just as you are, correct? 14 A. Okay. 14 MS. SCOTT: Objection. 15 15 Q. I've got some questions for you. I'm not A. I believe that is the case, yes. 16 going to spend a lot of time, because there's not a lot BY MR. FERGUSON: 17 17 of time left, so I may skip around a little, just Q. I want to talk to you a little bit about 18 depending on which questions I feel like I need to get a book that I see you've got your copy out. I've got my 19 asked before I run out of time. So I'm not trying to copy out, and we have some copies we've made that I'm 20 confuse you by that, but if I do, then you let me know, going to mark as Exhibit 23, I believe. 21 and I'll restate the question, okay? 21 (Exhibit 23 was marked for 22 22 A. Okay. identification.) 23 Okay. Fair enough. 23 BY MR. FERGUSON: 24 So in your career as an academic, you've 24 Q. Now what I've marked, Dr. Krekeler, are 25 written scientific papers before, correct? 25 some pages from a book called "An Introduction to the

	Mark &reke	: т е	er, Ph.D.
	Page 286		Page 288
1	Rock-Forming Minerals" by Deer, Howie and Zussman,	1	(Exhibit 24 was marked for
2	correct?	2	identification.)
3	A. Is this the same edition?	3	BY MR. FERGUSON:
4	Q. I believe I believe it's the third	4	Q. And this is a paper by a Harold R.
5	edition.	5	Newman, correct?
6	A. Oh, I'm sorry.	6	A. That's what it says.
7	Q. And yours is?	7	Q. And it says, "The Mineral Industry of
8	A. Third. Yeah, we're good.	8	Italy," correct?
9	Q. This is a book that is often relied upon	9	A. Yes. What journal did this come from?
10	by mineralogists, correct, material scientists?	10	Is this peer review?
11	A. This is a book that is used as a textbook	11	Q. I don't know. I believe it is, but I
12	for mineralogy courses, yes.	12	don't know the answer, so I'm not going to answer it.
13	Q. So let's go back to your report, and if	13	A. You believe or it is?
14	you would, just keep the Deer, Howie and Zussman by your	14	Q. I get to ask the questions.
15	side. Go to your report at page 5. Are you with me?	15	A. All right.
16	A. Page 5.	16	Q. We have Harold Newman's paper here, okay?
17	Q. And in the first paragraph on page 5 of	17	A. Okay.
18	your report, there's a sentence in the middle that says,	18	Q. From The Mineral Institute of Italy,
19	"As a result, natural talc formation is commonly	19	right?
20	accompanied by veins of other minerals, including	20	A. Mineral Industry of Italy, one.
21	asbestiform minerals like tremolite and serpentine,"	21	Q. So look at page
22	correct?	22	A. I'm sorry?
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Look at page 428, please.
24	Q. And you cite for that Deer, Howie &	24	A. 428?
25	Zussman 2013, correct?	25	Q. Yes. And you see on the right-hand
	Page 287		Page 289
1	A. Yep.	1	column, this is a paragraph that has "Talc" in bold at
2	Q. And the citation down below cites, for	1	the beginning of the paragraph, correct?
	that assertion, pages 145, 149, 151 and 164 to 165,	3	A. Correct.
	correct?	4	Q. And it says and I won't try to
5	A. Yes. That's what it reads.	5	pronounce the Italian names. We had enough trouble with
6	Q. And it's your contention in your expert	6	Chinese names earlier on, but "Talco" I'll try "e
	report that those pages stand for the proposition that	1	Grafite Val Chisone S.p.A. operated two underground
1	we just read the "natural talc formation is commonly		mines at Pinerolo near Turin," correct?
9	accompanied by veins of other minerals, including	9	A. That is what it says. I didn't know.
10	asbestiform minerals like tremolite and serpentine,"	10	Q. And next sentence says, "The white talc,
	correct?	11	mined from metamorphic rocks, has been of very high
12	A. Yes.		quality," correct?
13	Q. Let's move on because I'm not sure I have	13	A. That is what it says. It doesn't say
14	it time to sit and read them all now. Let's move on to	14	what high quality for. Is it the table in the back,
15	another topic. Let's look at page 9 of your report,	15	does it say what the talc is used for? Talc and related
16	please.	16	materials. It just lists tonnages.
17	A. Page 9?	17	MR. FERGUSON: And I'd like the next
18	Q. Page 9, sir, yes. And do you see on page	18	list, Exhibit 24 25. My bad.
19	9 that you have said in the I think it's the second	19	(Exhibit 25 was marked for
20	full paragraph. "Based on what I have reviewed, I have	20	identification.)
21	sufficient basis to conclude that Italian ore was of	21	BY MR. FERGUSON:
22	poor quality," correct?	22	Q. The first author is Edward B. Ilgren,
23	A. Yes.	23	I-l-g-r-e-n, correct?
24	Q. And let me show you, first of all, an	24	A. Ilgren, yes.
25	exhibit that we'll mark as Exhibit 24.	25	Q. And the title is "Analysis of an
1		1	

Page 290 Page 292 1 Authentic Historical Italian Cosmetic Talc Sample 1 about his report while you're pulling that up, ² Further Evidence for the Lack of Cancer Risk," correct? 2 if you wouldn't mind? 3 MS. O'DELL: Yeah, sure. I've got it 3 And analysis of an, implying one, 4 authentic historical Italian. Yes, that's what the 4 right here. 5 title is. BY MR. FERGUSON: 6 Exactly. It does say "an," a-n? Could look at page 31 of your report, 6 7 A single or it's implied that's a single Dr. Krekeler? sample. I have not seen this paper before. A. I'm at page 31. 9 Q. Can you look with me at the first line of Are you with me, sir? Okay. Just above Q. 10 the abstract, where it says, "Italian talc from the the heading of "Toxic Metal Contamination," is a 11 Pinerolo Mines in northwest Italy is known for its paragraph that starts "In summary." And do you see a sentence there that says, "Defendants admit that the 12 extreme purity," correct? 13 beneficiation process does not remove asbestos"? Do you That is what it says. It doesn't say with respect to what, so and then -- so it's an see that sentence? 15 15 abstract. It should be a summary from introductory A. I do see that sentence. 16 materials, so let's see if they discuss that in the 16 And for that proposition, you cite the 17 introduction. "It is known for its extreme purity. deposition of Patrick Downey at page 407, pages -- line. 18 More than 60 years of epidemiological studies have Excuse me. Lines 13 through 16, correct? That's what 19 failed to demonstrate any attendant cancer risk." So -you cited? 20 20 Q. I don't need you to read it out loud. I A. Correct. 21 apologize for interrupting. Obviously, time is limited. All right. Let's look, if we may, look 21 O. 22 You've answered my question, so what we know is that 22 at Exhibit 26, and the second -- the first page of that 23 Mr. Newman and Dr. Ilgren disagree with your comment is just the cover page to Mr. Downey's deposition. 24 that the Italian talc is not good quality, correct? 24 Could you turn to the second page, and let's look at 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 25 page 407, lines 13 to 16, which you cited. Page 291 Page 293 A. They can disagree, correct. So 407? 1 1 A. 2 BY MS. ROSE: 2 O. Yes, sir. 3 At one point in your report on page 13, 13 to 16. Can I have a moment to read 4 you say that, "Usually, companies have a dedicated the context above it and stuff? 5 in-house laboratory for these analyses." O. Certainly, sir. 6 Yes. Oil Dry as an example. There's To refresh my memory? A. 7 other companies that have, you know, extensive labs, and Certainly, sir. Ready to go? Got the O. also, people rely on third-party labs to check their 8 context? 9 Yes. 9 internal labs. A. 10 Q. And you're aware that Imerys has had and 10 0. All right. So if we look at lines 13 11 has a dedicated in-house laboratory as well, correct? through 16, that is an answer by Mr. Downey where he 12 says, "I don't know if -- I'm not familiar, and I don't I believe so, yes. 13 And, in addition, Imerys has had occasion 13 know if flotation was intended to remove asbestos, but Q. to send samples to third-party laboratories as well, to my knowledge, our products don't contain asbestos 15 so." Did I read that correctly? correct? 16 16 Yes, you did read that correctly. A. Correct. 17 17 Let me mark for you Exhibit 26 to your So, in fact, Mr. Downey is not, as you Q. 18 deposition, please. say, admitting that the beneficiation process does not 19 (Exhibit 26 was marked for remove asbestos. Instead, what he says is I don't know 20 identification.) 20 if flotation was intended to remove asbestos, correct? 21 MS. O'DELL: Let me get that out here. That's what it says. I took it as -- he 22 MR. FERGUSON: Sure. No problem. Let me said "I don't know" twice, "I'm not familiar." And it 23 know when you're ready. 23 says, "I don't know if flotation was intended to remove 24 MS. O'DELL: Yeah. Okay. 24 asbestos." So the text is correct, yes.

25

MR. FERGUSON: Can I ask him a question

25

But you would agree he did not admit that

Page 294

1 the beneficiation process does not remove asbestos, 2 correct?

3 MS. SCOTT: Objection.

4 A. He doesn't know if it was intended or not

5 is how -- that's how I interpret it. Others can

6 interpret it in other ways.

7 BY MR. FERGUSON:

Q. Would you look at the bottom of page 31,

9 please, of your report?

10 A. Okay. On page 31. I see it, yes.

Q. And you see it says, at the bottom, it

12 starts a sentence, "In fact, these chemical elements are

13 inherent properties of talc ore, a fact acknowledged by

14 Julie Pier in her deposition." And then you cite Julie

15 Pier Deposition, page 211, lines six through 13 from the

16 September 12, 2018, session of her deposition. Do you

17 see that?

18 A. Yes, I do.

Q. And could you go to your left and pick up

20 Miss Pier's deposition? And both sessions are there.

21 If you could, look at the -- they're in reverse order, I

22 noticed before, so would you look at the deposition that

23 is the second one in that notebook? It's the second

24 one. It's not the first one because they're in reverse

25 order. That's the September 13 session, I notice, and

A. I have -- "I have just a general broad

2 understanding that as it's crushed, an automatic sampler

Page 296

Page 297

3 takes a sample at specific time intervals." That's

4 through line 13.

5 Q. All right. So would you agree with me

6 that in that portion of the deposition, Ms. Pier does

7 not acknowledge the fact that chemical elements are

8 inherent properties of talc ore, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. It doesn't say that at all, does it?

11 A. Yeah. I must have made a mistake with

12 the numbering.

10

21

24

25

8

13

22

23

25

Q. You also state in your report that Imerys admitted in depositions that -- well, let me skip back

because I don't have my citation. So let's -- let's

16 move on to another topic. I may come back to that if I

17 have time, okay?

A. Right. Do you want me to put the Pier

19 deposition away?

Q. Yeah, for now.

A. I'll set it aside.

Q. Yeah. Keep it handy in case we have time

23 to get back to that.

A. Okay.

Q. Now, you have taken, as you -- as we

Page 295

1 you can go all the way past those. There you go.

A. I'll try not to break the stuff.

³ Q. Can we look at page --

4 A. You said -- is it 211?

⁵ Q. Yes, sir. Page 211, please, sir.

6 A. I turned right to it. 211.

7 Q. Okay.

10

16

19

8 A. And you're interested in lines 6 through

9 13? Is that your question?

Q. Right. And what you've asserted is

11 that -- you cite that for the proposition, "In fact,

12 these chemical elements are inherit properties of talc

³ ore, a fact acknowledged by Julie Pier."

Can you read for me page 211, Lines 6

through 13 of the September 12 deposition?

A. Well, this has to do -- can I first read

17 the context a little bit to refresh myself?

Q. Right now, I'd like you to read what --

A. Okay. I can just read the text.

Q. Yeah, what you cited.

A. "Well, this has to do with sampling

22 that's done at the operation. I'm thinking that Pat is

23 in -- If you don't know, you can tell me that."

24 Question. "I'm" -- dash dash dash or -- "..."

Q. Are you past line --

1 discussed earlier, you have taken the report of

2 Drs. Longo and Rigler and relied upon it for your

3 report, correct?

A. Correct.

O. And that has to do with whether there are

6 contaminants in talc that is sold by Imerys and by

7 Johnson & Johnson, correct? That's what they addressed?

A. Correct.

9 Q. Now, are you an aware, Dr. Krekeler, that

the United States Food & Drug Administration actually

11 performed a survey of talc and body powders and cosmetic

12 raw material talc?

A. I believe so. I looked at an FDA

document on the Internet, and if I remember correctly --

15 I would want to check -- there was four suppliers that

16 provided talc products, and they did not find any

17 indications or it was nondetects for those many samples.

18 But I also remember that the FDA also said that -- I'd

19 have to look at it for the exact language, but,

essentially, the FDA couldn't fully assure that talc is

21 free of asbestos, I think. Do you have that?

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. Let's go ahead and mark as Exhibit 27 the FDA survey.

24 (Exhibit 27 was marked for

identification.)

	01339		ZI, III.D.
	Page 298		Page 300
1	A. I don't know if it's exactly the same one	1	Q. Let's call it rows.
2	that I looked at.	2	A. Oh, rows. Okay. All right.
3	MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Ken, was the Pier	3	Q. Okay.
4	deposition marked at all?	4	A. So for these seven rows, yes.
5	MR. FERGUSON: No. I didn't mark it. I	5	Q. Okay.
6	can mark it.	6	A. There's no asbestos detected for those
7	MS. SCOTT: 27?	7	
8	MR. FERGUSON: Yes.	8	-
			Q. Okay. And if we go to the
9	A. It's a printed, so it looks like a	9	second-to-the-last page of that exhibit in fact, it's
10	different format than maybe the one I looked at. The	10	the last page that has typing on it.
111	tables look familiar.	11	A. The second-to-the-last page.
12	BY MR. FERGUSON:	12	Q. The year and to
13	Q. So since our time is growing short, if	13	A. Okay.
14	you would, it looks familiar?	14	Q. Do you see there's a corainin that is or a
15	A. Okay. Yeah. I I do think it's the	15	chart that is entitled "Body Powder," correct?
16	one I looked at, I think.	16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Go to the second page of the exhibit, and	17	Q. And there's a line, a row for Johnson's
18	you see that it has a heading and a little chart saying	18	Baby Powder, correct?
19	"Cosmetic-grade raw material talc," correct?	19	A. Correct.
20	A. The second page, the heading is "How FDA	20	Q. That says no asbestos detected by PLM or
21	followed up on the latest"?	21	-
22	Q. Yeah. If you go to the bottom, there's a	22	A. Correct.
	little chart with a heading that says, "Cosmetic-grade	23	Q. And a row for Shower or Shower, Morning
- 1			-
	raw material talc," correct?	24	Tream treastream Body Towner than this was any the
25	A. Yes.	25	asbestos detected by PLM and TEM, correct?
_		_	
	Page 299		Page 301
1	Page 299 O. And you see under "Supplier." it says.	1	Page 301 A. At the very bottom, ves.
	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says,		A. At the very bottom, yes.
2	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct?	2	A. At the very bottom, yes.Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration
2 3	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct.	2 3	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than
2 3 4	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next	3 4	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with,
2 3 4 5	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio	2 3 4 5	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct?	2 3 4 5 6	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns? BY MS. ROSE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time, if you wouldn't mind letting me know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns? BY MS. ROSE: Q. Well, there's seven for PLM, seven for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time, if you wouldn't mind letting me know? VIDEOGRAPHER: You've been on record six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns? BY MS. ROSE: Q. Well, there's seven for PLM, seven for TEM?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time, if you wouldn't mind letting me know? VIDEOGRAPHER: You've been on record six hours and 51 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns? BY MS. ROSE: Q. Well, there's seven for PLM, seven for TEM? A. Oh, you mean rows or 14 columns? One,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time, if you wouldn't mind letting me know? VIDEOGRAPHER: You've been on record six hours and 51 minutes. MR. FERGUSON: I've got a few minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you see under "Supplier," it says, "Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America," correct? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at that and the next page, there are seven lots that were tested from Rio Tinto Minerals/Luzenac America, correct? A. One, two, three, four. Yes. Seven? Q. Yes, sir. A. From Rio Tinto. Q. Okay. And there's a column for "Percentage Asbestos by PLM." That's polarized light microscopy, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. And there's a percentage asbestos by TEM or transmission electron microscope, correct? A. Yes. There's a column for that. Q. Okay. And in all 14 columns, it notes no asbestos detected, correct? MS. O'DELL: Objection. A. Fourteen columns? BY MS. ROSE: Q. Well, there's seven for PLM, seven for TEM?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. At the very bottom, yes. Q. So in this Food & Drug Administration survey that was done, the results were different than the ones that Drs. Longo and Rigler came up with, correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. Well, it's not the same sample size. And. yeah, this is the same report. As it says, "For these reasons, while FDA finds these results informative, they do not prove that most or all talc or talc-containing cosmetic products currently marketed in the United States are likely to be free of asbestos contamination. As always, when potential" yeah. This is, yeah. This is the, yeah. BY MS. ROSE: Q. But we know that they tested Luzenac, raw material talc and Johnson & Johnson body powder, correct? A. Correct. Yes. MR. FERGUSON: What are we doing on time, if you wouldn't mind letting me know? VIDEOGRAPHER: You've been on record six hours and 51 minutes.

	mark sizek e	; T ∈	er, Pn.D.
	Page 302		Page 304
1	MR. FERGUSON: Plenty of time.		jet mills and are classified and separated from other
2	THE WITNESS: Are we done with this one?	2	minerals by froth flotation or magnetic separation,"
3	MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir. We're done with	3	
4	that one.	4	A. Yes. And there's no citation for that.
5	BY MS. ROSE:	5	Q. And the IARC working group does note that
6	Q. Let me ask you one more area, one more	6	the techniques by which top ores may be processed
7	area, and then I'll quit.	7	
8	MR. BILLINGS-KANG: I'm going to give him	8	A. Correct, yes. That's in the second line
9	my time.	9	on the paragraph. That's what they say. Again, it's
10	MR. FERGUSON: Okay.	10	not cited, so I'm not sure where they get the
11	MR. CARY: Time for the gentleman from	11	information from, but they say that.
12	Texas.	12	MR. FERGUSON: Can we go off for one
13	MS. O'DELL: It's like we're in the	13	second? I know we're almost done, please.
14	Senate or House.	14	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off
15	MR. FERGUSON: The House. I hope not.	15	record. The time is 7:05.
16	MR. FROST: Won't do too well for that.	16	(Off the record.)
17	MS. SCOTT: I was just going to say the	17	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record.
18	same thing.	18	The time is 7:07.
19	BY MR. FERGUSON:	19	BY MR. FERGUSON:
20	Q. Could you get the IARC 93 monograph,	20	Q. Dr. Krekeler, could you turn to page 42
21	which I believe is Exhibit 5?	21	of your report?
22	A. IARC 93. IARC 93. Yep. Exhibit 5, yes.	22	A. 42 of my report?
23	Q. All right.	23	Q. Yes, sir.
24	MR. FERGUSON: And I'm sorry, Leigh and	24	A. 42.
25	Carmen, do you guys have? Okay.	25	Q. Not of the IARC.
	Page 303		Page 305
1	MS. O'DELL: What page?	1	A. Oh, I thought we were still talking about
2	MR. FERGUSON: I am going to be looking	2	
3	at page 286.	3	Q. No. I apologize. Of your report?
4	BY MR. FERGUSON:	4	A. Okay.
5	Q. Can you find page 286?	5	Q. Okay?
6	A. 286. 285, 286. I found it.	6	A. Yep.
7	Q. At the top of page 286, the section	7	Q. Are you there?
8	and, again, this is from the IARC monograph, correct?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. Correct.	9	Q. Okay. So if you look at the last
10	Q. That you discussed earlier and you've	10	paragraph on page 42 about
11	cited in your report, correct?	11	A. Grinding?
12	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Cites the	12	Q. That paragraph.
13	monograph, but you're saying he cites this.	13	A. Yep.
14	It's a little confusing.	14	Q. But if you look at the fifth line of
15	MR. FERGUSON: I apologize.	15	that, you see where it starts, "Imerys admitted," and it
16	BY MR. FERGUSON:	16	goes on to say, "Imerys admitted, in deposition, that a
17	Q. You've cited this monograph, not	17	phyllosilicate sample could be ground to a near
18	necessarily this portion of it?	18	amorphous state, damaging the sample, even with minimal
19	A. Correct. Yeah. I've cited the	19	grinding." Correct? Did I read that correctly?
20	monograph.	20	A. Yes. That is correct.
21	Q. So let's look at the first paragraph	21	Q. And then you cite the Julie Pier
22	there on page 286. You see it says, "Talc ores may be	22	deposition, page 25, 23 to 25, and page 26, 1 through
23	processed by a variety of techniques that include	23	23, September 23rd, 2018? Correct?
24	selective mining, hand sorting and milling by roller	24	A. Correct.
25	mills, hammer mills, ball mills, fluid energy mills and	25	Q. And so would you pick up again the Julie
1		1	

Page 308 Page 306 1 Pier notebook to your left? And this time, we're 1 Well, those pages weren't missing. The 2 looking at the first deposition in the notebook because 2 words that you quoted were not just not on them, 3 they're reversed, and that's the September 13th, 2018, correct? 4 date. So would you turn to page 25 in that MS. SCOTT: Objection. 5 deposition --5 A. It's unclear. This starts at page 340. BY MR. FERGUSON: 6 A. 6 7 Q. Do you think maybe this is another Yes, it does. 8 A. So page -mistake or typo? 9 Would you with agree with me there is no 9 A. I don't know. 10 page 25 and no page 26 in the Julie Pier deposition MR. FERGUSON: That's all I have, 10 11 transcript from September 13th, 2018? 11 Dr. Krekeler. Thank you for your time, sir. 12 12 A. I don't know. VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off? Well ---13 13 MS. O'DELL: James, are you okay? Q. 14 14 A. Let's look and see. MR. BILLINGS-KANG: I'm fine. Thank you. 15 You have the deposition transcript in 15 MS. O'DELL: How much time on the record? O. 16 front of you, sir. 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: Seven hours even. 17 Is that -- I don't remember if it's a one 17 MS. O'DELL: Let's go take a break. MR. FROST: Look at that. 18 or two volume. Some of these, I think, were two volume. 18 19 Q. Well, sir --19 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off record. 20 So I think if -- yeah, I don't remember The time is 7:13. 20 specifically, but if this is --21 (A recess was taken from 7:13 to 7:47.) 21 22 Why don't you look at the very first 22 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record. 23 23 The time is 7:47. page. 24 A. The first page says 340. This is the 24 **EXAMINATION** 25 25 page number. Page 307 Page 309 1 BY MS. O'DELL: Q. Look at the very first page there that 2 you're looking at there, and does that say Julie Pier's Q. Dr. Krekeler, good evening. I've got a deposition from September 13th of 2018? few questions for you to follow up. A. Actually, on this page, there is not --A. Okay. oh, September 13th, 2018. O. First, you were asked a number of 6 Q. And just as you told us, there is no page questions about Italian talc and the talc ore deposits 7 25 or page 26 for the September 13, 2018, deposition of in Italy. Do you recall those questions? 8 Julie Pier, is there? A. Generally, yes. 9 9 A. In this printed copy, there appears not And, in fact, you were handed a binder of to be. I don't -a documents that I think are in front of you now that --10 11 O. So -they were marked as Exhibit 14. 12 A. Can I check to see if it's confused by --12 A. Exhibit -- yes. just double-check? I might have. 13 And they related to certain documents Q. 14 O. Do you want to check the September 12th regarding talc formations in Italy. Do you recall those 15 version and see? documents? 16 Yeah. I don't know if I've confused 16 A. Correct. 17 things or not. So we're looking at --And specifically in terms of the Italian 17 18 Page 25 and page 26. ore bodies, were there positive tests of asbestos in 19 A. 25 and 26. Italian talc that you reviewed in reaching your opinions 20 She is not talking about phyllosilicates in this case? 21 on pages 25 or 26 of the September 12th, is she? 21 MR. FROST: Objection to form. 22 22 Correct. I currently don't have an A. Yes. 23 BY MS. O'DELL: 23 explanation for the apparent discrepancy. 24 Do you think since --24 Q. And, in fact, if you'll turn to page -- I 25 I don't know if pages are missing or... 25 think it was 14 of your report. Do you see that?

Page 310 Page 312 1 A. Yes. 1 BY MS. O'DELL: 2 Q. And are the test results depicted on page Q. In fact, at the top, in the first full paragraph, it says, "The TEM micrograph in Figure B1 ³ 14 -- well, let me just ask you this way. Where did the 4 test results depicted in the table on page 14 of your 4 shows a number of platy talc particles. Figure B-2 expert report, where did they originate from? 5 shows platy talc particles and an elongated fragment of There are five examples from 1957 to '58 6 talc." 6 7 from Italy. A. Of talc. Two -- yeah. "Two other And you were also handed by Mr. Ferguson 8 tremolite fibers were detected," and then it restates O. 9 what's been marked as Exhibit 25. I don't recall if you that numerical concentration of tremolite fibers in talc 10 recall a document entitled, "Analysis of an Authentic was the number that I mentioned previously. 11 Historical --11 BY MS. O'DELL: 12 A. 12 Yes. Q. And so does, in fact, Exhibit 25 support 13 -- "Italian Cosmetic Talc Sample." Do your opinion that Italian talc is contaminated with 14 you recall that? 14 asbestos? 15 15 A. Yep. MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Objection to form. 16 Do you have it in front of you? 16 MR. FROST: Objection to form. Q. 17 A. Yes. BY MS. O'DELL: 18 And Mr. Ferguson asked you to read the 18 Q. Now, let me ask you to turn to your Q. 19 first sentence of the abstract which addressed "the report specifically. Oh, one question. You were asked extreme purity" of Italian talc. Do you recall that? a few questions today about the beneficiation process, 20 21 A. Correct. Yes, I do. and if there is asbestos fibers present in talc ore, is 22 Did this report that's been marked as there anything in the beneficiation process that you 23 Exhibit 5 actually report the presence of tremolite would expect to remove the asbestos fibers from the 24 fibers in Italian talc? 24 talc? 25 25 Yes. There's -- it reports the numerical Not efficiently. A. Page 311 Page 313 1 concentration of tremolite fibers in the talc sample was Q. Let me ask you to turn to page 35 of your 2 3.67 -- 3.687 times 10 to the negative 6 fibers per ² report. Actually, 36. 3 gram, so that is over 3 million fibers per gram A. Okay. I'm on page 36. 4 corresponding to a mass concentration of .722 parts per And, actually, you can look at, actually, 5 million. either 35 or 36, but are the test results and the 6 Q. And if you'll turn to page 3 of this 6 samples that are of the samples reported in the table on page 35, and do many of them include the results of 7 exhibit --8 annual composite samples? MR. FROST: Leigh, what exhibit is this? 9 9 MS. O'DELL: 25. A. Yes. 10 MR. FROST: 25. Okay. 10 Q. And are -- what are annual composite 11 MS. O'DELL: It's what Ken marked. 11 samples? 12 MR. FROST: Oh, I thought you said five. 12 They are, essentially, talcum powder I apologize. 13 that's ready to go as a consumer product, essentially a 14 MS. O'DELL: Did I? Sorry. Thank you. consumer product. 15 MR. BILLINGS-KANG: You said five. 15 Q. And annual samples would be composed of 16 MS. O'DELL: I don't think you heard the 16 processed talc? 17 17 two, but 25 is what I'm referring to. A. Yes. 18 18 MR. FROST: Thank you. And let me ask you to look at page 36, 19 BY MS. O'DELL: where you report some of the findings regarding 20 Q. On page 3 of the exhibit, Dr. Krekeler, chromium. Did Johnson & Johnson conduct testing of its did the authors of this report also report the presence talc powder that was specific enough to identify whether of fibrous talc in this particular sample? the type of chromium contained was either hexavalent 23 MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Object to form. 23 chromium or trivalent chromium? 24 Yes. I believe I saw it in here. 24 MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Objection to form. 25 25 MR. FROST: Objection to form.

Page 314 Page 316 No. I saw no evidence of any testing to 1 1 geologic terrain. 2 determine whether chromium was in the three-plus state And in the comments that are included in 3 or the six-plus state. 3 the Ross paper would cover the geologic formations that MR. FROST: Move to strike the response 4 were used to source Johnson & Johnson's talcum powder in 5 as speculative. Vermont? 6 BY MS. O'DELL: 6 A. Yes. 7 MR. FROST: Objection to form. Calls for Q. Is that also true -- is that also true of the testing that was conducted by Imerys? 8 speculation. 9 MR. FROST: Objection to form. BY MS. O'DELL: Q. Let me ask you to turn to Exhibit 11, 10 I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? 10 11 BY MS. O'DELL: 11 which should be right --12 Q. Is that -- is that also true of the 12 A. Eleven. testing that was conducted by Imerys regarding chromium? 13 Q. -- in front of you there. MR. FROST: Same objection. 14 14 A. 15 15 A. Yes. Q. And if you'll turn to page 2 of --16 BY MS. O'DELL: 16 Page 921 in the article? 17 17 Yes. Let me ask you, with the Q. You were asked a number of questions 18 regarding the ore deposits in Vermont. Do you recall constituents of the geology, geologic formation that is 19 those questions? described in Ross, and we'll get to it, but, also, in 20 A. Yes. Van Gosen, would those constituents, as described in those publications, be the same or similar to the mines 21 And you -- one of the exhibits that was Q. 22 marked in regard to Vermont was the Ross commentary that in Vermont that were used to source Johnson & Johnson's 23 you cited, and I believe it's in front of you. What's talcum powder? 24 the exhibit number, please? 24 MR. FROST: Objection to form. 25 Twelve, I think. 25 A. Yes. Page 315 Page 317 ¹ BY MS. O'DELL: 1 Q. Okay. 2 That's correct. Let me ask you to turn specifically to 3 And Exhibit 12 was a reference that you ³ Van Gosen, which we've marked as Exhibit 11 and cited in your report? specifically ask you to turn to page 933. 5 Correct. A. A. Okay. Yes. 6 Q. And is the Ross commentary supportive of Q. Does page 933 begin a description of 7 Vermont talc? your opinions? 8 8 A. Yes. A. Yes, it does. 9 O. Why? Does this description by Van Gosen apply 10 So, essentially, end of second column, to the, or is it relevant to the geology of the talc 11 "Ultramafic talc deposits of Vermont offer a third mines that were used to source J&J talc? 12 example of the complexities of rock formations 12 Yes, it is. 13 containing asbestos minerals. The core of the 13 MR. FROST: Objection. Calls for 14 ultramafic bodies is off a serpentine rock derived from speculation. 15 a hydrothermal alteration of a pre-existing pyroxene and 15 BY MS. O'DELL: 16 olivine-rich ultramafic rock. The serpentine core often 16 And if you'll turn to page 934, what is 17 grades outward into talc-serpentine-carbonate rock, then the description of the Vermont talc geology that Van 18 steatite (massive talc ore containing often small Gosen includes in his article? amounts of serpentine), then 'blackwall' rock (contains 19 So, sorry. On the previous page, the 20 amphiboles, chlorite, quartz, albite, et cetera), and alteration of zones are typically compromised by 21 finally the country rock. Equivalent ultramafic bodies sequence, provides details --21 22 22 in Quebec, Canada, form some of the world's largest Q. Doctor, read more clearly for the court 23 chrysotile deposits." 23 reporter, please. 24 So, essentially, this is all the talc 24 "Ultramafic rocks, grading to a

25 mines are all part of this one essentially extensive

²⁵ talc-carbonate-dominant zone, grading to a nearly

Page 318 Page 320 1 mono-mineralogical ... zone," all these other rich 1 I had it somewhere. Yeah, 18. Yes. ² zones, Items 1 through 7. And then "Black-wall talc 2 And if you'll turn in Exhibit 18 to page Q. 3 11, is this a document that you relied on in reaching 3 deposits are associated spatially with serpentinite 4 masses that, in some areas, host well-developed your opinions? 5 chrysotile asbestos." And there's citations from 1942 A. Yes. I'll get to page --6 and '63. Page 11. 6 BY MS. O'DELL: Page 11, "Elemental Scan" at the top. A. And does this page address the presence Okay. And did it also say that some of O. the alteration zones contain actinolite, tremolite and of certain heavy metals in Chinese talc deposits? anthophyllite? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. And what metals specifically were 12 12 elevated? Q. And does the Van Gosen article support 13 your opinions in this case? A. Titanium. 14 MR. FROST: Objection. Calls for 14 Q. And based on this document, does the 15 speculation. writer include a comment below regarding the need to --16 Yes. well, let me just say for the writer's comments below A. regarding the presence? 17 BY MS. O'DELL: 18 A. "This very sophisticated analysis shows a Let me ask you now to turn to Exhibit 15, 19 which also should be in front of you. relatively wide array of elements in subtrace levels. 20 Other high grade talcs can show a similar array. The Fifteen. 21 It's the Chidester -analysis represents research information, which should O. 22 be conducted on a periodic basis to anticipate any A. Fourteen. 23 Fifteen. mineral contamination in future assessments of other O. 24 Okay. exposures of talc in the district." A. 25 So the Chidester article that was Q. Let me ask you to put that aside, please, O. Page 319 Page 321 1 referenced earlier, and I'll ask you to turn to page 28. 1 sir. Thank you. 2 If you'll turn --If you'll turn now to the IARC monograph, 3 I am on page 28. 3 which I think is on the '93 monograph, which is right A. Right. And does page 28 relate to the 4 there. Yes. Hammondsville talc mine? 5 A. This? Five? 6 A. Yes, it does. Q. That's right, Exhibit 5. 7 O. And was the Hammondsville talc mine one A. Okay. of the mines that was used to source Johnson & Johnson's 8 You were asked a number of questions Q. 9 about a statement that you made in your report about, I talc? 10 Yes. think along the lines of it was common to find minerals A. 11 And if you'll look on the right-hand such as tremolite, anthophyllite, asbestos in talc 12 side, on the second paragraph, do you see that? deposits. Do you recall those lines of questions? 13 13 Yeah. "The deposit consists entirely of A. Yes. 14 coarse, flakey grit and of steatite. No serpentenite And if you'll turn to page 284 of the 15 has been found. In the southwestern face of the quarry, IARC monograph, 284, and this is the '93 monograph that there is a large mass of actinolite rock." relates to talc not containing asbestiform fibers. If 17 you look at the bottom of 284, what does it say in the Does that support your opinions in this Q. IARC monograph regarding the presence of these minerals 18 case? 19 Yes. 19 in talc deposits? 20 MR. FROST: Objection. Form. 20 A. It discusses minerals associated with 21 BY MS. O'DELL: talc. "The most common minerals found in talc products

23

24

23 to Exhibit 18. It's the document, the "Preliminary

24 Investigation of Cosmetic Talc Potential" in China,

25 Kwangsi, China. I think you had it in front of you.

22

Q. Let me ask you to set that aside and turn

include chlorite, magnesite, dolomite, tremolite

statement is further supported in Table 1.4?

And if you'll turn over to page 285, that

anthophyllite, serpentine and quartz."

Page 322 Page 324 1 Yes. 1 BY MS. O'DELL: A. 2 MR. FROST: Object to form. Q. Dr. Krekeler, describe for us the 3 Tremolite is listed, anthophyllite is methodology that you've used in reaching your opinions 4 listed, actinolite is listed. in this case. 5 BY MS. O'DELL: A. I evaluated data, I evaluated x-ray Q. And is that supportive of your opinion 6 diffraction data, I evaluated core data, I evaluated 6 that those asbestos minerals are common in talc electron microscopy data, I evaluated bulk chemistry data, I evaluated descriptions, I used peer-review deposits? 9 literature, and these are essentially methods that would A. Yes. 10 MR. FROST: Objection to form. be expected if I was working as a consultant in a 11 BY MS. O'DELL: 11 company. 12 Q. Let me ask you just a general question 12 Q. Did you rely on published books regarding the geology of Vermont, Italy and China? 13 first. How would you define fibrous talc? 14 Fibrous talc is a talc particle that has 14 A. Yes. 15 a morphology consistent with the definition of a fiber. 15 Q. To the degree they were available? 16 And would it be fair to say that fibrous 16 To the degree, yes. I would agree with talc could be defined as talc formed in an asbestiform 17 that. 18 18 habit? Is another common source that geologists Q. 19 MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Objection to form. 19 rely on publications such as the U.S. Geological Survey? 20 MR. FROST: Objection to form. 20 A. 21 21 O. And are there also publications from the A. Yes. 22 U.S. Bureau of Mines? 22 BY MS. O'DELL: 23 23 Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 22, 24 Dr. Krekeler, which I think I had in front of you. It Q. And did you rely on those types of 25 materials in reaching your opinions in this case? 25 may be. Page 323 Page 325 Twenty-two? A. Yes. 1 1 2 Yes. Is the methodology that you used Q. 3 3 methodology that would be generally acceptable in the A. Okay. And I would like for you -- you recall field of geology? 5 there was a number of documents that Mr. Frost showed Yes. 6 you regarding six asbestos test results that were MR. FROST: Objection to form. contained in the asbestos chart in your report beginning BY MS. O'DELL: Q. Did you rely on peer-reviewed literature at page 14. Do you recall those questions? 8 9 to support your opinions? A. Yes. 10 And if I marked them correctly, Mr. Frost 10 A. Yes. O. pointed out one, two, three, four, five, six test 11 Is peer-reviewed literature always 12 available for specific mineral formations or deposits in 12 results that he took issue with. Do you recall that? 13 geology? A. Yes. How many positive tests results, just 14 Q. 14 A. Not necessarily. 15 15 estimate if you don't know --Q. You were asked about the documents that 16 you had received, internal documents that you had Approximately 125. 17 So let me -- and so let me ask you this received in formulating your opinions in this case. Obviously, corporate documents were not available to you question. Is there anything that you heard today that, 19 in your mind, would call into question the veracity of other than lawyers giving them to you, fair? 20 the test results that, the other 125 test results that 20 Yes. Correct. 21 you reported in the chart, which begins in your report 21 You didn't have an independent way to get 22 the documents from Johnson & Johnson or Imerys in order 22 on page 14? 23 MR. FROST: Objection to form. 23 to reach your opinions, right? 24 24 Correct. No. A. 25 And did you feel that you had adequate 25

Page 326 Page 328 1 materials to support the opinions contained in your 1 particular order, but if we can first turn to the IARC 2 report? 2 monograph. It's the one right in front of you there. 3 Which exhibit number is that? MR. FROST: Objection to form. 4 MR. BILLINGS-KANG: Objection to form. I'm sorry. What? 5 Q. 5 Which exhibit number is that? A. Yes. BY MS. O'DELL: 6 Five. 6 A. 7 Q. In terms of the testing documents that Q. Okay. If you can turn to page 284. are mentioned and reported in your expert report, are A. So if you look at the bottom of the page, 9 testing documents something that you rely on in the Q. 10 normal course of your role as a geologist? Miss O'Dell had you read from the line starting, "The 11 A. Yes. most common minerals found in talc products," but before 12 0. Would that also be true of core logs? that, it reads, "Because talc deposits are formed from 13 A. different protoliths under many different geological 14 And those are some of the documents that conditions, each talc deposit has a combination of mineralogy and mineral habit that is distinctive and, in you cited in your report? 16 Yes. many cases, unique." Did I read that correctly? A. 17 17 Let me ask you just to talk just briefly A. There's no citation for that and, yes, about your qualifications as a geologist. As a 18 you did. 18 geologist, are you -- do you teach the process of 19 Q. Sir, my question is: Did I read that evaluating mineral deposits? correctly? 20 20 21 21 A. A. Yes. I teach a course on ore deposits, and I've taught courses on industrial minerology and 22 Q. And that's what the IARC monograph says, I've taught --23 correct? 24 Q. Excuse me. 24 A. Correct. 25 25 When I was at George Mason, I would Q. If you can turn to the Van Gosen article, Page 327 Page 329 1 regularly teach minerology. 1 which is Exhibit 11. 2 2 Q. And would those courses have included A. Okay. 3 teaching students how to conduct expiration such as 3 Page 934. Q. 4 drilling, core drilling and other ways to define an ore All right. I'm on that page. deposit? Before, when you were reading this, you 5 6 A. Yes. 6 skipped over most of Number 3. Number 3 reads, "a 7 MR. FROST: Object to form. 7 nearly mono-mineralogical talc zone (often of high purity) several centimeters to meters thick." Did I 8 BY MS. O'DELL: read that correctly? 9 Q. Have you given presentations on those 9 types of activities? 10 A. Yes. 10 11 A. Yes. 11 Do you agree with me that that would be 12 12 the talc ore zone, correct? MS. O'DELL: Okay. I don't have anything 13 13 further. Thank you. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Presumably. A nearly -- a nearly 15 monomineralic -- mineralogical talc zone. MR. FROST: Could we go off the record? 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure. We are now going BY MR. FROST: 17 17 off record, and the time is 8:13. Q. Now, if we can turn to Exhibit 15, which 18 (A recess was taken from 8:13 to 8:20.) is the Chidst article -- Chidester. 18 19 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on record, A. 215. 20 and the time is 8:20. 20 And specifically page 28. Okay. Counsel 21 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION had pointed you to the second paragraph, the second column down, and you read the, "In the southwest face of 22 BY MR. FROST: Q. All right, Doctor. A couple quick 23 23 the quarry, there is large mass of actinolite rock," follow-ups, and unfortunately, I'm going to run them in 24 correct? 25 the order they're in my binder, which probably is no A. Correct.

	Page 330	Ι	Page 332
			_
1	Q. It doesn't say here that it's asbestos	1	CERTIFICATE
2	actinolite, correct?	2	State of Ohio :
3	A. It does not specifically say that it's		: SS
4	asbestos.		County of Hamilton:
5	Q. And you couldn't, without speculating,	4	I, Susan M. Gee, RMR, CRR, the undersigned, a
6	based on this document, say whether or not it's	5	duly commissioned notary public within and for the State
7	asbestos, correct?	6	of Ohio, do hereby certify that before the giving of his
8		7	aforesaid deposition, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., was by me
	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	8	first duly sworn to depose the truth, the whole truth
9	A. I would agree.	9	and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing is the
10	BY MR. FROST:	10	deposition given at said time and place by MARK
11	Q. And then the sentence before that, the	11	KREKELER, Ph.D.; that said deposition was taken in all
12	end of it reads, No serpentine has been found; is that	12	respects pursuant to stipulations of counsel; that I am
13	correct?	13	neither a relative of nor employee of any of their
14	A. No. It says, "No serpentinite."	14	parties or their counsel, and have no interest whatever
15		15	in the result of the action; that I am not, nor is the
		16	court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a
16	found"?	17	contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
17	A. "Has been found."	18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18	Q. Okay. Sorry. I did read it incorrectly.	19	hand and official seal of office at Cincinnati, Ohio, on
19	You are right. So "No serpentinite has been found"?	20	this 29th day of January, 2019.
20	That's correct?	21	
21	A. Correct.	22	
22	MR. FROST: That's all questions I have,		
23	sir.	23	My commission expires: S/ Susan M. Gee, RMR, CRR
			September 20, 2020. Notary Public - State of Ohio
24	VIDEOGRAPHER: Is that it?	24	
25	MR. FERGUSON: I don't have any	25	
1			
	Page 331		Page 333
1	Page 331	1	Page 333
1	questions.	1	Page 333
1 2	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further.	2	-
	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right.	2 3	Page 333 DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further.	3 4	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2 3	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right.	2 3	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation
2 3 4	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now	2 3 4 5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24.	2 3 4 5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation
2 3 4 5 6 7	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature?	2 3 4 5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes.	2 3 4 5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for	2 3 4 5	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of that the foregoing is true and correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions. MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further. MR. FROST: All right. VIDEOGRAPHER: This adjourns the deposition of Dr. Mark Krekeler. We are now going off record, and the time is 8:24. COURT REPORTER: What about signature? MS. O'DELL: Yes. (Exhibit 28 through 30 were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D. Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019 I, MARK KREKELER, Ph.D., hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 9903-7 Filed 05/30/19 Page 86 of 86 PageID:

	Page 334	
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
1	Case Name: Talcum Powder Litigation	
_	Name of Witness: Mark Krekeler, Ph.D.	
,	Date of Deposition: January 25, 2019	
3	Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.	
,		
4	2. To conform to the facts.	
_	3. To correct transcription errors.	
5	D I' D	
6	Page Line Reason	
′	From to Page Line Reason	
8	Page Line Reason	
1 2	From to Page Line Reason	
	From to	
	Page Line Reason	
13	From to	
	Page Line Reason	
	From to	
1	Page Line Reason	
17	From to	
128	Page Line Reason From to	
19		
20	Subject to the above changes, I certify that	
21	the transcript is true and correct.	
21	No changes have been made. I certify that the	
	transcript is true and correct.	
22		
23	MADY VDEVELED DLD	
1 2 4	MARK KREKELER, Ph.D.	
24		
25		